METROPOLITAN COAL LONGWALLS 301-303

BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN



















METROPOLITAN COAL

LONGWALLS 301-303

BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

Revision Status Register

Section/Page/ Annexure	Revision Number	Amendment/Addition	Distribution	DP&E Approval Date
All	BMP-R01-A	Original – Draft for Consultation	DP&E, OEH, DPI - Fishing	-
All	BMP-R01-B	Minor amendments to reflect revised Longwalls 302 and 303	DP&E, OEH, DPI - Fishing	-
Sections 4.1 and 4.3, Figure 3	BMP-R01-C	Addition of footnotes in Sections 4.1 and 4.3, and minor amendments to Figure 3	DP&E, OEH, DPI - Fishing	-

November 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE 1 2.8 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN 1 2 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE 5 2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER 5 3 STATUTORY REQUREMENTS 6 3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 5 4.1.1 Surface Water 5 4.1.2 Groundwater 11 4.1.3 Surface Water 5 4.1.4 Groundwater 11 4.1.5 Surface Water 5 4.2.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 33 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 36 5.1 LONGWALLS 330-30	Section				<u>Page</u>	
1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE 1 1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN 1 2 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE 5 2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER 5 3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS 6 3.1 EPBA ACT APPROVAL 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 5 4.1.1 Surface Water 5 4.1.2 Groundwater 16 4.1.3 Surface Water 5 4.1.4 Groundwater 16 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Relevant INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.3 Reiterial Fauna and their Habitats 33 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 36 5.1 LONGWA	1	INTROD	UCTION		1	
1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN 1 2 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE 5 2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER 5 3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 5 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 5 4.1.1 Surface Water 5 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 HOND OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.3 Aquite Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 36 4.3.5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 55 5.1 LONGWALLS 30-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 Envisond Subsidence Predictions 54		1.1	PURPOS	SE AND SCOPE	1	
2 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE 5 2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER 5 3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS 6 3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 5 4.1.1 Surface Water 16 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 33 4.3.3 Requite Biota and their Habitats 46 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 55 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 56 5.3.1 LONGWALLS 30-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 55 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 56 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 55 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS <t< td=""><td></td><td>1.2</td><td>STRUCT</td><td>FURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN</td><td>1</td></t<>		1.2	STRUCT	FURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN	1	
2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER E 3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS E 3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL E 3.2 LUCENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES E 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION E 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL E 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS E 4.1.1 Surface Water 15 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 HODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 45 5 EVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 55 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-30 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 55 5.2 Envison AND AQUATICE BIO	2	BIODIVE	-RSITY M	ANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE	5	
21 DOI INCOMPLATION 3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS 6 3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 8 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 9 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 UAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 9 4.1.1 Sufface Water 15 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 UAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTEINTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 55 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence	2	21	DISTRIB	ITION REGISTER	5	
3 STATUTION TREGUNCIENTS 3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL 6 3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 9 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 9 4.1.1 Surface Water 9 4.1.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 IAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 45 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 55 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 53.2 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.1 Revised	3	 977111			6	
3.1 EPGAARDITS AND LEASES 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 9 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 9 4.1.1 Surface Water 9 4.1.2 Groundwater 19 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 IAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION POTENTINE HADITAL 27 24.3 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 36 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 Environmental Consequences 56 5.3.1	3	51A101 24			6	
3.2 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION 6 4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 9 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 9 4.1.1 Surface Water 15 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 IOND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.1 10 pland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 34 34 34 34 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 4.3.5 10 LONGWALLS 30-30 SXTRACTION LAYOUT 55 5.1 LONGWALLS 30-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 55 5.3.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4.1 R		3.1 2.2			0 8	
4. RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 5 4. RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL 5 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 5 4.1.2 Groundwater 15 4.2. RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 56 5.3.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 55 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.5.1 </td <td></td> <td>3.3</td> <td>OTHER</td> <td>I FGISI ATION</td> <td>8</td>		3.3	OTHER	I FGISI ATION	8	
4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT AT FROMAL 4.1 4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS 5 4.1.1 Surface Water 5 4.1.2 Groundwater 11 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 IAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 33 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 56 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56<	4				0	
4.1.1 Surface Water 93 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 22 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 45 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56	4	4.1		INT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND	e Q	
4.1.1 Groundwater 19 4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 33 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 51 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predi			4 1 1	Surface Water	9	
4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 56 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Pr			4.1.2	Groundwater	19	
4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS 26 4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 45 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 63 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 65 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 <		4.2	RELEVA LONGW	INT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND ALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS	26	
4.3.1 Upland Swamps 26 4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 56 5.4.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 63 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 6		4.3	RELEVA LONGW	NT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND ALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS	28	
4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation 34 4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats 36 4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 45 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 56 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 56 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 56 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64			4.3.1	Upland Swamps	28	
4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats 46 4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 46 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revis			4.3.2	Riparian Vegetation	34	
4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna 43 5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 55 5.4.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 55 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BA			4.3.3 4.3.4	Aquatic Blota and their Habitats	ა9 46	
5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES 52 5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 55 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 57 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 64 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67			4.3.5	Threatened Flora and Fauna	49	
5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT 52 5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.3 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 <	5	REVISE	D ASSES	SMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	52	
5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT 52 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.2 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp T	C	5.1	LONGW	ALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT	52	
5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS 54 5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.3 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 <t< td=""><td></td><td>5.2</td><td>ENVIRO</td><td></td><td>52</td></t<>		5.2	ENVIRO		52	
5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 54 5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation		5.3	UPLAND) SWAMPS	54	
5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 56 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 59 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 <td bindiversity="" colspacement="" dis="" management="" plan<<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>5.3.1</td><td>Revised Subsidence Predictions</td><td>54</td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td>5.3.1</td> <td>Revised Subsidence Predictions</td> <td>54</td>			5.3.1	Revised Subsidence Predictions	54
Environmental Consequences 5d 5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 5d 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 5d 5.4.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 5d 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67			5.3.2	Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and		
5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS 58 5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 59 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.2 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C		- 4			56	
5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i		5.4		N ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS	59	
Environmental Consequences 61 5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i			5.4.1 5.4.2	Revised Subsidence Predictions Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and	59	
5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS 63 5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			0.7.2	Environmental Consequences	61	
5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 63 5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		5.5	SLOPES		63	
5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i			5.5.1	Revised Subsidence Predictions	63	
5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i			5.5.2	Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and	0.4	
5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS 64 5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 64 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i			TEDDEC		64	
5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions 04 5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences 64 6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		5.6	IERRES	STRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS	64 64	
6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 64 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i			5.6.1 5.6.2	Revised Subsidence Predictions Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and	04	
6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS 65 7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			0.0.2	Environmental Consequences	64	
7 BASELINE DATA 67 7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan	6	PERFOR	RMANCE	MEASURES AND INDICATORS	65	
7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS 67 7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan 67 Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan Page i	7	BASELI	NE DATA		67	
7.1.1 Swamp Types 67 7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan 67 Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan Page i		7.1) SWAMPS	67	
7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping 67 Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan 67 Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			7.1.1	Swamp Types	67	
Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page i Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan Page i			7.1.2	Swamp Vegetation Mapping	67	
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page I Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
	Revision	No. BMP-K)1-C	rement Plan	Page	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

		7.1.3	Swamp Vegetation Data	68
	70			60
	1.2		Riparian Vegetation Manning	60
		7.2.1	Riparian Vegetation Data	70
	7.3	SLOPES	SAND RIDGETOPS	70
	74		C BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS	70
	7.5	TERRES	STRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS	71
0				71
0				71
	8.1		SWAMPS VEGETATION MONITORING	72
		8.1.1 8.1.2	Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring	72
	8.2	UPLAND) SWAMPS GROUNDWATER MONITORING	76
	•	8.2.1	Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Groundwater Monitoring	76
		8.2.2	Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Upland Swamp Groundwater Monitoring	76
	8.3	RIPARIA	N VEGETATION	76
		8.3.1	Longwalls 301-303 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring	76
		8.3.2	Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring	g 77
	8.4	SLOPES	S AND RIDGETOPS	79
		8.4.1	Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General	79
		8.4.2	Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils ir the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC	ו 82
	8.5	AQUATI	C BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS	82
		8.5.1 8.5.2	Longwalls 301-303 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring	82 82
	8.6	TERRES	STRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS	84
		8.6.1 8.6.2	Longwalls 301-303 Amphibian Monitoring Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Amphibian Monitoring	84 85
	8.7	ASSESS	MENT AGAINST PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND MEASURES	87
	8.8	MONITC	RING PROGRAM REVIEW	87
9	MANAGI	EMENT N	IEASURES	95
	9.1	STREAM	REMEDIATION	95
	9.2	OTHER	SUBSIDENCE IMPACT MANAGEMENT MEASURES	96
	•	9.2.1	Stream Bank Erosion	96
		9.2.2	Vegetation	96
		9.2.3	Cliff Falls	97
		9.2.4	Surface Tension Cracks	97
		9.2.5	Swamp Remediation Measures	97
	0.2			99
	9.5	0 3 1	Vegetation Clearance/Habitat Disturbance	99
		9.3.2	Weed Management	99
	9.4	OTHER	MANAGEMENT MEASURES	100
		9.4.1	Bushfire Hazard	100
		9.4.2	Introduced Pests	100
		9.4.3	Infection of Native Plants by Phytophthora cinnamomi	101
		9.4.4	Amphibian Chytrid Fungus	101
10	CONTIN	GENCY F	PLAN Matropoliton Cool Riadivarsity Management Plan	101
Revision	No. BMP-R)1-C		age ii
Documer	nt ID: Biodive	ersity Manag	ement Plan	<u> </u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

11	TARP – I	MANAGEMENT TOOL	102
12	FUTURE	EXTRACTION PLANS	104
	12.1	UPLAND SWAMPS	104
	12.2	RIPARIAN VEGETATION	104
	12.3	SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS	105
	12.4	AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS	105
	12.5	TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS	105
	12.6	ASSESSMENT OF TRIAL LONGWALL LAYOUT FOR LONGWALLS 301-303	106
13	ANNUAL	REVIEW AND IMPROVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE	106
14	INCIDEN	TS	106
15	COMPLA	NINTS	107
16	NON-CO	MPLIANCES WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS	108
17	REFERE	NCES	108

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Management Plan Requirements
Table 2	Stream Water Quality Monitoring Results
Table 3	Stream Monitoring Parameters and Methods
Table 4	Provisional Extraction Schedule
Table 5	Revised Maximum Subsidence Predictions for Upland Swamps – Subsidence, Tilt and Curvature
Table 6	Revised Maximum Subsidence Predictions for Upland Swamps – Tensile and Compressive Strain, Upsidence and Closure
Table 7	Maximum Predicted Subsidence, Tilt, Curvature, Upsidence and Closure for the Eastern Tributary Resulting from Extraction of Longwalls 301, 302 and 303
Table 8	Comparison of Maximum Predicted Conventional Subsidence Parameters for the Eastern Tributary based on the Preferred Project Layout and the Extraction Plan Layout
Table 9	Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 301-303
Table 10	Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 20-22
Table 11	Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 23-27
Table 12	Monitoring of Environmental Consequences against Performance Indicators and Measures

 Table 13
 BMP Trigger Action Response Plan

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page iii	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Project Longwalls 20-27 and Longwalls 301-317 Layout
- Figure 2 Longwalls 301-303 Layout
- Figure 3 Environmental Management Structure
- Figure 4 Streams within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds
- Figure 5 Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary Pools
- Figure 6 Surface Water Quantity Sites
- Figure 7 Surface Water Quality Sites
- Figure 8 Schematic Longwall Mining and Subsidence Profile
- Figure 9 Upland Swamps Mapped over Longwalls 20-27, Longwalls 301-317 and Surrounds
- Figure 10 Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds
- Figure 11 Mapped Vegetation Communities within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds
- Figure 12 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring Locations
- Figure 13 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring Locations
- Figure 14 Amphibian Monitoring Locations
- Figure 15 Upland Swamps over Longwalls 301-303 and Surrounds
- Figure 16 Longwalls 301-303 Vegetation Mapping
- Figure 17 Predicted Profiles of Subsidence, Upsidence and Closure along the Eastern Tributary and Woronora Reservoir due to Longwalls 301-303

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 Threatened Flora and Fauna Species Records
- Appendix 2 Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping and Proposed Monitoring Program
- Appendix 3 Terrestrial Flora Baseline Data Upland Swamp Vegetation Surveys Raw Data
- Appendix 4 Visual Inspection and Photographic Survey of Streams in the Vicinity of Longwalls 301 to 303
- Appendix 5 Terrestrial Fauna (Amphibian) Baseline Data Longwalls 301-303 Spring/Summer 2015

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page iv		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

1 INTRODUCTION

Metropolitan Coal is a wholly owned subsidiary of Peabody Energy Australia Pty Ltd (Peabody). Metropolitan Coal was granted approval for the Metropolitan Coal Project (the Project) under section 75J of the New South Wales (NSW) *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979* (EP&A Act) on 22 June 2009. A copy of the Project Approval is available on the Peabody website (http://www.peabodyenergy.com).

The Project comprises the continuation, upgrade and extension of underground coal mining operations and surface facilities at Metropolitan Coal. The underground mining longwall layout is shown on Figure 1. Following the anticipated completion of Longwall 27 in 2017, Longwalls 301, 302 and 303 (herein referred to as Longwalls 301-303) define the next mining sub-domain within the Project underground mining area. Longwalls 301-303 are shown on Figure 2. Longwalls 301-303 are situated immediately north of Longwalls 20-27, with a surface area of approximately 0.9 square kilometres (km²), compared with the surface area above Longwalls 20-27 of approximately 3.5 km².

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

In accordance with Condition 6(f), Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, this Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP) has been prepared as a component of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Extraction Plan on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna, with a specific focus on swamps.

The relationship of this BMP to the Metropolitan Coal Environmental Management Structure and to the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan is shown on Figure 3.

This updated BMP includes descriptions of pre and post-mining monitoring and management of aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna, also subjects in the two previously approved Metropolitan Coal Biodiversity Management Plans for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27. Thus, the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans will be superseded by this document following the completion of Longwall 27 consistent with the recommended approach in the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DP&E) and NSW Division of Resources and Energy (DRE) (2015) *Guidelines for the Preparation of Extraction Plans*.

In accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, this BMP has been prepared by Metropolitan Coal, with assistance from FloraSearch, Cenwest Environmental Services, Eco Logical Australia (Eco Logical), Bio-Analysis, HydroSimulations, and Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants (MSEC).

1.2 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

The remainder of the BMP is structured as follows:

- Section 2: Describes the review and update of the BMP.
- Section 3: Outlines the statutory requirements applicable to the BMP.
- Section 4: Provides a summary of the information obtained since Project Approval by the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans, Land Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans.
- Section 5: Provides a revised assessment of the potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences for Longwalls 301-303.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 1				
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				



MET-15-10

LEGEND	
	Mining Lease Boundary
	Woronora Special Area
+	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
	Woronora Notification Area
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL Project Longwalls 20 - 27 and Longwalls 301 - 317 Layout



LEGEND

	Mining Lease Boundary
	Woronora Special Area
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
1111	Woronora Notification Area
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

> METROPOLITAN COAL Longwalls 301 - 303 Layout





- Section 6: Details the performance measures and indicators that will be used to assess the Project.
- Section 7: Provides the detailed baseline data.
- Section 8: Describes the monitoring programs.
- Section 9: Describes the management measures that will be implemented.
- Section 10: Provides a Contingency Plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences.
- Section 11: Describes the Trigger Action Response Plan (TARP) management tool.
- Section 12: Describes the program to collect baseline data for future Extraction Plans.
- Section 13: Describes the annual review and improvement of environmental performance.
- Section 14: Outlines the management and reporting of incidents.
- Section 15: Outlines the management and reporting of complaints.
- Section 16: Outlines the management and reporting of non-compliances with statutory requirements.
- Section 17: Lists the references cited in this BMP.

2 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW AND UPDATE

In accordance with Condition 4, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval, this BMP will be reviewed within three months of the submission of:

- an audit under Condition 8, Schedule 7;
- an incident report under Condition 6, Schedule 7;
- an annual review under Condition 3, Schedule 7; and

if necessary, revised to the satisfaction of the Director-General (now Secretary) of the DP&E to ensure the BMP is updated on a regular basis and to incorporate any recommended measures to improve environmental performance.

The BMP will also be reviewed within three months of approval of any Project modification and if necessary, revised to the satisfaction of the DP&E.

The revision status of this BMP is indicated on the title page of each copy. The distribution register for controlled copies of the BMP is described in Section 2.1.

2.1 DISTRIBUTION REGISTER

In accordance with Condition 10, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval 'Access to Information', Metropolitan Coal will make the BMP publicly available on the Peabody website. A hard copy of the BMP will also be maintained at the Metropolitan Coal site.

Metropolitan Coal recognises that various regulators have different distribution requirements, both in relation to whom documents should be sent and in what format.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 5		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

An Environmental Management Plan and Monitoring Program Distribution Register has been established in consultation with the relevant agencies and infrastructure owners that indicates:

- to whom the Metropolitan Coal plans and programs, such as the BMP, will be distributed;
- the format (i.e. electronic or hard copy) of distribution; and
- the format of revision notification.

Metropolitan Coal will make the Distribution Register publicly available on the Peabody website. Metropolitan Coal will be responsible for maintaining the Distribution Register and for ensuring that the notification of revisions is sent by email or post as appropriate.

In addition, Metropolitan Coal employees with local computer network access will be able to view the controlled electronic version of this BMP on the Metropolitan Coal local area network. Metropolitan Coal will not be responsible for maintaining uncontrolled copies beyond ensuring the most recent version is maintained on Metropolitan Coal's computer system and the Peabody website.

3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Metropolitan Coal's statutory obligations are contained in:

- (i) the conditions of the Project Approval;
- (ii) relevant licences and permits, including conditions attached to mining leases; and
- (iii) other relevant legislation.

These are described below.

3.1 EP&A ACT APPROVAL

Condition 6(f), Schedule 3 of the Project Approval requires the preparation of a BMP as a component of Extraction Plan(s) for second workings. Condition 6(f), Schedule 3 states:

SECOND WORKINGS

Extraction Plan

6. The Proponent shall prepare and implement an Extraction Plan for all second workings in the mining area to the satisfaction of the Director-General. This plan must:

•••

(f) include a:

• • •

 Biodiversity Management Plan, which has been prepared in consultation with OEH and DRE (Fisheries)^[1], to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Extraction Plan on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna, with a specific focus on swamps;

DRF (Fisheries) is now the Departr	ment of Primary Indu	ustries (DPI) - Fishing.
	/ io non ano Dopara	mone of a minuty made	iounoo (Brin) informing.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 6
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

In addition, Condition 2, Schedule 7 and Condition 7, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval outline management plan requirements that are applicable to the preparation of the BMP. Table 1 indicates where each component of the conditions is addressed within this BMP.

Table 1
Management Plan Requirements

		Project Approval Condition	BMP Section
Co	nditi	on 2, Schedule 7	
2.	The apr	Proponent shall ensure that the management plans required under this proval are prepared in accordance with any relevant guidelines, and include:	
	a)	detailed baseline data;	Section 7
	b)	a description of:	
		 the relevant statutory requirements (including any relevant approval, licence or lease conditions); 	Section 3
		any relevant limits or performance measures/criteria;	Section 6
		 the specific performance indicators that are proposed to be used to judge the performance of, or guide the implementation of, the project or any management measures; 	Section 6
	c)	a description of the measures that would be implemented to comply with the relevant statutory requirements, limits, or performance measures/criteria;	Sections 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11
	d)	a program to monitor and report on the:	Sections 8, 9 and 13
		 impacts and environmental performance of the project; 	
		• effectiveness of any management measures (see c above);	
	e)	a contingency plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences;	Section 10
	f)	a program to investigate and implement ways to improve the environmental performance of the project over time;	Sections 8 and 13
	g)	a protocol for managing and reporting any;	
		incidents;	Section 14
		complaints;	Section 15
		 non-compliances with statutory requirements; and 	Section 16
		 exceedances of the impact assessment criteria and/or performance criteria; and 	Section 10
	h)	a protocol for periodic review of the plan.	Sections 2 and 13
Со	nditi	on 7, Schedule 3	
7.	In a of s unc	Iddition to the standard requirements for management plans (see condition 2 schedule 7), the Proponent shall ensure that the management plans required der condition 6(f) above include:	
	a)	a program to collect sufficient baseline data for future Extraction Plans;	Section 12
	b)	a revised assessment of the potential environmental consequences of the Extraction Plan, incorporating any relevant information that has been obtained since this approval;	Sections 4 and 5
	c)	a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented to remediate predicted impacts; and	Section 9
	d)	a contingency plan that expressly provides for adaptive management.	Section 10

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 7
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

3.2 LICENCES, PERMITS AND LEASES

In addition to the Project Approval, all activities at or in association with Metropolitan Coal will be undertaken in accordance with the following licences, permits and leases which have been issued or are pending:

- The conditions of mining leases issued by the DRE, within the NSW Department of Industry, Skills and Regional Development (NSW Department of Industry) under the NSW *Mining Act, 1992* (e.g. Consolidated Coal Lease [CCL] 703, Mining Lease [ML] 1610, ML 1702, Coal Lease [CL] 379 and Mining Purpose Lease [MPL] 320).
- The Metropolitan Coal Mining Operations Plan 1 October 2012 to 30 September 2019 approved by the DRE.
- The conditions of Environment Protection Licence (EPL) No. 767 issued by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) under the NSW *Protection of the Environment Operations Act, 1997.* Revision of the EPL will be required prior to the commencement of Metropolitan Coal activities that differ from those currently licensed.
- The prescribed conditions of specific surface access leases within CCL 703 for the installation of surface facilities as required.
- Water Access Licences (WALs) issued by the NSW Department of Primary Industries Water under the NSW Water Management Act, 2000, including WAL 36475 under the Water Sharing Plan for the Greater Metropolitan Region Groundwater Sources 2011 and WAL 25410 under the Water Sharing Plan for the Greater Metropolitan Region Unregulated River Water Sources 2011.
- Mining and workplace health and safety related approvals granted by NSW Department of Industry and WorkCover NSW.
- Supplementary approvals obtained from WaterNSW (previously the Sydney Catchment Authority) for surface activities within the Woronora Special Area (e.g. fire road maintenance activities).

3.3 OTHER LEGISLATION

Metropolitan Coal will conduct the Project consistent with the Project Approval and any other legislation that is applicable to an approved Part 3A Project under the EP&A Act.

The following Acts may be applicable to the conduct of the Project (Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd [HCPL], 2008):

- Contaminated Land Management Act, 1997;
- Crown Lands Act, 1989;
- Dams Safety Act, 1978;
- Dangerous Goods (Road and Rail Transport) Act, 2008;
- Energy and Utilities Administration Act, 1987;
- Fisheries Management Act, 1994;
- Mining Act, 1992;
- Noxious Weeds Act, 1993;
- Protection of the Environment Operations Act, 1997;
- Rail Safety (Adoption of National Law) Act, 2012;

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 8
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Roads Act, 1993;
- Threatened Species Conservation Act, 1995;
- Sydney Water Catchment Management Act, 1998;
- Water Act, 1912;
- Water Management Act, 2000;
- Work Health and Safety Act, 2011; and
- Work Health and Safety (Mines and Petroleum Sites) Act, 2013.

Relevant licences or approvals required under these Acts will be obtained as required.

4 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED SINCE PROJECT APPROVAL

Section 4.1 summarises the information obtained since Project Approval by the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans of relevance to this BMP. Section 4.2 summarises the information obtained since Project Approval by the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Land Management Plans of relevance to this BMP. Section 4.3 summarises the information obtained since Project Approval by the Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans.

4.1 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS

The Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans were prepared to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Extraction Plans on water resources and watercourses in accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval.

4.1.1 Surface Water

Streams occurring within 600 m of Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 secondary extraction include the Waratah Rivulet and its tributaries (such as Tributary A and B) and the Eastern Tributary and its tributaries (Figure 4). The locations of pools on the Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary are shown on Figure 5.

The Preferred Project Report (HCPL, 2009), and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans indicated that valley closure values of greater than 200 millimetres (mm) were predicted at pools/rock bars on the Waratah Rivulet upstream of the maingate of Longwall 23 (Figure 5). Pools P to W on the Waratah Rivulet (Figure 5) were predicted to be subject to valley closure values of less than 200 mm.

The NSW Planning Assessment Commission's Report for the Metropolitan Coal Project (NSW Planning Assessment Commission, 2009) indicates the Panel considered 'negligible consequence' for a watercourse to mean, 'no diversion of flows, no change in the natural drainage behaviour of pools, minimal iron staining, and minimal gas releases', and is assumed to be achieved in circumstances where predicted valley closure is less than 200 mm.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 9
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



MET-15-10_301-303 EP BMP_214B

LEGEND

	Mining Lease Boundary
	Woronora Special Area
+	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
<u></u>	Woronora Notification Area
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)



Streams Within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds



Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
 20 mm Subsidence Contour
 600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303
 Woronora Notification Area
 Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift) Pool

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary Pools The Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans indicated that valley closure values of greater than 200 mm were predicted at pools/rock bars along the Eastern Tributary (from Pool ETF over Longwall 20 extending to Pool ETAC over Longwall 26, and from Pool ETAH over Longwall 27 extending to Pool ETAL downstream of Longwall 27) (Figure 5). Approximately 244 metres (m) of the Eastern Tributary between the maingate of Longwall 26 and the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir (i.e. from Pool ETAH to Pool ETAL) was predicted to be subject to valley closure values of greater than 200 mm as a result of Longwalls 23-27.

The Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans indicated that valley closure values of greater than 200 mm would also occur on Tributary B (maximum predicted total closure of 718 mm at the completion of Longwall 27).

Pool Water Levels and Surface Water Flow

The stream inspections, pool water level monitoring and surface water flow monitoring have identified subsidence impacts and environmental consequences consistent with those described in the Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment (Project EA) (HCPL, 2008), Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans. These documents identified that the key potential subsidence impacts in relation to pool water levels and surface water flow would include:

- The magnitudes of the predicted systematic and/or valley related movements are likely to result in some fracturing and dilation of the underlying strata of streams above and immediately adjacent to the longwalls.
- Cracking and dilation of bedrock are likely to result in the localised diversion of a portion of the surface flow through either:
 - diversion into subterranean flows, where water travels via new mining induced fractures and opened natural joints in the bedrock into near-surface dilated strata beneath the bedrock, ultimately re-emerging at the surface downstream; or
 - leakage through rock bars, where the rate of leakage from pools through rock bars to the downstream reaches of the stream is increased by new mining induced fractures.

The key potential environmental consequences in relation to pool water levels and surface water flow included:

- Changes in stream flows as a result of fracturing of bedrock and the consequent diversion of a
 portion of the total stream flow as underflow. The effects of underflow would be localised to the
 subsidence affected reaches of streams. Underflows would be most noticeable during periods of
 low flow and would depend on the frequency of no flow periods, while the effects on the
 frequency and magnitude of high flows would be negligible.
- Changes in pool water levels and in-stream connectivity underflow has been observed to result in lower water levels in pools as they become hydraulically connected with the fracture network. During prolonged dry periods when flows recede to low levels, the number of instances where loss of flow continuity between pools occurs increases with a greater proportion of the flow being conveyed entirely in the subsurface fracture network.
- Negligible impacts on water quantity to the Woronora Reservoir.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 12
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Visual and photographic surveys of the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary have been conducted monthly when mining of Longwalls 20-27 has been within 400 m of the stream. Visual inspections and photographic surveys of the Waratah Rivulet, Eastern Tributary, Tributary A and Tributary B have also been conducted within three months of the completion of each longwall.

Water levels in pools on the Waratah Rivulet (Pools A, B, C, E, F, G, G1, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V and W) and a number of pools on the Eastern Tributary (Pools ETG, ETJ, ETM, ETU, ETW, ETAF, ETAG, ETAH, ETAI, ETAQ and ETAU) and Tributary B (Pools RTP1 and RTP2) have also either been manually monitored on a daily basis or monitored using a continuous water level sensor and logger (Figure 6).

Prior to the commencement of Longwall 20, the water levels in pools upstream of Flat Rock Crossing (i.e. Pools A to G, Figure 5) on the Waratah Rivulet had been impacted by mine subsidence as described in the Metropolitan Coal Rehabilitation Management Plan (i.e. the pool water level had fallen below the cease to flow level). Since the commencement of Longwall 20, two additional pools on the Waratah Rivulet have fallen below their cease to flow levels, namely, Pool G1 (temporarily in 2011 and 2013) and Pool N (on a number of occasions from September 2012) (Figure 5). Since the commencement of Longwall 20, stream remediation activities on the Waratah Rivulet have been conducted at Pools A and F and are currently being conducted at Pool G. In 2015, all pools on the Waratah Rivulet remained above their cease to flow levels or exhibited natural behaviour (i.e. those pools that do not have a 'solid' rock bar control), with the exception of Pool G (where stream remediation is being undertaken). To date, mining has not resulted in the diversion of flows or change to the natural drainage behaviour of pools downstream of the maingate of Longwall 23 (i.e. Pools P to W) (Figure 5).

As described above, the majority of the Eastern Tributary from Pool ETF to Pool ETAL (from Longwall 20 to downstream of Longwall 27) was predicted to be subject to greater than 200 mm of valley closure. This has resulted in the cracking and dilation of bedrock and associated diversion of surface flow and leakage of water through rock bars at pools along the Eastern Tributary. To date, mining has not resulted in the diversion of flows or change to the natural drainage behaviour of pools downstream of the maingate of Longwall 26 (i.e. Pools ETAG to ETAU) (Figure 5).

Since 2012 sections of Tributary B have been mostly dry (in the vicinity of site RTP1, Figure 6) with no surface flow. As described above, Tributary B was also predicted to be subject to greater than 200 mm of valley closure.

Woronora Reservoir Inflows

For the Project EA a comprehensive analysis of stream flow data and data on the yield behaviour of Woronora Reservoir indicated that past mining at Metropolitan Coal had no discernable effect on the inflow to, or yield from, the reservoir. Surface water flow monitoring at the Waratah Rivulet, Woronora River (Figure 6) and O'Hares Creek gauging stations since the commencement of Longwall 20 indicates there has been a negligible reduction in the quantity of water resources reaching the Woronora Reservoir.

Iron Staining

As described in the Southern Coalfield Panel Report (Department of Planning [DoP], 2008) and the NSW Planning Assessment Commission's Report for the Metropolitan Coal Project (NSW Planning Assessment Commission, 2009), under certain conditions the cracking of stream beds and underlying strata has the potential to result in changes in water quality, particularly ferruginous springs and/or development of iron bacterial mats. Experience at Metropolitan Coal to date indicates that areas of the substratum can be covered by iron flocculent material for several hundred metres downstream of mine subsidence fractures.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 13
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



LEGEND

	Mining Lease Boundary
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)
\checkmark	Gauging Station
•	Pool Water Level Site

Pool Water Level Site

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2008; 2016)



Metropolitan Coal has monitored the extent of iron staining through visual and photographic surveys and assessed the extent of iron staining against the subsidence impact performance measures as follows:

- Negligible environmental consequences (that is, no diversion of flows, no change in the natural drainage behaviour of pools, minimal iron staining, and minimal gas releases) on the Waratah Rivulet between the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir and the maingate of Longwall 23 (upstream of Pool P).
- Negligible environmental consequences over at least 70% of the stream length (that is, no diversion of flows, no change in the natural drainage behaviour of pools, minimal iron staining, and minimal gas releases) on the Eastern Tributary between the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir and the maingate of Longwall 26.

Monitoring to date indicates the subsidence impact performance measures for the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary have not been exceeded.²

Gas Releases

Prior to approval of the Project in 2009, no gas releases had been observed along the Waratah Rivulet, Eastern Tributary or other tributaries over the Metropolitan Coal lease, either before or during mining. Notwithstanding, the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 Water Management Plan recognised there was the potential for gas releases to occur. Gas releases (often sporadic) have since been observed on occasions over particular periods in Pools H, I, J, K, L, O, P and W on the Waratah Rivulet and Pools ETAL and ETAM on the Eastern Tributary (Figure 5). Assessments against the subsidence impact performance measure for negligible environmental consequence on the Waratah Rivulet, *minimal gas releases*, indicate the performance measure has not been exceeded (Gilbert & Associates, 2014; The University of Queensland, 2014; 2016).

Changes in Bed Gradients, Scouring and Stream Alignment

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences in relation to bed gradients, scouring and stream alignment described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans included:

- Potential changes in bed gradients could occur, however, were anticipated to be small relative to the existing grades.
- An increased potential for scouring of the stream bed and banks (at locations where the predicted tilts considerably increase the natural pre-mining stream gradients). The potential for scouring is greatest in stream sections with alluvial deposits. Since the streambed of the Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary is predominantly erosion-resistant Hawkesbury Sandstone, scouring was expected to be very low.
- Subsidence fracturing of bedrock has the potential to cause dislodgement of rock fragments during high flow events.
- The potential for changes to stream alignment as a result of mine subsidence effects was considered to be low.

² Subsequent to finalisation of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan (Version B) and the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Biodiversity Management Plan (Version B), visual assessment of the Eastern Tributary identified an exceedance of the subsidence impact performance measure in relation to iron staining. Metropolitan Coal has implemented the Contingency Plan in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plan.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 15
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

• Minor stream bank erosion, where changes in channel gradients result in increases in flow energy. It would be expected that bank erosion would be relatively minor and comprise a slow retreat of the bank until a new dynamic equilibrium is reached.

The results of the stream inspections have generally been consistent with these predictions. On the Waratah Rivulet (in a section of the stream over Longwall 21) and Eastern Tributary (in a section of the stream over Longwalls 20 and 21) increases in pool depth and breadth from changes in bed gradients have resulted in the submersion of riparian vegetation. This permanent submersion has resulted in dieback of the affected vegetation on a local scale as described in Section 4.3.2.

Surface Water Quality

Subsidence impacts on water quality were predicted by the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans to be similar to that previously observed at Metropolitan Coal, specifically, transient pulses of iron, manganese and aluminium, which would likely occur following fresh cracking of the stream bed. The Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans predicted the Project would not impact on the performance of the Woronora Reservoir and would have a neutral effect on water quality. Water quality monitoring results to date are consistent with the predictions.

Surface water quality has been monitored for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 at a number of sites on Waratah Rivulet, Tributary B, Tributary D, Eastern Tributary, Far Eastern Tributary, Honeysuckle Creek, Bee Creek and Woronora River. Trends in the monitoring data to date for key parameters (pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved iron, dissolved manganese and dissolved aluminium) at the sites listed in Table 2 have been summarised by Hydro Engineering & Consulting (2016a). The water quality sites are shown on Figure 7.

Stream	Monitoring Results to Date
Waratah Rivulet (sites WRWQ 2, WRWQ 6,	Water quality patterns have generally been consistent with earlier data.
	• Upstream sites on Waratah Rivulet show slightly acidic to near neutral pH values with higher (slightly alkaline) values being recorded at downstream sites.
WRWQ 9,	Electrical conductivity has been consistently low.
WRWQ M, WRWO N	• Dissolved iron concentrations have typically been higher at the most upstream sites.
WRWQ P,	Dissolved aluminium has been consistent from upstream to downstream and low.
WRWQ R, WRWQ T and WRWQ W)	• Elevated values (highest for the period of record) for dissolved manganese were recorded at three of the upstream sites in November 2015, but in December 2015 the concentration returned to the lower values previously recorded. Elevated dissolved manganese values were also recorded at two of the downstream sites in December.
Woronora River	• Sites on Woronora River typically show slightly acidic and high variability in pH.
(control sites WOWQ 1 and	• Electrical conductivity values have been consistently low and similar to values recorded on Waratah Rivulet.
	 Dissolved iron has been generally low and similar to values recorded in Waratah Rivulet. A period of slightly elevated dissolved iron was recorded downstream early in 2015.
	 Dissolved aluminium concentrations have been typically low and typically higher upstream.
	• Dissolved manganese has been typically low with evidence of more elevated concentrations occurring in the summer months.

Table 2 Stream Water Quality Monitoring Results

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 16
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Stream	Monitoring Results to Date	
Eastern Tributary (sites ETWQ F,	 Sampling sites on Eastern Tributary show variable but typically near neutral pH values. 	
ETWQ J, ETWQ N	Electrical conductivity values are consistently low.	
ETWQ U, ETWQ W, ETWQ AF, ETWQ AH,	 Dissolved aluminium concentrations are typically low. An exception to this occurred in November 2015, with elevated dissolved aluminium values recorded at ETWQ AU and at ETWQ AQ. In December 2015, dissolved aluminium values returned to the low values previously recorded. 	
ETWQ AQ and ETWQ AU)	 Dissolved manganese concentrations were higher at four sites (ETWQ U, ETWQ W, ETWQ AF and ETWQ AH) compared with previous years. 	
	 Dissolved iron has shown evidence of seasonal effects and some relatively elevated concentrations. Overall, concentrations in 2015 were similar to previous years with most sites exhibiting low concentrations in the second half of the year. 	
Bee Creek, Honeysuckle Creek, Far Eastern Tributary, Tributary B and	• Sampling sites in Bee Creek and Honeysuckle Creek have recorded variable to slightly acidic pH levels, while pH levels in Far Eastern Tributary have been near neutral. An upward trend in pH to near neutral values from mid-2012 has been recorded on Tributary B. Variable and inconsistent pH levels, generally between 5 and 7, have been recorded on Tributary D.	
Tributary D (sites BCWQ 1, HCWQ 1, FFWQ 1	• Electrical conductivity values have been generally low at most of these sites, however, recorded values on Tributary B have been somewhat higher for much of the time since late 2013.	
RTWQ 1, and UTWQ 1)	• Dissolved iron concentrations have been generally low at these sites with periodic small spikes in dissolved iron recorded mostly during summer months.	
	 Dissolved manganese concentrations have been generally low at these sites with periodic small spikes in dissolved manganese recorded mostly during summer months. An upward trend in dissolved manganese concentrations on Tributary B has been recorded since mid-2012, however were reducing towards the end of the reporting period. A similar trend was observed while mining occurred beneath Tributary D. 	
	• Dissolved aluminium concentrations at Far Eastern Tributary, Tributary B and Tributary D have been low. Dissolved aluminium concentrations at Bee Creek and Honeysuckle Creek have been higher (in relation to other tributary sites) over the period of record and this trend continued through 2015.	

Table 2 (Continued)Stream Water Quality Monitoring Results

Source: after Hydro Engineering & Consulting (2016a)

Water quality monitoring results to date indicate there has been a negligible reduction in the quality of water resources reaching the Woronora Reservoir and a negligible reduction in the water quality of Woronora Reservoir.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 17
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



LEGEND

LLULIND	
	Mining Lease Boundary
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
- ·	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)
•	Surface Water Quality Site

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)



4.1.2 Groundwater

The conceptual hydrogeological model supports three distinct groundwater systems, including:

• Perched groundwater system – generally above and independent of the regional groundwater table (typically less than 50 m below the ground surface).

Excess rainfall produces a permanent perched water table within swamp sediments and outcropping sandstone that is independent of the regional water table in the Hawkesbury Sandstone. As the swamps are essentially rain-fed, water levels within upland swamps fluctuate seasonally with climatic conditions.

- Shallow groundwater system the shallow groundwater system (extending typically to less than 100 m below the ground surface) is separate from the perched groundwater system and defines a regional water table.
- Deep groundwater system although the shallow and deep groundwater systems are connected, low permeability of the Bald Hill Claystone provides a degree of isolation between the Hawkesbury Sandstone (Figure 8) that hosts shallow groundwater and the underlying Bulgo Sandstone and deeper formations that host deep groundwater. The deep groundwater system is typically more than 100 m below the ground surface.

Recharge to the groundwater system is from rainfall and from lateral groundwater flow. Although groundwater levels are sustained by rainfall infiltration, they are controlled by ground surface topography and surface water levels. A local groundwater mound develops beneath the sandstone hills with ultimate discharge to incised creeks and waterbodies. Loss by evapotranspiration through vegetation where the water table is within a few metres of the ground surface occurs within upland swamps and outcropping sandstone.

Groundwater Model

A three-dimensional numerical model of groundwater flow was developed for the Project EA. The groundwater model was recalibrated in December 2012 for the Preferred Project Layout by revising the hydraulic conductivities in the Hawkesbury Sandstone and the Bald Hill Claystone. At this time, two extra layers were added to the Hawkesbury Sandstone section to improve resolution of the vertical hydraulic gradient in the shallow groundwater system. The model simulations are based on initial conditions at the end of Longwall 14, consistent with the Project EA assessment (Heritage Computing, 2008).

Transient calibration has recently been undertaken to incorporate Metropolitan Coal updates to the geological model. The revised model includes an update of the topographical surface and geological interfaces, the addition of two model layers below the Bulli seam and updated estimates of the fractured zone height. A report is currently being prepared for the updated model.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Pa		Page 19
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



Source: After Geosensing Solutions (2008); Heritage Consulting (2008)

METROPOLITAN COAL Schematic - Longwall Mining and Subsidence Profile

Perched Groundwater Systems (Upland Swamps)

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on perched groundwater systems described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans, included:

- Any cracking of the bedrock within upland swamps is expected to be isolated and of a minor nature, due to the relatively low magnitudes of the predicted strains and the relatively high depths of cover.
- Surface cracking resulting from mine subsidence within the upland swamps is not expected to result in an increase in the vertical movement of water from the perched water table into the regional aquifer as the sandstone bedrock is massive in structure and permeability decreases with depth.
- It is expected that any surface cracking of a swamp bedrock that may occur would be superficial in nature (i.e. would be relatively shallow) and would terminate within the unsaturated part of the low permeability sandstone. Any changes in swamp water levels as a result of cracking are expected to be immeasurable when compared to the scale of seasonal and even individual rainfall event based changes in swamp groundwater levels.
- Whilst swamp grades vary naturally, the predicted maximum mining-induced tilts are generally
 orders of magnitude lower than the existing natural grades within the swamps. The predicted tilts
 would not have any significant effect on the localised or overall gradient of the swamps or the flow
 of water. Any minor mining-induced tilting of the scale and nature predicted is not expected to
 significantly increase lateral surface water movements which are small in relation to the other
 components in the swamp water balance.

No change to the fundamental surface hydrological processes and upland swamp vegetation were expected within upland swamps.

In relation to impacts of the Project on upland swamps, the NSW Planning Assessment Commission (2009) concluded that the mining parameters were such that:

- for most swamps in the Project Area, there was a low risk of negative environmental consequences; and
- that there was a very low risk that a significant number of swamps would suffer such consequences.

The subsidence predictions presented in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans indicated that Swamp 20 was most at risk of subsidence impacts. Swamp 20 is an in-valley swamp situated on a second order tributary over Longwall 21 (Figure 9). All other swamps over Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 (Figure 9) are valley side swamps.

Groundwater monitoring of upland swamps for Longwalls 20-27 has involved the use, where practicable, of paired piezometers, one swamp substrate piezometer (at approximately 1 m depth) and one sandstone piezometer (at a depth of approximately 10 m) (Figure 9). Specifically, paired piezometers have been monitored in Swamp 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, Swamps 28, 30, 33 and 35 overlying Longwalls 23-27, and in control swamps 101, 137a, 137b and Bee Creek Swamp (Figure 9). At Swamp 20 and at control swamp Woronora River Swamp 1, multiple piezometers have been monitored (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer to a depth of approximately 1 m and two sandstone piezometers to depths of approximately 4 and 10 m) (Figure 9).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 21		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



MET-15-10

LEGEND

	Mining Lease Boundary
	Woronora Special Area
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303
(T.C.)	Woronora Notification Area
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main D

Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Upland Swamp

- Swamp Substrate and Shallow Groundwater Piezometer
- Swamp Substrate Groundwater Piezometer
- Swamp Shallow Groundwater Piezometer ο

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL Upland Swamps Mapped over

Longwalls 20-27, Longwalls 301-317 and Surrounds The swamp substrate piezometer represents water levels within the swamp sediments, and the piezometer at approximate depths of 4 m and 10 m allows comparison with the shallow water table in the Hawkesbury Sandstone. Data shows that water levels within the swamps over longwalls are typically perched above those of the local Hawkesbury sandstone groundwater levels and indicates a separate control on swamp water levels. That is, the swamps are primarily surface water fed systems and generally water infiltrates downwards from the swamps to the groundwater.

To date, the swamp substrate water levels of Swamps 25, 28³, 30, 33 and 35 (all valley side swamps) have remained perched (HydroSimulations, 2016). However, the substrate water levels in Swamp 20 changed from being permanently saturated to being periodically saturated as a result of the passing of Longwall 21 (Chart 1) (HydroSimulations, 2016). This trend continues to be observed (HydroSimulations, 2016).



Chart 1: Comparison of Piezometer Responses at Swamp 20 and Woronora River 1 Control Swamp

The results of upland swamp groundwater monitoring for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 are considered to be consistent with the potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans. However, while the water lost from Swamp 20 was retained in the unsaturated sandstone above the regional water table, the changes in swamp water levels as a result of cracking are measurable when compared to seasonal individual rainfall event based changes in swamp groundwater levels. Whether or not this change in swamp water level is adversely impacting Swamp 20 is uncertain at this stage. However there are no visual signs that swamp vegetation is being impacted by the changed hydrological conditions.

³ Subsequent to finalisation of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan (Version B) and the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Biodiversity Management Plan (Version B), analysis of swamp groundwater levels has identified a mining effect on the substrate water levels of Swamp 28 based on incomplete recovery of substrate water levels following rainfall events. In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan, an assessment will be made against the biodiversity subsidence impact performance measure of *Negligible impact on threatened species, populations, or ecological communities.*

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 23		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Shallow Groundwater Systems and Inflows to the Woronora Reservoir

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on shallow groundwater systems and inflows to the Woronora Reservoir described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans included:

- Permanent mining-induced changes in the groundwater levels of shallow aquifers in connection with streams and ecosystems at Metropolitan Coal would not occur to any significant degree (i.e. the direction of shallow groundwater system flow [i.e. in the Hawkesbury Sandstone] has not been altered by mining).
- As there is an alternation of thick sandstone/claystone lithologies, there is a constrained zone in the overburden that remains rigid and acts as a barrier which isolates shallow and deep aquifers. At the substantial depths of cover of the Project, there would not be connective cracking from the mined seam to the surface.
- The depressurisation effects described below for the deep groundwater system would not propagate to the Hawkesbury Sandstone where the shallow groundwater system is located. As a result, no measurable impacts on registered bores in the wider Project area and surrounds would be expected.
- Based on the analysis of the conceptual groundwater system, there would be negligible loss of groundwater yield to the Woronora Reservoir. This is reinforced by the groundwater modelling which indicates negligible reduction in cumulative average inflows to the Woronora Reservoir. In relation to the potential loss of catchment yield, the NSW Planning Assessment Commission (2009) was of the view that the risk of any significant loss is very low unless a major geological discontinuity is encountered during mining that provides a direct hydraulic connection between the surface and the mine workings.
- Local surface water quality impacts as a result of enhanced groundwater surface water interactions (as described for surface water quality above).

The groundwater monitoring results for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 are considered to be consistent with the potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans.

Depressurisation of the Deep Groundwater System⁴

Immediately above a mined coal seam, rocks collapse into the void created by the removal of coal to form a caved zone and a fractured zone develops above the caved zone (Figure 8). This causes aquifer properties to change (e.g. permeability and porosity) and results in a higher vertical permeability as a result of mining.

⁴ The Research Program, Significance of Chain Pillars on Simulated Groundwater Pressures, funded by Metropolitan Coal has been implemented and progressed by Dr. Noel Merrick. The research program is investigating the role played by chain pillars in isolating groundwater pressure reductions above mined longwall panels, and whether they might limit the outwards propagation of pressure reductions and environmental effects. The outcomes of this research will be an improved understanding of the significance of chain pillars with respect to alteration of the groundwater regime, a quantitative appreciation of critical pillar widths in absolute and relative terms and a rationale for considering geotechnical model outputs in terms of groundwater model inputs (permeability fields).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 24		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on the deep groundwater system described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans, included:

- Based on experience at Metropolitan Coal, substantial depressurisation of the deep aquifers in the fractured zone above the goaf is restricted to a height of less than 130 m from the top of the goaf, while transient pressure effects have been observed to propagate to a height of about 300 m above the goaf. That is, there is a pronounced increase in vertical hydraulic gradient in the deep groundwater system over the Metropolitan Coal longwalls.
- Above goaf zones there would be substantial changes in fracture porosity and permeability, due to opening up of existing joints, new fractures and bed separation. Permeability increases would have accompanying reductions in lateral hydraulic gradients, with associated changes in groundwater levels and pressures. Pronounced changes in groundwater levels can occur without any significant drainage into a mine, particularly from the Narrabeen Group sandstones.
- Groundwater discharge to the mined seam would occur from above and below the seam in
 proportion to local permeabilities. The water make (i.e. groundwater inflow) is expected to be in
 the order of 0.1 megalitres per day (ML/day), but modelling indicates that the inflow could be up
 to 0.5 ML/day from the deep groundwater system during mining of Longwall 24.
- Due to the substantial depths of cover at the Project, there would not be connective cracking from the mined seam to the surface. Groundwater modelling for the Project indicates that there is expected to be eventual recovery of deep groundwater system pressures over many decades following the cessation of mining.

The NSW Planning Assessment Commission (2009) concluded that given the considerable depth of mining and the restricted panel width in the Project area, that, in the absence of geological structures such as faults and igneous intrusions (sills, dykes and diatremes), there is a very high probability that a constrained zone will be associated with the mine layout proposed over the Project area, thereby preventing direct hydraulic connections between mine workings and surface water bodies.

Continuous groundwater level/pressure monitoring has been conducted at bores 9HGW0 (Longwall 10 Goaf Hole), 9EGW1B, 9FGW1A, 9GGW1-80, 9GGW2B, 9HGW1B, PM02, PM01 (9DGW1B), 9EGW2A, PM03, PHGW1B, PHGW2A, F6GW3A and F6GW4A in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans. The monitoring results indicate that a hydraulic gradient has been maintained between bores and the floor levels of the nearest streams and a hydraulic gradient exists from bores to the Woronora Reservoir at the level of the regional water table. The monitoring results also support the assessment of no connective cracking between the surface and the mine.

In accordance with the Dams Safety Committee Approval (26 April 2012), for mining within the Woronora Reservoir Notification Area, Metropolitan Coal has undertaken sampling programs to investigate the properties of groundwater above and below the Hawkesbury Sandstone and to establish chemical signatures that would indicate mining-induced fracturing through the Bald Hill Claystone, should it occur. The data are analysed through statistics, trend diagrams (Stiff, Schoeller and Piper), time-series plots, spatial maps, and ratio plots. Although a few sampling sites are grout-impacted, there is sufficient reliable data to show a clear distinction between groundwaters in the upper Hawkesbury Sandstone, lower Hawkesbury Sandstone and upper Bulgo Sandstone. To date, there is no evidence of mining-induced leakage across the Bald Hill Claystone.

The groundwater monitoring results for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 are considered to be consistent with the potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 25		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

4.2 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 LAND MANAGEMENT PLANS

The Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Land Management Plans were prepared to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Extraction Plans on cliffs, overhangs, steep slopes and land in general, in accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval.

Cliffs and Overhangs

Prior to the commencement of mining, a site inspection of each cliff and overhang (sites COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, COH14, COH15 and COH16, Figure 10) was conducted by MSEC to obtain baseline data including photographic records, sketches of overhangs, mapping of the approximate location of the cliff/overhang face and the rear extent of the overhang/undercut, and mapping of the location of the cliff relative to the Waratah Rivulet (where relevant).

Visual inspections of cliffs and overhangs have been conducted monthly when mining of Longwalls 20-22 and/or Longwalls 23-27 has been within 400 m of sites COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, COH14, COH15 and COH16 (Figure 10) and following the completion of each longwall to record evidence of subsidence impacts. A vertical tension crack (approximately 50 mm wide and 15 m long) on the cliff face and a small rock fall (approximately 1.5 m long, 0.5 m wide and 0.5 m³) were recorded at site COH2 (Figure 10) in December 2013 during the mining of Longwall 22 (Metropolitan Coal, 2014). At the time of BMP development, no additional subsidence impacts at the cliff or overhang sites had been recorded (Metropolitan Coal, 2016a).

The Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Land Management Plans predicted that the length of potential cliff instabilities would be expected to be less than 3% of the lengths of the cliffs. The total length of cliffs and associated overhangs within the Project underground mining area is approximately 772 m. The total length of cliffs and associated overhangs within the mining area to experience cliff instability (i.e. the exposure of a fresh face of rock and debris scattered around the base of the cliff or overhang) is to be less than 23 m. Less than 3% of the total length of cliffs (and associated overhangs) within the mining area have experienced mining-induced rock fall.

Steep Slopes and Land in General

Opportunistic visual inspections for subsidence impacts on steep slopes and land in general have been conducted for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 by Metropolitan Coal and its contractors as part of routine works conducted in the catchment (Figure 10).

In February 2012 during the mining of Longwall 21, a surface tension crack was recorded on Fire Trail 9C adjacent to Longwall 20, approximately 10 m long with a maximum width of 20 mm (Metropolitan Coal, 2012). In September 2011 during the mining of Longwall 21, a rock ledge was recorded to have collapsed on the Unnamed Tributary/Tributary D, located to the south of Longwalls 20-22 (Metropolitan Coal, 2012). In July 2015 during the mining of Longwall 24, a rock ledge collapse was recorded on Tributary B (Metropolitan Coal, 2016a).

The potential for environmental consequences or safety hazard were assessed and documented in the Land Management Plan – Subsidence Impact Register. No management measures were required to be implemented. The recorded subsidence impacts are consistent with the potential subsidence impacts described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Land Management Plans.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 26		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



- - Railway
 Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour
 600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303

Mining Lease Boundary

- ____
 - ——• Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Cliffs and Overhangs Steep Slopes (Project Approval) Steep Slopes (Project Environmental Assessment) Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2008; 2016)



M E T R O P O L I T A N C O A L Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds

4.3 RELEVANT INFORMATION OBTAINED BY THE LONGWALLS 20-22 AND LONGWALLS 23-27 BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT PLANS

The Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans were prepared to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Extraction Plans on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna, with a specific focus on swamps, in accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval.

4.3.1 Upland Swamps

4.3.1.1 Swamp Types

Several types of upland swamps have been defined in the Metropolitan Coal Project underground mining area and surrounds according to the geomorphological settings in which they occur by the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans, as follows:

- 1. <u>Headwater swamps</u>. These are the largest swamp type. They occupy broad, shallow, trough-shaped valleys, usually on first order watercourses at the head of valleys on broad plateaux. They sit on a relatively impermeable, low gradient sandstone base with dispersed seepage flows that encourage the growth of hygrophilic vegetation that in turn traps sediment, thereby increasing the water holding capacity. These swamps usually terminate at points where the watercourse suddenly steepens or drops away at a 'terminal step'. Terminal steps often occur at constrictions in the landscape where two ridges converge, causing a narrowing of the swamp and a concentration of water flows into a central channel.
- 2. <u>Valley side swamps</u>. Valley side swamps occur on steeper terrain than headwater swamps and are sustained by small horizontal aquifers that seep from the sandstone strata and flow over unbroken outcropping rock masses. These 'swamps' have shallow soils because the gradient usually limits sediment accumulation. They tend to terminate either on a horizontal step in the bedrock, or where broken rock, scree or deeper soil occurs at the base of the outcropping rock.
- 3. <u>In-valley swamps</u>. In-valley swamps are uncommon and occur on relatively flat sections of more deeply incised second and third order watercourses. Some are thought to develop behind obstructions in the watercourse, such as fallen rocks or log jams that result in a slowing of the water flow and deposition of sediments. Flat Rock Swamp is considered to represent a 'classic' in-valley swamp. Because of their relatively large catchment areas these swamps tend to be wetter than many headwater and valley side swamps.

Although these swamp types may occur discretely in the landscape, they can also occur in the same connected swamp system. For example, large headwater swamps may transition into in-valley swamps at the downstream end. Similarly, valley side swamps may occur around the steeper margins of some headwater swamps.

The terrain over Longwalls 20-27 is highly dissected with narrow ridges. All the swamps mapped in the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 mining areas are valley side swamps, with the exception of Swamp 20 which is a small in-valley swamp on a second order stream over Longwall 21 (Figure 9). Swamp 20 (situated in a gently inclined valley over solid bedrock) appears to have developed behind a terminal step, at a geological constriction in a valley, in much the same way as headwater swamps develop.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 28		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

4.3.1.2 Swamp Characterisation

Swamp characterisation studies were conducted by Cenwest Environmental Services (2010) for the Longwalls 20-22 Biodiversity Management Plan and Cenwest Environmental Services (2011; 2013a) for the Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan. These studies have contributed to Metropolitan Coal's understanding of the ecological, hydrological and geomorphic processes of the upland swamps over Longwalls 20-27.

4.3.1.3 Swamp Vegetation Mapping

Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) conducted a baseline flora survey and mapped vegetation communities within the Project underground mining area for Longwalls 20-27 and Longwalls 301-317 for the Project EA (HCPL, 2008). Swamps were mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) consistent with vegetation mapping by the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) (2003) as either vegetation community 3a (Banksia Thicket), 3b (Tea Tree Thicket), 3c (Sedgeland-heath Complex), 3d (Fringing Eucalypt Woodland), or a combination of these communities.

Swamps mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) located above or immediately adjacent to Longwalls 20-27 include Swamps 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 (Figure 9).

While Swamp 29 is illustrated on Figure 9 (for consistency with the previous Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan), field inspections by Eco Logical for the Longwalls 23-27 vegetation monitoring program indicated that it is not a swamp. The vegetation was found to be similar to sandstone heath woodland, being dominated by *Angophora costata, Corymbia gummifera* and *Eucalyptus oblonga,* with an understorey of *Banksia ericifolia, Acacia ulicifolia, Leptospermum trinervium, Kunzea ambigua, Dillwynia retorta* and *Schoenus ericetorum.* Accordingly, Swamp 29 was not considered further in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan.

The vegetation in the remaining swamps (with the exception of Swamp 33) was classified by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) as 'Sedgeland-heath Complex' consistent with vegetation mapping by NPWS (2003). Sedgeland-heath Complex is a mapping unit that amalgamates the Sedgeland, Restioid Heath and Cyperoid Heath vegetation associations identified by Keith and Myerscough (1993). The three communities were condensed by NPWS (2003) because they could not be reliably distinguished by Air Photo Interpretation for community mapping. Swamp 33, was mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys as 'Banksia Thicket' consistent with vegetation mapping by NPWS (2003).

Field inspections for the previous Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans by Eco Logical indicated that all the swamps over Longwalls 20-27 comprised either Banksia Thicket or Restioid Heath (or a combination of the two), with the exception of Swamp 20 and Swamp 28. Swamp 20 supports Tea Tree Thicket, while Swamp 28 is a Banksia Thicket swamp with the lower portion supporting Tea Tree Thicket.

Three of the vegetation patches mapped as swamps (Swamps 16, 17 and 23), although showing seepage, do not appear to be upland swamps, being more akin to Sandstone Heath Woodland with low tree densities. The vegetation on these patches have species found in upland swamps, mixed with a range of non-swamp species, including *Banksia serrata, Eucalyptus sieberi* and *E. racemosa* in Swamps 16 and 17, and *Angophora hispida* and *Allocasuarina littoralis* in the case of Swamp 23. However, Swamp 23 also has a number of characteristic swamp species, including *Sprengelia incarnata, Epacris obtusifolia* and *Pultenaea aristata,* indicating at least some parts of it are quite moist. However, despite this, Swamp 23 is considered to be transitional between swamp and wet heath and somewhat atypical.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 29	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

Similarly, Swamp 32 and Swamp 34 included elements of the Sandstone-Heath Woodland consistent with descriptions of this community by NPWS (2003).

During the conduct of the Longwalls 20-27 upland swamp vegetation monitoring, the swamp boundary of control swamps 101, 111a, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138 and Bee Creek Swamp were updated by Eco Logical (as shown on Figure 11).

4.3.1.4 Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring

Upland swamp vegetation monitoring for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 has included visual, quadrat/transect and indicator species monitoring, as described below.

The upland swamp vegetation monitoring program was designed to comprehensively assess potential vegetation changes at three scales; overall gross changes across the whole swamp, changes at the community level and changes at the level of individual plants. Visual inspections aim to appraise the overall condition of the swamp and to detect any localised changes, described below, that may not be detected by detailed transect, quadrat and individual plant monitoring. The visual inspections provide qualitative information that may lead to further investigation and/or actions.

The fixed vegetation transects and associated quadrats aim to precisely measure changes in vegetation community composition over time in undermined and control swamps, including a two year pre-mining baseline data period. This sampling design follows that of Keith and Myerscough (1993) which is specifically tailored for upland swamp monitoring. The design includes sufficient replication for robust statistical analysis.

Monitoring of individual plants provides species level data on the health and survival of individual plants in undermined and control swamps. Monitoring is targeted to swamp specialist species that may be prone to any mining-induced changes to swamp hydrology.

Visual Inspections

Visual inspections have been conducted in Swamps 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97 and 98 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 20-27 when mining has been within 400 m of the swamp and at the time of the vegetation surveys (i.e. biannually in autumn and spring) to record evidence of potential subsidence impacts.

Traverses covering the majority of the extent of the swamp have been conducted to record:

- cracking of exposed bedrock areas and/or swamp sediments;
- areas of increased erosion, particularly along any existing drainage lines;
- any changes in water colour;
- changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed⁵ or senescent vegetation that appear unusual; and
- the amount of seepage at the time of inspection, relative to recent rainfall events.

⁵ Note, the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans refer to 'senescing' vegetation. The text has been changed to differentiate vegetation that is 'stressed' and vegetation that is dying or has died (senescent). Senescence is the process of ageing including the period leading up to death. It is sometimes difficult to differentiate between the two under field conditions.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 30
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		
Transect and Quadrat Monitoring

Transect and quadrat monitoring is conducted of:

- Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath vegetation in Swamps 16, 17, 18, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, Swamps 28 (upper portion), 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27, and in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138 and Bee Creek Swamp (Figure 9); and
- Tea Tree Thicket vegetation in Swamp 20 overlying Longwalls 20-22, in the lower portion of Swamp 28 overlying Longwalls 23-27, and in control swamps Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp (Figure 9).

Baseline upland swamp vegetation surveys were conducted for Longwalls 20-22 in spring 2009 and autumn 2010⁶ and for Longwalls 23-27 from spring 2010 to spring 2013⁷.

The Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath swamps and Swamp 20 (Tea Tree Thicket) have been monitored with three transects, with the exception of Swamp 28. Swamp 28 is a small valley-side swamp which supports Banksia Thicket in the upper portion of the swamp and Tea Tree Thicket in the lower portion of the swamp. Vegetation within Swamp 28 has been monitored along two transects, one within the Banksia Thicket and one within Tea Tree Thicket vegetation. Tea Tree Thicket control swamps Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp have been monitored with a single transect owing to the much larger size of these control swamps.

For the Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath swamps, assessments have been made on 1 square metre (m^2) quadrats centred on the transect line every 5 m starting from 0 m. For the Tea Tree Thicket swamps, assessments have been made on 1 m^2 quadrats located upslope of the transect line with one quadrat edge located on the line as a means of avoiding the impacts of vegetation trampling as a result of access into these thickly vegetated swamps. As for Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath swamps, assessments are made every 5 m starting from 0 m.

The data collected for each quadrat includes:

- vegetation structure;
- dominant species;
- estimated cover and height for each stratum;
- full floristics;
- estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale; and Modified Braun-Blanquet Scale
 - 1 = cover less than 5% of site and rare
 - 2 = cover less than 5% of site and uncommon
 - 3 = cover of less than 5% and common
 - 4 = cover of 5-20% of site
 - 5 = cover of 21-50% of site
 - 6 = cover of 51-75% of site
 - 7 = cover of greater than 75%

⁴ Monitoring of transects/quadrats in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp commenced in spring 2009 and in control Swamps 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138 and Bee Creek Swamp in spring 2010.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 31			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

⁶ Longwall Swamps 16 and 17 (Restioid Heath/Sandstone Heath Woodland) were added to the vegetation monitoring program in autumn 2010.

• condition/health rating for each species in the quadrat:

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy

Permanent photo points were established along each transect.

Indicator Species Monitoring

Indicator species monitoring has been conducted in Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath swamps, as follows:

- *Epacris obtusifolia* in Swamps 18, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, in Swamps 19, 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27, and in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b and 138.
- Sprengelia incarnata in Swamp 24 overlying Longwalls 20-22, in Swamps 19, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27 and in control Swamps 101, 125, 135, 136, 137a and 138.
- *Pultenaea aristata* in Swamps 18, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, in Swamps 19, 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27 and in control Swamps 101, 111a, 135, 136, 137a and 138.

Indicator species monitoring of *Banksia robur, Callistemon citrinus* and *Leptospermum juniperinum* has been conducted in the Tea Tree Thicket vegetation of Swamp 20 overlying Longwalls 20-22, of *Banksia robur* and *Callistemon citrinus* in the Tea Tree Thicket vegetation of Swamp 28 overlying Longwalls 23-27, and at the associated control sites (Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp).

Baseline indicator species monitoring was conducted in spring 2009 and autumn 2010 for Longwalls 20-22⁸, and from spring 2010 to spring 2013 for Longwalls 23-27⁹.

Twenty tagged individuals of each species have been monitored in the swamps indicated above. Population monitoring data collected includes a condition/health rating (1 - severe damage/dieback, 2 - many dead stems, 3 - some dead branches, 4 -minor damage, 5 – healthy) and a reproductive rating (1 – nil, 2 - sparse [occasional flowers only], 3 - low [under 25 percent of potential], 4 - moderate [25 to 75 percent], 5 - high [over 75 percent of potential flowering]) for each plant.

⁹ Monitoring of indicator species in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp commenced in spring 2009 and monitoring of indicator species in control Swamps 135, 136, 137a, 137b and 138 commenced in spring 2010.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 32			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

⁸ Monitoring of *Pultenaea aristata* in Swamp 24 commenced in autumn 2010.

Monitoring Results to Date

The results of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 upland swamp vegetation monitoring programs (up to and including the autumn 2015 survey) can be summarised as follows:

- No cracking of exposed bedrock areas or swamp sediments has been observed, other than those recorded during the baseline surveys. Areas in which active erosion was observed were all minor and limited to access tracks, drainage lines and areas of bare earth without vegetation cover. At Swamp 20, iron-stained groundwater seepage has been observed since spring 2012 on the terminal rocky step and a small rocky step.
- The vegetation structure, dominant species and estimated cover abundance for each stratum has been variable across all seasons with variations recorded between sites, seasons and strata. No notable changes in vegetation structure, dominant species or estimated cover/abundance which could be attributed to impacts associated with the mining of Longwalls 20-22 or Longwalls 23-27 have been recorded.
- Fluctuations in species cover/abundance and vegetation condition have been recorded across all sites. No evidence exists of increasing or decreasing cover/abundance in relation to individual species across sites or groups of species (i.e. swamp indicator species, generalist species, shrubs, ground covers) within sites.
- Fluctuations in vegetation condition have also been recorded across sites. Generally, vegetation within Restioid Heath/Banksia Thicket swamps has been in a healthy condition with observations of dieback limited to isolated individuals within swamps. For the Tea Tree Thickets, vegetation has been observed to be in a healthy to variable condition in both longwall and control swamps.
- Analysis of quadrat/transect data indicates that the vegetation in upland swamps overlying longwall mining has not experienced changes significantly different to changes in control swamps.
- Monitoring of indicator species (*Epacris obtusifolia, Sprengelia incarnata, Pultenaea aristata, Banksia robur, Callistemon citrinus* and *Leptospermum juniperinum*) indicates the results for longwall swamps are similar to the results for control swamps or the baseline monitoring data.
- The upland swamp vegetation performance indicator, *The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to changes in control swamps*, has not been exceeded to date.

4.3.1.5 Upland Swamp Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring of upland swamps for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 is described in Section 4.1.2 above¹⁰.

4.3.1.6 Assessment of Monitoring Results against Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on perched groundwater systems described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans are described in Section 4.1.2 above.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 33
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

¹⁰ As described in the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans, baseline upland swamp groundwater data is available from August/September 2010 to 31 May 2012 for Longwalls 20-22 swamps and from March 2013 to 30 June 2014 for Longwalls 23-27 swamps.

In summary, no change to the fundamental surface hydrological processes and upland swamp vegetation were expected within upland swamps, however, Swamp 20 was identified as being most at risk of subsidence impacts as a result of Longwalls 20-27.

To date, the swamp substrate water levels of Swamps 25, 28, 30, 33 and 35 (all valley side swamps) have remained perched, however the substrate water levels in Swamp 20 have changed from being permanently saturated to being periodically saturated (HydroSimulations, 2016). While the water lost from Swamp 20 was retained in the unsaturated sandstone above the regional water table, the changes in swamp water levels as a result of cracking are measurable when compared to seasonal individual rainfall event based changes in swamp groundwater levels. Whether or not this change in swamp water level is adversely impacting Swamp 20 is uncertain at this stage.

To date, the upland swamp vegetation monitoring results indicate that the vegetation in upland swamps including Swamp 20 has not experienced changes significantly different to changes in control swamps. However, it is not possible to predict the long term impacts on the vegetation of Swamp 20 owing to uncertainty about the altered hydrological regime, particularly the extent of cracking, and the potential for natural remediation. The effects on vegetation of reductions in water levels in Swamp 20, if any, may take some years to be expressed in the absence of a catastrophic event such as extreme drought and/or a wildfire. Continued biannual quantitative monitoring is required to reliably determine the impact of subsidence on Swamp 20 vegetation.

The upland swamp groundwater performance indicator, *Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining*, has been exceeded at Swamp 20 since the mining of Longwall 21. Exceedances of the performance indicator at Swamp 20 have triggered an assessment against the performance measure, Negligible impact on threatened species and populations (FloraSearch, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015; Cenwest Environmental Services, 2012, 2013b, 2014a, 2015). An overview of the assessments is provided in Section 4.3.5.

4.3.2 Riparian Vegetation

Riparian vegetation within the Project underground mining area occurs along streams which flow to the Woronora Reservoir, including Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary, and some of their tributaries. Vegetation mapping within the Project underground mining area is shown on Figure 11. Riparian vegetation includes vegetation mapped as community 4a (Sandstone Riparian Scrub).

4.3.2.1 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring

The riparian vegetation monitoring program for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 includes visual, quadrat/transect and indicator species monitoring of riparian vegetation on the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary, as described below.

The riparian vegetation monitoring program was designed to comprehensively assess potential vegetation changes at three scales; overall gross changes across the observed streamside section, changes at the community level and changes at the level of individual plants. Visual inspections aim to appraise the overall condition of the riparian zone and to detect any localised changes, described below, that may not be detected by detailed transect, quadrat and individual plant monitoring. The visual inspections provide qualitative information that may lead to further investigation and/or actions.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 34		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



LEGEND



Mining Lease Boundary Railway Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317 Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift) Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016); after NPWS (2003), Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) and Eco Logical Australia (2015; 2016)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL

Mapped Vegetation Communities Within the Project Underground Mining Area and Surrounds The fixed vegetation transects and associated quadrats aim to precisely measure changes in vegetation community composition over time, including a two year pre-mining baseline data period. The design allows statistical comparison of pre and post mining data.

Monitoring of individual plants provides species level data on the health and survival of individual within riparian zone species. Monitoring is targeted to specialist species that depend on the habitats of the riparian zone and may be prone to any mining-induced changes to stream geomorphology.

Visual Inspections

Visual inspections of riparian areas are conducted biannually in locations adjacent to riparian vegetation monitoring sites (sites MRIP01 to MRIP12) (Figure 12), and areas traversed whilst accessing the monitoring sites, to record evidence of subsidence impacts including:

- areas of new water ponding;
- any cracking or rock displacement; and
- changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed vegetation that appear unusual.

Transect/Quadrat Monitoring

A permanent quadrat (20 m x 2 m) and permanent transect (50 m x 2 m, i.e. a 30 m extension of each quadrat) have been used to monitor riparian vegetation on the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary at (Figure 12)¹¹:

- sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05 and MRIP06 overlying Longwalls 20-22;
- sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27; and
- sites MRIP03, MRIP04, MRIP07 and MRIP08 downstream of Longwalls 23-27.

The data collected for each quadrat includes:

- vegetation structure;
- dominant species;
- estimated cover and height for each stratum;
- full floristics;
- estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale; and
 - Modified Braun-Blanquet Scale
 - 1 = cover less than 5% of site and rare
 - 2 = cover less than 5% of site and uncommon
 - 3 = cover of less than 5% and common
 - 4 = cover of 5-20% of site
 - 5 = cover of 21-50% of site
 - 6 = cover of 51-75% of site
 - 7 = cover of greater than 75%

¹¹ Note that no quadrat or transect monitoring is conducted at sites MRIP09 and MRIP10. These sites were established for the purpose of visual inspections and indicator species monitoring only.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 36
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



Γ

LEGEND Mining Lease Boundary Railway Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour 600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303 Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift) Monitoring Site

Riparian Vegetation Monitoring Site •

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

Peabody M E T R O P O L I T A N COAL **Riparian Vegetation Monitoring Locations** • condition/health rating for each species in the quadrat.

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy

The data collected along each transect includes the occurrence of weed species (species and location) and a condition/health rating for each plant along the transect.

Permanent photo points have been established for each quadrat and along each transect.

Baseline riparian transect/quadrat surveys were conducted biannually from spring 2008 to autumn 2010 at sites MRIP01 to MRIP08 and from spring 2010 to spring 2013 (i.e. prior to the commencement of Longwall 23) at sites MRIP11 and MRIP12.

Indicator Species

Three riparian vegetation indicator species have been monitored along Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary, namely, *Prostanthera linearis*, *Schoenus melanostachys* and *Lomatia myricoides*. Twenty tagged individuals of each species have been monitored at the following sites (Figure 12):

- sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05, MRIP06 and MRIP09 overlying Longwalls 20-22;
- sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27; and
- sites MRIP03, MRIP04, MRIP07, MRIP08¹² and MRIP10 downstream of Longwalls 23-27.

Population monitoring data collected includes a condition/health rating (1 - severe damage/dieback, 2 - many dead stems, 3 - some dead branches, 4 - minor damage, 5 - healthy) and a reproductive rating (1 - nil, 2 - sparse [occasional flowers only], 3 - low [under 25 percent of potential], 4 - moderate [25 to 75 percent], 5 - high [over 75 percent of potential flowering]) for each plant.

Surveys have been conducted bi-annually in autumn and spring.

Baseline indicator species monitoring was conducted in spring 2009 and autumn 2010 at sites MRIP01 to MRIP10 and from spring 2010 to spring 2013 (i.e. prior to the commencement of Longwall 23) at sites MRIP11 and MRIP12.

Monitoring Results to Date

The results of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 riparian vegetation monitoring programs (up to and including the autumn 2015 survey) can be summarised as follows:

Vegetation at riparian monitoring sites has generally been observed in good condition, with the exception of site MRIP02 on Waratah Rivulet and between sites MRIP05 and MRIP09 on the Eastern Tributary (Figure 12). Increased depth and breadth of ponding from subsidence at these sites has resulted in permanent submersion of streamside vegetation causing vegetation dieback. Vegetation dieback was first observed at site MRIP02 in spring 2012 and between sites MRIP09 and MRIP09 and MRIP05 in autumn 2014.

¹² Note: Twenty individuals of *Prostanthera linearis* were not available for tagging at site MRIP08.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 38		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

• Within the other riparian monitoring sites, dieback has been limited to isolated and scattered individuals, and flood impacts (e.g. flood-swept and prone vegetation).

The ponding of streamside vegetation and vegetation dieback at site MRIP02 on the Waratah Rivulet and between sites MRIP05 and MRIP09 on the Eastern Tributary continues to be monitored. It was considered that the most appropriate action was to continue monitoring to determine whether the vegetation recovers in these areas or whether management measures are required, consistent with management measures outlined in the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans.

The amount of dieback has not changed at these sites over time (i.e. the same dead vegetation has been re-recorded on each survey visit and there has been no recovery). These results indicate the dieback has been a once only response to subsidence, resulting in both cases in submersion of a narrow strip of streamside vegetation. It is anticipated that a new stream bank will be established that will be colonised in due course with ecologically adapted vegetation.

The riparian vegetation performance indicator, *Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal*, has been exceeded at site MRIP02 on Waratah Rivulet and between sites MRIP09 and MRIP05 on the Eastern Tributary on the basis that vegetation dieback greater than 50 cm from the Waratah Rivulet/Eastern Tributary has been observed. Exceedances of the performance indicator have triggered assessments against the performance measure, *Negligible impact on threatened species and populations* (FloraSearch, 2012-2013, 2014, 2015; Cenwest Environmental Services, 2012-2013, 2014b, 2015). An overview of the assessments is provided in Section 4.3.5.

4.3.2.2 Assessment of Monitoring Results against Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on streams described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans are described in Section 4.1.1.

The Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans predicted potential impacts on riparian vegetation, primarily as a result of changes in stream water levels. As described above and in Section 4.1.1, increased ponding from changes in bed gradients has resulted in the prolonged inundation of the adjacent riparian vegetation which has resulted in vegetation dieback.

4.3.3 Aquatic Biota and their Habitats

4.3.3.1 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring

The richness and abundance of assemblages of fish recorded by the Project EA aquatic ecology surveys was low. Only two native species were recorded, *viz.* the Long-finned Eel (*Anguilla reinhardtii*) in the Waratah Rivulet and Woronora River, and Australian Smelt (*Retropinna semoni*) in the Woronora Reservoir. The introduced Mosquito Fish (*Gambusia holbrooki*) was recorded in the Woronora Reservoir, Waratah Rivulet and Woronora River. No threatened fish have been recorded in the Woronora Reservoir, Waratah Rivulet or Woronora River and the dam wall of the Woronora Reservoir is likely to be a major barrier to migration of fish. Further to discussions with the Department of Primary Industries (DPI) – Fisheries during development of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 Biodiversity Management Plan, fish were not included in the aquatic ecology monitoring programs for Longwalls 20-22 or Longwalls 23-27.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 39		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Metropolitan Coal assesses subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on aquatic habitats in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plan (Section 4.1.1). Surface water monitoring includes monitoring of surface water flow, pool water levels, surface water quality, iron staining and gas releases. Observations of surface cracking, iron staining and gas releases are also made during the conduct of the aquatic ecology surveys.

The Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 aquatic ecology monitoring programs include the monitoring of aquatic habitat characteristics, water quality, macroinvertebrates and aquatic macrophytes. Consistent with the Project EA, the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 aquatic ecology monitoring programs were designed to:

- monitor subsidence-induced impacts on aquatic ecology (stream monitoring); and
- monitor the response of aquatic ecosystems to the implementation of future potential stream remediation works (pool monitoring).

The design of the monitoring programs uses a "Beyond BACI" experimental design and focuses on representative sampling within streams and pools in mining areas and in suitable control streams and pools (i.e. not subject to mine subsidence).

Stream Monitoring

Monitoring of aquatic biota has been conducted at two sampling sites (approximately 100 m long) at the following stream sampling locations (Figure 13):

- Locations WT3 and WT4 on Waratah Rivulet, Locations ET1, ET3 and ET4 on the Eastern Tributary and Locations B1 and B2 on Tributary B overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- Location WT5 on Waratah Rivulet and Location ET2 on the Eastern Tributary, downstream of Longwalls 20-27.
- Control Locations: WR1 on Woronora River; OC on O'Hares Creek; BC on Bee Creek; and WOT on Woronora Tributary.

The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 13.

Monitoring of the sampling sites has been conducted biannually in spring (15 September to 15 December) and autumn (15 March to 15 June), consistent with the timing required by the Australian River Assessment System (AUSRIVAS) protocol.

The monitoring parameters and methods are described in Table 3.

Baseline aquatic ecology surveys of macroinvertebrates and macrophytes were conducted biannually from spring 2008 or spring 2009¹³ to autumn 2010 for Longwalls 20-22 stream monitoring at Locations WT3, WT4 and WT5 on Waratah Rivulet, Locations ET1, ET2 and ET3 on the Eastern Tributary, Location B1 on Tributary B, Location WR1 on Woronora River, Location OC on O'Hares Creek, Location BC on Bee Creek and Location WOT on Woronora Tributary (Figure 13). Baseline surveys of macroinvertebrates and macrophytes were conducted prior to the commencement of Longwall 23 (biannually from spring 2009 to spring 2013) for the additional Longwalls 23-27 stream monitoring sites at Location ET4 on the Eastern Tributary and Location B2 on Tributary B (Figure 13).

¹³ The sampling of Location ET3 on the Eastern Tributary commenced in spring 2009.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 40		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



LEGEND

Mining Lease Boundary
 Railway
 Project Underground Mining Area
 Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
 20 mm Subsidence Contour
 600 m from Secondary Extraction of
 Longwalls 301-303
 Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Driff)

Monitoring

- Pool Aquatic Ecology Sampling Site
- Stream Aquatic Ecology Sampling Site

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

> METROPOLITAN COAL Aquatic Ecology Monitoring Locations

Table 3
Stream Monitoring Parameters and Methods

Monitoring Parameter		Monitoring Methods
•	Habitat Characteristics	Information on stream characteristics is recorded at each site in accordance with the AUSRIVAS protocol (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004). Characteristics recorded include a visual assessment of stream width and depth, riparian conditions, signs of disturbance, water quality and percentage cover of the substratum by algae.
•	Water Quality	A number of water quality variables are measured at each of the sampling sites prior to undertaking the biological sampling. Measurements of physico-chemical water quality will be determined using a submersible data logger. Water quality measurements include electrical conductivity (microSiemens per centimetre [µS/cm]), dissolved oxygen (% Saturation and milligrams per litre [mg/L]), pH, temperature (degrees Celsius [°C]), turbidity (Neophlemetric Turbidity Units [NTU]) and oxygen reduction potential (millivolts [mV]). Alkalinity is determined in the field using a total alkalinity field kit.
		The water quality measurements provide information relevant to water quality at the time of sampling.
•	Aquatic Macroinvertebrates	Two methods are used to sample aquatic macroinvertebrates at each site: sampling using the AUSRIVAS protocol and quantitative sampling, as described below.
	AUSRIVAS Sampling	To sample assemblages of macroinvertebrates in accordance with the AUSRIVAS protocol (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004), samples of stream edge habitats are collected using a 250 micrometre (μ m) dip net. Edge habitat is defined as areas along stream banks with little or no flow, including alcoves and backwaters, with abundant leaf litter, fine sediment deposits, beds of macrophytes, overhanging banks and areas with trailing vegetation (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004).
		At each site (approximately 100 m long), samples are collected over a total length of 10 m, usually in 1 to 2 m sections, ensuring all significant edge sub-habitats within a site (i.e. macrophytes, over-hanging bank and vegetation, leaf-litter, pool rocks, logs) are included in the sample (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004). The contents of each net sample are placed into a white sorting tray and animals will be collected for a minimum period of 30 minutes. Thereafter, removals are carried out in 10 minute periods, up to a total of one hour (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004). If no new taxa are found within a 10 minute period, removals cease (Turak <i>et al.</i> , 2004). The animals collected are placed inside a labelled container and preserved with 70% alcohol.
		Samples are identified using a stereomicroscope. Taxa are identified to family level with the exception of Acarina (to order), Chironomidae (to sub-family), Nematoda (to phylum), Nemertea (to phylum), Oligochaeta (to class), Ostracoda (to subclass) and Polychaeta (to class). Some families of Anisoptera (dragonfly larvae) are identified to species, as they could potentially include threatened aquatic species.
	Quantitative Sampling	Within each site, three replicate macroinvertebrate samples are collected using timed one minute sweeps of all habitats (edge, riffle, pools, etc.), using a 250 x 250 cm (250 μ m) dip net. For each replicate sample, the contents of the net are placed into white plastic trays filled with fresh water and then placed into pre-labelled plastic sample containers filled with 70% alcohol. In the laboratory, animals are identified to family level with the exception of some families of Anisoptera (dragonfly larvae), which are identified to species, as they could potentially include threatened aquatic species.
•	Aquatic Macrophytes	The distribution of submerged and emergent (occurring in-stream and in the riparian zone) macrophytes is estimated along each sampling location by assigning a cover class to each species. The cover classes are: (1) one plant or small patch (i.e. few), (2) not common, growing in a few places (i.e. scattered), and (3) widespread (i.e. common).
		Within each site, an assessment of the in-stream (i.e. submerged and emergent) aquatic vegetation is made by estimating the relative abundance (i.e. percentage cover) of aquatic macrophytes within five haphazardly placed 0.25 m ² quadrats, using a stratified sampling technique.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 42
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Pool Monitoring

A number of pools are monitored to assess the response of aquatic ecosystems to the implementation of stream remediation works, namely (Figure 13):

- Larger pools (i.e. >40 m in length) J, M1 and N on Waratah Rivulet and ETAH on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- Smaller pools (i.e. <40 m in length) K, L and M on Waratah Rivulet and ETAG, ETAI and ETAK on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- One larger control pool on Woronora River (Pool WP) and one larger control pool on O'Hares Creek (Pool OC).
- Three smaller control pools on Woronora River (Pools WP-A, WP-B and WP-C) and three smaller control pools on O'Hares Creek (Pools OC-A, OC-B and OC-C).

Monitoring of the sampling sites is conducted biannually in spring (15 September to 15 December) and autumn (15 March to 15 June).

Sampling is conducted at two random sites within the larger pools and at one site within the smaller pools. Within each site in each pool, aquatic macroinvertebrates and macrophytes are sampled using the same quantitative techniques described in Table 3 for stream monitoring. Quantitative estimates of aquatic macrophytes (i.e. emergent, floating attached and/or submerged species of aquatic plants) are collected at one site at each small pool and at two sites at each large pool. In addition, the spatial distribution of floating attached and/or submerged macrophytes (i.e. *Myriophyllum penduculatum* and *Triglochin procerum*) are also mapped in each pool on each sampling occasion to provide a visual comparison of their distribution through time. AUSRIVAS sampling techniques is not used for pool monitoring.

Baseline aquatic ecology surveys of macroinvertebrates and macrophytes were conducted biannually from spring 2008 or spring 2009¹⁴ to autumn 2010 for Longwalls 20-22 pool monitoring at Pools J, K, L, M, M1 and N on Waratah Rivulet, Pools WP, WP-A, WP-B and WP-C on the Woronora River and Pools OC, OC-A, OC-B and OC-C on O'Hares Creek (Figure 13). Baseline surveys were also conducted prior to the commencement of Longwall 23 (biannually from spring 2009 to spring 2013) for Longwalls 23-27 pool monitoring at Pools ETAG, ETAH, ETAI and ETAK on the Eastern Tributary for comparison with Pools WP, WP-A, WP-B and WP-C on the Woronora River and Pools OC, OC-A, OC-B and OC-C on O'Hares Creek (Figure 13).

Monitoring Results to Date

The results of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 aquatic ecology monitoring programs (up to and including the autumn 2015 survey) are summarised below.

¹⁴ The sampling of larger pools N on Waratah Rivulet, WP on Woronora River and OC on O'Hares Creek commenced in spring 2008. The sampling of larger pools J and M1 on Waratah Rivulet, and smaller pools K, L and M on Waratah Rivulet, WP-A to WP-C on Woronora River and OC-A to OC-C on O'Hares Creek commenced in spring 2009.

Metropolitan Coar – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 43
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Multivariate and univariate statistical procedures (Permutational Multivariate Analyses of Variance [PERMANOVA] and Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological research [PRIMER] software packages) are used to examine temporal and spatial patterns in macroinvertebrates and macrophytes sampled within the study area. Specifically, PERMANOVA's are used to test hypotheses related to differential changes (e.g. before vs after commencement of mining) in multivariate and univariate (e.g. total number of taxa, total abundance and abundances of the most important taxonomic groups identified from the samples) estimates occurring in streams or pools subject to mining (i.e. potential 'impact' streams) in comparison to independent streams or pools that are not subject to mine subsidence (i.e. control places).

Multivariate statistical techniques (Bray Curtis dissimilarities) are also used to examine patterns in assemblages of macroinvertebrates and macrophytes among locations or pools. Multivariate methods allow comparisons of two (or more) samples based on the degree to which these samples share particular species, at comparable levels of abundance (Clarke and Warwick, 1994). Principal Coordinates Analyses are used to present a graphical representation of relationships among samples. Similarity of percentages (SIMPER) are used to determine those taxa primarily responsible for the observed similarities (or dissimilarities) (Clarke, 1993).

Stream Monitoring

Multivariate analyses (PERMANOVA) of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 stream monitoring data compared before versus after mining indicates that any effect of longwall mining on assemblages of aquatic macroinvertebrates and macrophytes at Locations ET1, ET2, ET3 and ET4 on the Eastern Tributary and at Locations WT3, WT4 and WT5 on the Waratah Rivulet to date (to autumn 2015) are within the range of natural variability in these assemblages as measured by the control locations.

The Principal Coordinates Analyses indicated that assemblages of macroinvertebrates at Location ET3 on the Eastern Tributary have grouped separately from prior sampling occasions since spring 2012. The spring 2012 survey coincided with observed inundation of the stream bank as a result of subsidence and riparian vegetation dieback was noted at Location ET3 in the following season (i.e. autumn 2013). SIMPER analyses indicated that differences were mostly due to changes in the contribution that the families Atyidae (freshwater shrimps) and Leptophlebiidae (mayflies) made to the structure of the assemblage. There have been considerable spikes in mean total numbers of macroinvertebrates at Location ET3 in spring 2012, autumn 2013 and spring 2014, driven mostly by increased numbers of Leptophlebiidae. In contrast, mean numbers of Atyidae have decreased since spring 2012. The riparian vegetation dieback has not affected emergent species of macrophyte at the location. Rather, emergent species have colonised sections of the inundated area.

Quantitative sampling of aquatic macroinvertebrates was not carried out at Location B1 on Tributary B in autumn 2015, spring 2014 or spring 2013 due to insufficient habitat available for sampling. A considerable drop (approximately 1 m) in water level was noted in a large pool at Location B1 in spring 2012. By autumn 2013, the pool had almost completely emptied and there was no surface flow along the study reach due to subsidence associated with mining of the Longwalls 20-22 underground mining area.

For the first time since sampling commenced in spring 2009, there was evidence of minor cracking of the stream substratum at the most upstream site (Site B2-1) at Location B2 on Tributary B in autumn 2015. The structure of assemblages of aquatic macroinvertebrates at Location B2 on Tributary B have differed significantly from before-to-after the commencement of Longwalls 23-27 in comparison to the control locations since spring 2014. However, there were no differences in aquatic macrophytes at Location B2 on Tributary B related to the commencement of mining of Longwalls 23-27.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 44
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Pool Monitoring

Monitoring of large and small pools on Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary (i.e. the pool monitoring) has been established to monitor the response of aquatic ecosystems to the implementation of future potential stream remediation works. To date, none of the monitored pools have been required to be subject to stream remediation activities.

Notwithstanding, the results of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 pool monitoring programs (up to and including the autumn 2015 survey) can be summarised as follows. Multivariate analyses comparing temporal and spatial patterns of change in assemblages of aquatic macroinvertebrates in large and small pools sampled on the Waratah Rivulet with the control pools have not detected significant differences in the structure of assemblages of macroinvertebrates or their main components (i.e. Leptophlebiidae and Atyidae) when comparing the before-to-after mining periods. Mean diversity of macroinvertebrates in Pools J and M1 has increased significantly in relation to control pools since the commencement of Longwalls 20-22.

In autumn 2015, the structure of aquatic macroinvertebrates at large pool ETAH sampled on the Eastern Tributary was found to differ significantly from before-to-after mining, largely due to changes in the contribution that the families Atyidae, Leptophlebiidae and Leptoceridae made to the structure of the assemblage. Analyses of macroinvertebrate data collected in small pools on the Eastern Tributary indicate that to date, changes before-to-after mining Longwall 23 are within the range of natural variability as measured by the control locations.

Macrophyte assemblages at the large and small pools on the Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary have been distinctive among streams since the commencement of sampling. Differences among streams are mostly due to the different species that dominate the assemblages. Assemblages of macrophytes in Pool N on Waratah Rivulet appear to have changed since spring 2012 compared to prior sampling occasions. Analyses examining changes in aquatic macrophytes in small pools on Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary found no evidence of impacts related to mining activities.

4.3.3.2 Assessment of Monitoring Results against Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The key potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences for streams described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans are described in Section 4.1.1.

Potential environmental consequences include impacts on aquatic habitats (e.g. alteration of hydrology, pool habitat, in-stream connectivity and water quality), and on biodiversity (e.g. aquatic macrophytes, macroinvertebrates, fish and riparian vegetation).

In summary, the key potential environmental consequences described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans include:

• Changes in stream flows as a result of fracturing of bedrock and the consequent diversion of a portion of the total stream flow as underflow. The effects of underflow would be most noticeable during periods of low flow and on the frequency of no flow, while the effects on the frequency and magnitude of high flows would be negligible.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 45
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Changes in pool water levels and in-stream connectivity underflow has been observed to result in lower water levels in pools as they become hydraulically connected with the fracture network. During prolonged dry periods when flows recede to low levels, the number of instances where loss of flow continuity between pools occurs increases with a greater proportion of these lower flows being conveyed entirely in the subsurface fracture network.
- Impacts on water quality following cracking of the stream bed that can reduce the quality of habitat for aquatic biota (e.g. generation of iron flocculent material).
- Minor stream bank erosion, where changes in channel gradients result in increases in flow energy.
- Impacts on aquatic macrophyte plants (e.g. as a result of changes in hydrology described above) resulting in exposure and desiccation or smothering of plants by iron flocculent material. Aquatic macrophytes have evolved reproductive strategies to cope with the variable nature of flow in streams and wetlands within Australia. Obligate water plants generally require permanent water, however they can recolonise once water becomes available again.
- Localised impacts on aquatic macroinvertebrates (as a result of the changes in aquatic habitat/hydrology described above). The Project is unlikely to have any significant long-term impacts on assemblages of macroinvertebrates.
- The conveyance of surface water flows to sub-surface fractures in the area affected by subsidence has the potential to reduce available habitat for fish (e.g. aquatic macrophytes, pools) and connectivity among sections of the stream channel, impeding fish passage.

The results of aquatic ecology monitoring for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 are considered to be consistent with the potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans and Biodiversity Management Plans. However, subsidence impacts on Tributary B have resulted in no surface flow along the stream in the vicinity of Location B1 for an extended period of time. This change in aquatic habitat/hydrology has resulted in impacts to the aquatic macroinvertebrate assemblage at this location.

4.3.4 Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats

4.3.4.1 Amphibian Monitoring

Amphibian monitoring programs have been implemented annually in spring/summer for Longwalls 20-22 (2009 – 2015) and Longwalls 23-27 (2010 – 2015). Fourteen amphibian species have been monitored including two threatened species: the Giant Burrowing Frog (*Heleiporus australiacus*) and Red-crowned Toadlet (*Pseudophryne australis*).

Six test sites overlying Longwalls 20-22 (sites 1-6), five test sites overlying Longwalls 23-27 (sites 13-17) and eleven control sites (sites 7-12 and 18-22) are surveyed annually in spring/summer (i.e. October to February) during suitable weather conditions. The approximate locations of the monitoring sites are shown on Figure 14. Site selection was biased towards optimising the detection of the two threatened species.

Each site is surveyed once during a standard one hour general area day search (early morning and late afternoon) supplemented by an evening 60 minute search/playback session using hand held spotlights and head lamps.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 46
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



 LEGEND

 Mining Lease Boundary

 Railway

 Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317

 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction

 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour

 600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303

 Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Monitoring Sites

- Longwalls 20-22 Amphibian Monitoring
- Longwalls 23-27 Amphibian Monitoring
- Longwalls 301-303 Amphibian Monitoring
- Control Site

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016)

> METROPOLITAN COAL Amphibian Monitoring Locations

Species are assigned to the following relative abundance categories for tadpole and adult stages:

- 0 = no sightings;
- 1 = one sighting of adult or tadpole stage;
- UC = uncommon (i.e. 2 to 10 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- MC = moderately common (i.e. 11 to 20 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- C = common (i.e. 21 to 40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage; and
- A = abundant (>40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage.

Baseline monitoring was conducted in spring/summer 2009 and 2010 for Longwalls 20-22 and in spring/summer 2010 to 2013 for Longwalls 23-27.

Subsidence impacts have been observed at a number of test sites (1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 13 and 14) including stream flow diversion to subterranean flows under low flow conditions, in-stream rock cracking, loss of pool numbers and/or persistence under low flow conditions, and iron staining/bacterial mats.

The data gathered since 2009 is non-normally distributed and characterised by significant occurrences of zero data. Such data require non-normal analysis to determine if potential adverse impacts are significant at the 95% confidence level. Poisson regression analysis has been used to analyse the amphibian survey results.

The performance indicator (null hypothesis) for the monitoring program is:

The amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites.

To date (2009 – 2015), no adverse impact from mining can be detected for Longwalls 20-22 and 23-27 for any frog species, including the Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet, at the 95% confidence level. However it cannot be discounted that a delayed adverse impact might be detected at some future date. Such potential future impacts might be due to either a lag phase in the expression of any potential impact or a more immediate future adverse impact. The tadpole data up until the 2014 analysis could not be used to determine if the indicator has been exceeded. However, the data for tadpoles has been able to be used for the 2015 analysis. This has increased the precision of the analysis. All data are analysed together.

4.3.4.2 Assessment of Monitoring Results against Predicted Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

A Poisson regression analysis has been used to analyse the amphibian survey results obtained to date. No adverse impact from mining has been detected for any frog species including the Giant Burrowing Frog and Red-crowned Toadlet, at the 95% confidence level. The monitoring results are consistent with the predictions described in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report, and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans, specifically, that it is unlikely that any vertebrate population would be put at risk by the Project.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 48
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

4.3.5 Threatened Flora and Fauna

A number of threatened flora and fauna species listed under the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act, 1995 (TSC Act) or Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, 1999 (EPBC Act) are known to occur, or have the potential to occur within the Project underground mining area or surrounds. Figure 1-1 in Appendix 1 shows the location of threatened flora recorded by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008), FloraSearch (2008; 2009) and Eco Logical (2010 – 2015) in the Project underground mining area and surrounds. Figure 1-2 in Appendix 1 shows the location of threatened fauna recorded by Western Research Institute and Biosphere Environmental Consultants (2008) and Cenwest Environmental Services (2008 – 2014) in the Project underground mining area and surrounds.

No threatened aquatic biota listed under the *Fisheries Management Act, 1994*, TSC Act or EPBC Act has been recorded within the Project underground mining area or in the Woronora Reservoir.

In relation to threatened flora and fauna, the Project was considered unlikely to have a significant effect on threatened flora or fauna (Appendix G of the Project EA).

No endangered flora or fauna populations that were listed under the TSC Act at the time of Project Approval occur within the Project underground mining area or surrounds.

Endangered Ecological Communities (EECs) listed under the TSC Act at the time of Project Approval and identified as occurring in the Project underground mining area or surrounds includes the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC (Map Unit 5a) and the O'Hares Creek Shale Forest EEC (Map Units 5b and 5r) (Figure 11).

Coastal Upland Swamp in the Sydney Basin Bioregion was listed as an EEC under the TSC Act in March 2012 which post-dates the Project Approval. The predicted impacts to this community were assessed in the Project EA and subsequently approved by the Project Approval in 2009.

The research program, *Conservation of the Eastern Ground Parrot on the Woronora Plateau*, funded by Metropolitan Coal has been completed by the OEH. The research program involved a targeted survey for the Eastern Ground Parrot (*Pezoporus wallicus wallicus*) (classified as Vulnerable under the TSC Act) and the establishment of a network of bio-acoustic monitoring stations (35 sites) in 2013. A total of 588 days and approximately 3,000 hours of data were recorded from the stations, however, no Eastern Ground Parrots were detected. Spot checks of recordings from a range of sites, confirmed the recogniser was performing accurately (i.e. no Eastern Ground Parrot calls). The results of the research program were considered by OEH to indicate that Eastern Ground Parrots are not likely to be resident on the Woronora Plateau. The occasional records of single parrots on the Woronora Plateau in the past ten years suggest isolated birds are dispersing through the area and are not part of a larger resident population¹⁵.

¹⁵ This description is based on OEH's reporting to Metropolitan Coal on the status of the research program for inclusion in the *Metropolitan Coal 2014 Annual Review and Annual Environmental Management Report/Rehabilitation Report* (Metropolitan Coal, 2015).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 49
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

4.3.5.1 Assessments against the Biodiversity Subsidence Impact Performance Measure

Upland Swamp Groundwater Performance Indicator

As described in Section 4.3.1, exceedances of the upland swamp groundwater performance indicator, *Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining, at Swamp 20¹⁶ have triggered an assessment against the biodiversity subsidence impact performance measure of <i>Negligible impact on threatened species, populations, or ecological communities*¹⁷.

Threatened Flora

The site inspections of Swamp 20 have indicated minor differences in vegetation condition between Swamp 20 and Woronora River Swamp 1 (FloraSearch, 2015). There was no clear visual evidence of additional decline in the vegetation of Swamp 20 resulting from subsidence impacts. In addition, despite the lowering of groundwater levels, biannual monitoring of the vegetation by Eco Logical Australia in autumn and spring has found no changes in vegetation composition or health in Swamp 20 that are significantly different to those observed prior to the commencement of Longwall 20 or in control swamps. Similarly, the previous three assessments by FloraSearch (2012, 2013, 2014) have found that significant impacts on threatened flora that may be associated with Swamp 20 are unlikely to have occurred. However, the effects on vegetation of reductions in water levels in Swamp 20, if any, may take some years to be expressed in the absence of a catastrophic event such as extreme drought and/or a wildfire (FloraSearch, 2015). Continued biannual quantitative monitoring is required to reliably determine the impact of subsidence on Swamp 20 vegetation. Notwithstanding, there has been no visual evidence of a significant impact on swamp vegetation, including the Prickly Bush-pea. The assessments for threatened flora species to date have concluded that the subsidence impact performance measure has not been exceeded (FloraSearch, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015).

Threatened Fauna

The environmental consequences of subsidence impacts to Swamp 20 were also assessed for threatened fauna. The Project EA identified 13 threatened vertebrate species present within the Project area including two frog, one reptile, five bird and five mammal species¹⁸. Of these threatened species it is likely that only two located during the Project EA surveys would be potentially present within Swamp 20, although the three bat species could at times be located overhead. These two species are the Red-crowned Toadlet (*Pseudophryne australis*) and the Giant Burrowing Frog (*Heleiporus australiacus*).

Based on 'diggings' that were observed during the EA field work, it was possible that up to three other mammal species were also located but a positive identification was not possible based on a single set of 'diggings' alone.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 50
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan	

¹⁶ Subsequent to finalisation of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Biodiversity Management Plan (Version B), analysis of swamp groundwater levels has identified a mining effect on the substrate water levels of Swamp 28 based on incomplete recovery of substrate water levels following rainfall events. In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan, an assessment will be made against the biodiversity subsidence impact performance measure of *Negligible impact on threatened species, populations, or ecological communities.*

¹⁷ Coastal Upland Swamp in the Sydney Basin Bioregion was listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) under the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act, 1995 (TSC Act) in March 2012 which post-dates the Project Approval. The predicted impacts to the upland swamps were assessed in the Project EA and subsequently approved by the Project Approval in 2009. The component of the biodiversity subsidence performance measure which is applicable to upland swamps is Negligible impact on threatened species.

The environmental consequences of the Swamp 20 subsidence impacts were considered likely to be the subjecting of Swamp 20 habitats to increased water stress, decreased downstream runoff (normally likely to be very low outside of rainfall induced surface flows), fewer semi-permanent rock pools, and the drying out of refugia habitat (e.g. surface and deeper litter, earthen burrows, damp loamy soils, under rock etc), hence subjecting amphibians, including the two threatened species to additional environmental stresses, during their quiescent non-breeding phase. Such stresses could lead to the death of some quiescent adults *in situ*, but unlikely all adults.

The greatest potential impact is likely to be to pool characteristics associated with Swamp 20 exhibiting more frequent drying out periods and therefore resulting in a decrease in the available time for larvae of the two species to complete metamorphosis. Notwithstanding, such impacts would be limited to individuals of the two threatened species at Swamp 20 and the immediate surrounds rather than to the wider populations. The impact of adverse changes to the hydrology of Swamp 20 on the wider populations of both the Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet, however, is likely to be negligible (Cenwest Environmental Services, 2012, 2013b, 2014a, 2015).

Riparian Vegetation Performance Indicator

As described in Section 4.3.2, exceedances of the riparian vegetation performance indicator (*Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal*) at site MRIP02 on Waratah Rivulet and between sites MRIP09 and MRIP05 on the Eastern Tributary have triggered assessments against the biodiversity subsidence impact performance measure of *Negligible impact on threatened species, populations, or ecological communities.*

Threatened Flora

While three threatened flora species, the Thick-leaf Star-hair, the Prickly Bush-pea and *Leucopogon exolasius*, have the potential to occur in riparian vegetation, none of these species has been recorded on monitoring sites on the Waratah Rivulet or Eastern Tributary, or within the areas affected by dieback which are regularly traversed as part of the flora monitoring program (FloraSearch, 2012-2013, 2014, 2015). No detrimental impacts on the Thick-leaf Star-hair, the Prickly Bush-pea and *Leucopogon exolasius* have occurred and are considered unlikely to occur in the future at the impact sites (*ibid*.).

Threatened Fauna

The two threatened species that could be impacted by the riparian vegetation dieback include the Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet (Cenwest Environmental Services, 2012-2013, 2014b, 2015). The streamside habitat was considered likely to be sub-optimal habitat for these species (*ibid*.). There is unlikely to be any significant impact on either the Giant Burrowing Frog or the Red-crowned Toadlet as a result of limited and stabilized stream vegetation dieback, stream bank modification and a small increase in pool depths (*ibid*.). The performance measure for the two threatened species, the Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet, *Negligible impact on threatened species and populations*, has not been exceeded to date (Cenwest Environmental Services, 2012-2013, 2014b, 2015).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 51
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

5 REVISED ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

5.1 LONGWALLS 301-303 EXTRACTION LAYOUT

Longwalls 301-303 and the area of land within 600 metres (m) of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction are shown on Figures 1 and 2. Longwall extraction will occur from north to south. The longwall layout includes 163 m panel widths (void) with 45 m pillars (solid).

The provisional extraction schedule for Longwalls 301-303 is provided in Table 4.

Longwall	Estimated Start Date	Estimated Duration	Estimated Completion Date
Longwall 301	April 2017	6 months	September 2017
Longwall 302	November 2017	7 months	May 2018
Longwall 303	June 2018	7 months	December 2018

Table 4Provisional Extraction Schedule

The layout for Longwalls 301-303 (i.e. 163 m panel widths [void] and 45 m pillars [solid]) will be trialled to build on the experience and dataset obtained from Longwalls 20-27. The outcomes of the trial will be used to inform the potential for a similar mine layout to be applied to the next Extraction Plan (i.e. Longwall 304 onwards). The assessment of the trial longwall layout is described in Section 12.6.

The future Extraction Plans will consider the cumulative subsidence effects, subsidence impacts and/or environmental consequences on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna. Note that the total cumulative predicted subsidence effects, subsidence impacts and/or environmental consequences at the completion of the Project are considered in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report.

5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT

An Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA) was conducted for four of the key component plans of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan¹⁹ *viz.* Water Management Plan, Land Management Plan, Heritage Management Plan and this BMP to give appropriate consideration to risk assessment and risk management in accordance with the DP&E and DRE (2015) *Guidelines for the Preparation of Extraction Plans.*

The suitably qualified and experienced experts endorsed by the Secretary of the DP&E for the preparation of the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan participated in the ERA²⁰.

The ERA process involved the key steps described below.

²⁰ Participants included Mr Peter DeBono (Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants, Subsidence), Dr Noel Merrick (HydroSimulations, Groundwater), Mr Lindsay Gilbert (Hydro Engineering & Consulting, Surface Water), Dr David Goldney (Cenwest Environmental Services, Fauna), Dr Colin Bower (FloraSearch, Flora), Mr Jamie Reeves (Niche Environment and Heritage, Heritage), Mr Joshua Hunt (Resource Strategies, Land), Mr Jon Degotardi (Metropolitan Coal) and Mr Ryan Pascoe (Metropolitan Coal).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 52
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

¹⁹ Individual risk assessments have been undertaken separately for the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Built Features Management Plan and the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Public Safety Management Plan, and are reported in their respective documents.

Review of Relevant Documentation

In preparation for the ERA workshop, the ERA participants reviewed a number of documents relevant to the risk assessment. This included (but was not limited to):

- The *Environmental Risk Analysis* (SP Solutions, 2008) conducted for the Project EA (Appendix O of the Project EA).
- The Preferred Project Report (HCPL, 2009). During the NSW Government's assessment phase
 of the Project EA, and in recognition of concerns raised by key stakeholders during the formal
 Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) assessment process, HCPL considered it appropriate
 to reduce the proposed extent of the original Project longwall mining area (i.e. Longwalls 20-44).
 This reduction in the extent of longwall mining resulted in a significant reduction to the extent of
 potential subsidence effects to the Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary and a reduction in
 the consequential potential environmental impacts.
- The revised subsidence predictions and assessments for the approved changes to the first workings layout for Longwalls 301-303 (Metropolitan Coal, 2016b).
 - Following further mine planning investigations, Metropolitan Coal identified that significant operational efficiencies and consequently a significant economic benefit would be achieved by rotating the first workings of Longwalls 301-317 to be square with the 300 Mains (a rotation of approximately six degrees). The Secretary of the DP&E approved the revised first workings in accordance with Condition 5, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval on 20 April 2015.
 - On 5 May 2016, Metropolitan Coal requested the approval of the Secretary of the DP&E to further amend the first workings layout for Longwalls 301-303. The proposed changes to the first workings layout for Longwalls 301-303 were as follows:
 - Longwall 301 reduce the panel void length from 1,680 metres (m) to 1,428 m, with no change to the tailgate pillar dimensions.
 - Longwall 302 reduce the panel void length from 2,637 m to 1,954 m, with a reduction in the tailgate pillar width by 25 m for approximately 608 m of the panel length.
 - Longwall 303 reduce the panel void length from 2,760 m to 2,122 m, with a reduction in the tailgate pillar width by 25 m for approximately 728 m of the panel length.

The changes to the first workings layout for Longwalls 301-303 described above were approved by the Secretary of the DP&E on 16 June 2016.²¹

Risk Identification

The participants were asked to identify any additional (specific) issues/risks and/or changes to previously assessed levels of risk in preparation for the ERA workshop.

ERA Workshop

The ERA workshop for Longwalls 301-303 was conducted on 21 June 2016 via a teleconference. The ERA workshop was facilitated by an independent specialist, Operational Risk Mentoring.

²¹ Note that in September 2016 and subsequent to the completion of the Environmental Risk Assessment, Metropolitan Coal made further revisions to the lengths of Longwalls 302 and 303. The panel void length of Longwall 302 was reduced from 1,954 m to 1,775 m, and the panel void length of Longwall 303 was reduced from 2,122 m to 1,788 m.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 53
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan	

While the general consensus of the workshop participants was the additional (specific) issues/risks were broadly assessed and ranked as part of the previous *Environmental Risk Analysis* (SP Solutions, 2008), it was considered necessary to assess some specific potential environmental issues (upland swamps and the Eastern Tributary) in further detail for Longwalls 301-303, considering experience to date from Longwalls 20-27 and other local mines. These were assessed using the same probability, consequence and risk rankings tables as used in the original *Environmental Risk Analysis* (SP Solutions, 2008). The re-assessed risk rankings for Longwalls 301-303 were within the "low" range and consequently the potential outcomes can still be integrated into the existing management systems for effective review and monitoring (Metropolitan Coal, 2016c).

ERA Report Review

All ERA participants were asked to review the draft report that was prepared to summarise the outcomes of the risk assessment workshop. Participants' comments were incorporated into the final Metropolitan Coal (2016c) report.

5.3 UPLAND SWAMPS

Upland swamp vegetation mapping by Eco Logical (2016) within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction is shown on Figure 15. Fourteen upland swamps are located within the 35 degree (°) angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour, namely, Swamps 38, 40, 41, 42, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53, 54 and 58 (Figure 15).

5.3.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions

The maximum predicted subsidence parameters for swamps located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour have been prepared by MSEC (2016). Table 5 compares the revised subsidence predictions for the Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan layout with the subsidence predictions for the Preferred Project Layout at the completion of Longwall 303.

The predicted subsidence parameters for some swamps increase and for other swamps decrease as a result of shifts in locations of maxima and minima above each longwall from the change in longwall orientation. Increases in the predicted subsidence parameters in the south of the Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan layout also occur as a result of narrowing the pillar widths. While there is an increase in the predicted subsidence parameters for some swamps, the magnitudes of the maximum predicted subsidence parameters are similar to or less than the maxima predicted elsewhere above the approved Project underground mining area (MSEC, 2016).

The maximum subsidence predictions for swamps for the Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan layout indicate (Tables 5 and 6):

- Maximum predicted average tilts²² of 5 mm/m in Swamps 40 and 41 (the remaining 12 swamps have predicted tilts of 4 mm/m or less). A maximum predicted average tilt of 5 mm/m was also predicted for the Preferred Project Layout for Longwalls 301-303.
- Maximum predicted hogging curvature²³ for the 14 swamps ranges from <0.01 to 0.06 km⁻¹ (corresponding conventional tensile strains range from <0.5 to 1.0 mm/m). A maximum predicted hogging curvature of 0.06 km⁻¹ and maximum predicted conventional tensile strain of 1.0 mm/m were also predicted for the Preferred Project Layout for Longwalls 301-303.

²³ Curvature is the second derivative of subsidence, the rate of change of tilt and is calculated as the change in tilt between two adjacent sections of the tilt profile divided by average length of those sections.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 54
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

²² Tilt is the change in the slope of the ground as a result of differential subsidence, and is calculated as the change in subsidence between two points divided by the distance between those points.



LEGEND

LEGEND	
	Mining Lease Boundary
	Woronora Special Area
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
227	Woronora Notification Area

Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)



- 3c Upland Swamp: Sedgeland-heath Complex
- 3d Upland Swamp: Fringing Eucalypt Woodland

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016); after Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008), and Eco Logical Australia (2016)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL

Upland Swamps over Longwalls 301 - 303 and Surrounds

- Maximum predicted sagging curvature²⁰ for the swamps ranges from <0.01 to 0.13 km⁻¹ (corresponding conventional compressive strains range from <0.5 to 2.0 mm/m). A maximum predicted sagging curvature of 0.10 km⁻¹ and maximum predicted conventional compressive strain of 1.50 mm/m were predicted for the Preferred Project Layout for Longwalls 301-303. The maximum predicted conventional compressive strains for 13 of the 14 swamps are less than 2 mm/m.
- A few swamps could experience valley closure²⁴ movements as a result of their position in the landscape (i.e. those near to drainage lines). Valley closure movements at all swamps are well below 200 mm, and the associated valley closure strains are all less than 7 mm/m.

5.3.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences to upland swamps described in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report (as described in Section 4.1.2) have been reviewed in consideration of the information obtained since Project approval (including Swamp 20) and the revised subsidence predictions by HydroSimulations, Hydro Engineering & Consulting, Cenwest Environmental Services and FloraSearch. HydroSimulations, Hydro Engineering & Consulting, Cenwest Environmental Services and FloraSearch concur that the predictions for the Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan layout do not change the subsidence impact assessment provided in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report for upland swamps²⁵.

²⁵ The Independent Expert Scientific Committee's (IESC's) Advice to decision maker on coal mining – Further advice on impacts to swamps (24 July 2015) (IESC advice) contends that areas containing lineaments may experience greater than normal subsidence. HydroSimulations advises that the potential is highly unlikely for hydraulic connectivity via lineaments to impact adversely on upland swamps as a result of the mining of Longwalls 301-303.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 56				
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

²⁴ Closure is the reduction in the horizontal distance between the valley sides.

	Maximum Predicted											
	Si	ubsidence ² (m	m)		Tilt ³ (mm/m)		Hoggi	ing Curvature ⁴	(km ⁻¹)	Saggi	ng Curvature ⁴	(km ⁻¹)
Swamp ¹	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317)⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷
S38	60	60	80	< 0.5	<0.5	0.5	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01
S40	550	550	900	3.0	3.0	5.0	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.07
S41	825	800	900	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.10	0.13
S42	50	50	60	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01
S46	775	725	850	2.5	2.5	3.0	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.05
S47	575	250	200	1.0	2.5	1.5	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	<0.01	<0.01
S48	500	50	40	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	<0.01
S49	500	80	80	0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.04	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	<0.01	<0.01
S50	550	100	125	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.04	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	<0.01	<0.01
S51	600	350	425	1.0	2.5	4.0	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.01	<0.01
S52	650	525	750	1.0	3.0	4.0	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.03
S53	750	700	850	1.5	2.5	3.0	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.05
S54	80	80	30	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01
S58	975	225	30	2.0	2.0	<0.5	0.05	0.03	<0.01	0.05	<0.01	<0.01

 Table 5

 Revised Maximum Subsidence Predictions for Upland Swamps – Subsidence, Tilt and Curvature

Source: after MSEC (2016).

Swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303.

mm = millimetres; mm/m= millimetres per metre; km⁻¹ =1/kilometres

- ¹ Swamps within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.
- ² Subsidence refers to vertical displacements of the ground.
- ³ Tilt is the change in the slope of the ground as a result of differential subsidence, and is calculated as the change in subsidence between two points divided by the distance between those points.
- ⁴ Curvature is the second derivative of subsidence, the rate of change of tilt and is calculated as the change in tilt between two adjacent sections of the tilt profile divided by average length of those sections.
- ⁵ PPL (LW301-317) after completion of Longwall 317 of the Preferred Project Layout.
- ⁶ PPL (LW301-303) after completion of Longwall 303 of the Preferred Project Layout.
- ⁷ Extraction Plan Layout (LW301-303) after completion of Longwall 303 of the Extraction Plan Layout (i.e. Longwalls 301-303 subject of this BMP).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 57		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

Table 6	
Revised Maximum Subsidence Predictions for Upland Swamps – Tensile and Compressive Strain, Upsidence and Clo	osure

	Maximum Predicted											
	Conventional Tensile Strain ² (mm/m)			Conventional Compressive Strain ² (mm/m)		Upsidence ³ (mm)			Closure ⁴ (mm)			
Swamp ¹	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷	PPL (LW301- 317) ⁵	PPL (LW301- 303) ⁶	Extraction Plan Layout (LW301- 303) ⁷
S38	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 20	< 20	20	< 20	< 20	< 20
S40	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
S41	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
S42	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S46	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
S47	0.5	0.5	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S48	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S49	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S50	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S51	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S52	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	1.0	< 0.5	80	50	50	40	30	30
S53	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	100	80	80	40	40	40
S54	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
S58	0.5	0.5	< 0.5	1.0	< 0.5	< 0.5	40	< 20	< 20	30	< 20	< 20

Source: after MSEC (2016).

Swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303.

mm = millimetres; mm/m= millimetres per metre; km⁻¹ =1/kilometres

- ¹ Swamps within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.
- ² Conventional strain based on 15 times curvature. Strain is the relative differential horizontal movements of the ground. Tensile strains occur where the distance between two points increases and compressive strains occur when the distance between two points decreases.
- ³ Upsidence is the reduced subsidence, or the relative uplift within a valley which results from the dilation or buckling of near surface strata at or near the base of the valley.
- ⁴ Closure is the reduction in the horizontal distance between the valley sides.
- ⁵ PPL (LW301-317) after completion of Longwall 317 of the Preferred Project Layout.
- ⁶ PPL (LW301-303) after completion of Longwall 303 of the Preferred Project Layout.
- ⁷ Extraction Plan Layout (LW301-303) after completion of Longwall 303 of the Extraction Plan Layout (i.e. Longwalls 301-303 subject of this BMP).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C			Page 58	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

5.4 RIPARIAN ZONE AND AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS

Riparian vegetation and habitats for aquatic biota occur along streams which flow to the Woronora Reservoir (including the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary), and some of their tributaries (Figures 1 and 2).

Vegetation mapping within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction is shown on Figure 16. Riparian vegetation includes vegetation mapped as community 4a (Sandstone Riparian Scrub).

5.4.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions

The subsidence predictions for Longwalls 301-303 in relation to streams have been prepared by MSEC (2016).

Waratah Rivulet

The Waratah Rivulet is located approximately 1 km west of Longwall 303, at its closest point to Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction (Figure 1). At this distance, the Waratah Rivulet is not predicted to experience any measurable subsidence or valley related movements resulting from the extraction of Longwalls 301-303 (MSEC, 2016).

Eastern Tributary

The Eastern Tributary flows in a northerly direction into the Woronora Reservoir. Within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour, the Eastern Tributary flows into the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir (Figure 2). Longwalls 301-303 do not directly mine beneath the Eastern Tributary (Figure 2).

When the Woronora Reservoir is at full capacity water backs up and covers an area referred to as the inundation area. The inundation area includes parts of the Eastern Tributary in the 301 to 303 area. When the water level is below the full supply level, portions of the Eastern Tributary inundation area form temporary pools above exposed rock bars.

The maximum predicted values of total conventional subsidence, tilt, curvature, upsidence and closure for the Eastern Tributary, resulting from the extraction of Longwalls 301-303, is provided in Table 7 (MSEC, 2016).

Table 7Maximum Predicted Subsidence, Tilt, Curvature, Upsidence and Closure for the Eastern
Tributary Resulting from Extraction of Longwalls 301, 302 and 303

	Maximum Predicted					
Longwall	Subsidence (mm)	Tilt (mm/m)	Hogging Curvature (km ⁻¹)	Sagging Curvature (km ⁻¹)	Upsidence (mm)	Closure (mm/m)
LW 301	<20	<0.5	<0.01	<0.01	40	60
LW 302	<20	<0.5	0.01	<0.01	50	90
LW 303	50	1.0	0.01	<0.01	125	125

Source: after MSEC (2016).

mm = millimetres; mm/m= millimetres per metre; km-1 =1/kilometres

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 59		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				



LE	EG	EN	D

Project Underground Mining Area Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317 Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction 35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour 600 m from Secondary Extraction of Longwalls 301-303 Woronora Notification Area

Mining Lease Boundary

Woronora Special Area Railway

Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); MSEC (2016); after Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) and Eco Logical Australia (2016)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL Longwalls 301 - 303 Vegetation Mapping A comparison of the maximum predicted subsidence, upsidence and closure for the Eastern Tributary resulting from the Extraction Plan Layout of Longwalls 301-303, with those based on the Preferred Project Layout for Longwalls 301-303, are provided in Table 8. The revised maximum predicted subsidence, upsidence and closure for the Eastern Tributary, are less than the maxima for the Preferred Project Layout (MSEC, 2016).

Table 8 Comparison of Maximum Predicted Conventional Subsidence Parameters for the Eastern Tributary based on the Preferred Project Layout and the Extraction Plan Layout

Lovout	Maximum Predicted Total Conventional					
Layout	Subsidence (mm)	Upsidence (mm)	Closure (mm)			
Preferred Project Layout (LW301-303)	200	175	150			
Extraction Plan Layout	50	125	125			

Source: after MSEC (2016)

mm = millimetres

The predicted profiles of subsidence, upsidence and closure along the Eastern Tributary, resulting from the extraction of Longwalls 301-303, are shown on Figure 17 (MSEC, 2016). Figure 17 indicates that the increase in predicted total upsidence and closure extends upstream beyond the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour and slightly increases the predicted total closure for a section of the Eastern Tributary that is predicted to experience greater than 200 mm predicted total closure. Following the completion of Longwalls 301-303, an additional 30 m of the Eastern Tributary upstream of Longwalls 301-303 is predicted to experience greater than 200 mm total closure as a result of the Extraction Plan Layout compared to the Preferred Project Layout (MSEC, 2016). This length of stream is a mapped boulderfield (Boulderfield ETAM) (Hydro Engineering & Consulting, 2016b).

Other Drainage Lines/Streams

Small first and second order streams are located within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (Figure 2). Many of the streams consist of shallow drainage lines from the topographical high point above Longwalls 301-303. Shallow drainage lines have small valley heights of generally less than 10 m and are predicted to experience small magnitudes of predicted upsidence and closure (MSEC, 2016). Valley heights increase at the lower reaches of these streams.

The stream with the largest valley height above Longwalls 301-303 is located near the southern end of Longwalls 302 and 303 (Figure 2). The stream has a maximum valley height of approximately 20 m and is predicted to experience maximum total closure of 190 mm. There are two streams with slightly greater valley heights to the west of Longwalls 301-303, however since they are outside the longwall layouts, the predicted closure is lower.

5.4.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The revised subsidence predictions for the Extraction Plan Layout do not change the subsidence impact assessment provided in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report for streams.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 61		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				



Source: MSEC (2016)

MET-15-10 301-303 EP BMP 004B

M E T R O P O L I T A N C O A L Predicted Profiles of Subsidence, Upsidence and Closure along the Eastern Tributary and Woronora Reservoir due to Longwalls 301 - 303

1880000

Fracturing could develop in the bedrock along the section of the Eastern Tributary located closest to the proposed longwalls (MSEC, 2016). Minor and isolated fracturing could occur up to approximately 400 m from the longwalls, as has been observed along other streams in the Southern Coalfield (*ibid*.). The sizes and extents of fracturing are expected to be considerably less than those observed along other streams that were located directly above the previously extracted longwalls.

The small first and second order streams located within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (Figure 2) could experience the full range of predicted subsidence movements.

The potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences for these streams, based on the Extraction Plan Layout, are consistent with those assessed for the Preferred Project Layout that are described in Sections 4.1.1, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3.

5.5 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS

Vegetation communities mapped on slopes and ridgetops within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction include woodlands on sandstone or lateritic soils (vegetation communities 1a, 1b 1c and 1r), heaths and mallee heaths (vegetation communities 2a, 2b, 2c and 2r), tall open forests (vegetation community 5a), sandstone forests (vegetation communities 6a and 6r) and disturbed land (vegetation community 7a and 7b) (Figure 16).

An occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC listed under the TSC Act is situated approximately 280 m to the north of Longwall 301 (Figure 16).

Figure 10 shows the location of the cliffs and associated overhangs, steep slopes, and land in general that occur within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction and wider Project underground mining area in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan.

5.5.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions

The subsidence predictions for slopes and ridgetops have been revised by MSEC (2016) for the Longwalls 301-303 Extraction Plan layout.

The nearest cliffs are located more than 800 m to the west of Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 10). At these distances, the cliffs are not expected to experience any measurable vertical subsidence resulting from the extraction of Longwalls 301-303. The predicted valley related movements in these locations are less than 20 mm upsidence and less than 20 mm closure. The strains due to these valley related effects are not expected to be measurable (MSEC, 2016).

The revised maximum predicted subsidence parameters for the steep slopes and land in general are similar to the maxima for the Preferred Project Layout (MSEC, 2016).

The reduction in the length of Longwalls 301-303 for the Extraction Plan layout results in a significant reduction in the predicted subsidence parameters associated with the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC. This vegetation community is situated approximately 280 m to the north of Longwall 301. Predicted total subsidence for the Extraction Plan Layout is less than 20 mm, and predicted total tilt and curvature are less than 0.5 mm/m and 0.01 km⁻¹ respectively (MSEC, 2016).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 63		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

5.5.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

The nearest cliffs are located approximately 800 m to the west of Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 10). At this distance, it is unlikely the cliffs would experience adverse impacts resulting from the extraction of Longwalls 301-303 (MSEC, 2016).

The potential impacts on steep slopes and land in general, for the Extraction Plan Layout, are the same as those assessed for the Preferred Project Layout, specifically, surface tension cracking of sandstone and rock falls, particularly where rock ledges are marginally stable.

The subsidence predictions and impact assessment for the Extraction Plan Layout do not change the assessment of environmental consequences on slope and ridgetop vegetation and terrestrial fauna habitats provided in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report:

- The magnitude of expected surface cracking is considered too small to influence the hydrological processes in the slope and ridgetop areas and is unlikely to have any biologically significant effect on the soil moisture regime that sustains the existing vegetation.
- Rock falls occur naturally in the slope and ridgetop areas, however subsidence has the potential to further reduce the stability of features and thereby increase the incidence of rock fall. Impacts to vegetation from rock falls are expected to be isolated and small. The potential impacts on terrestrial fauna are described in Section 5.6.
- Given the magnitude of predicted subsidence movements at the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated 280 m to the north of Longwall 301, it is unlikely that the EEC would be adversely affected by mine subsidence.

5.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS

Terrestrial fauna habitats include the habitat types discussed in Section 5.3 (upland swamps), Section 5.4 (riparian zone and aquatic biota and their habitats) and Section 5.5 (slopes and ridgetops).

5.6.1 Revised Subsidence Predictions

The revised subsidence predictions for the Extraction Plan Layout for upland swamps, riparian vegetation and aquatic habitats, and slopes/ridgetops are discussed in Sections 5.3 to 5.5, respectively.

5.6.2 Revised Assessment of Potential Subsidence Impacts and Environmental Consequences

As described in Sections 5.3 to 5.5, the revised subsidence predictions for the Extraction Plan Layout for terrestrial fauna habitats (i.e. upland swamps, riparian vegetation and aquatic habitats, and slopes/ridgetops) do not change the subsidence impact assessment provided in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 64	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan		

Further, the subsidence impact assessment for the Extraction Plan Layout does not change the assessment of environmental consequences on terrestrial fauna and their habitats provided in the Project EA and Preferred Project Report. In summary, the key potential environmental consequences include:

- No change to the fundamental surface hydrological processes and upland swamp vegetation are expected within upland swamps. Given the above, it is unlikely that vertebrate fauna species or their habitats would be impacted and that any vertebrate population would be put at risk.
- Localised and limited impacts on riparian vegetation, which may reduce the habitat resources available to terrestrial fauna in the riparian zone. However, the nature of the impacts on riparian habitat is unlikely to significantly impact this habitat type or any terrestrial fauna species.
- The potential for surface cracking to form areas capable of 'trapping' some ground dwelling fauna (e.g. frogs and reptiles) in the same way that pitfall traps operate. The size and extent of surface cracking is expected to be minor. Any impacts on vertebrate fauna due to surface cracking are likely to be relatively minor and very unlikely to result in an impact that would threaten the viability of any vertebrate species population.
- The potential for a reduction in terrestrial fauna habitat resources (e.g. roost sites for bats, nest sites for birds, and shelter for reptiles and some amphibian species) as a result of rock falls, or the loss of individuals in a few cases, either by entrapment or direct fatal rock fall. It is predicted that the incidence of rock falls would be low.
- The potential for a reduction in water level in pools as they become hydraulically connected with the fracture network, reduced continuity of flow between affected pools during dry weather and changes in water quality leading to changes in fauna habitats.

6 PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND INDICATORS

The Project Approval requires Metropolitan Coal not to exceed the subsidence impact performance measures outlined in Table 1 of Condition 1, Schedule 3.

Two subsidence impact performance measures are specified in Table 1 of Condition 1, Schedule 3 in relation to biodiversity:

Biodiversity	
Threatened species, populations, or ecological communities	Negligible impact
Swamps 76, 77 and 92	Set through condition 4 below

Table 1: Subsidence Impact Performance Measures

In relation to the subsidence impact performance measure for Swamps 76, 77 and 92, these swamps will not be undermined by Longwalls 301-303. Swamps 76, 77 and 92 will be subject to assessment in future Extraction Plan(s) and revisions of this BMP.

In relation to the subsidence impact performance measure for threatened species, populations or ecological communities, *negligible* is defined in the Project Approval as *small and unimportant, such as to be not worth considering.*

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 65	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan		

Other subsidence impact performance measures (Table 1 of Condition 1, Schedule 3) of relevance to the BMP include:

Table	1:	Subsidence	Impact	Performance	Measures
i aoio		04001401100	mpaor	1 0110111101100	1110000100

Water Resources		
Catchment yield to the Woronora Reservoir	Negligible reduction to the quality or quantity of water resources reaching the Woronora Reservoir	
	No connective cracking between the surface and the mine	
Woronora Reservoir	Negligible leakage from the Woronora Reservoir	
	Negligible reduction in the water quality of Woronora Reservoir	
Watercourses		
Waratah Rivulet between the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir and the maingate of Longwall 23 (upstream of Pool P)	Negligible environmental consequences (that is, no diversion of flows, no change in the natural drainage behaviour of pools, minimal iron staining, and minimal gas releases)	
Eastern Tributary between the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir and the maingate of Longwall 26	Negligible environmental consequences over at least 70% of the stream length (that is no diversion of flows, no change in the natural drainage behaviour of pools, minimal iron staining and minimal gas releases)	
Land		
Cliffs	Less than 3% of the total length of cliffs (and associated overhangs) within the mining area experience mining-induced rock fall	

Metropolitan Coal will also assess the Project against the following biodiversity performance indicators:

The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps.

Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining.

Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal.

Subsidence movements are not expected to adversely affect the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated to the north of Longwall 301.

The aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams and pools are not expected to experience long-term impacts as a result of mine subsidence.

The amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites.

Other performance indicators of relevance to the BMP include those detailed in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 66
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		
Section 8 describes the monitoring that will be conducted to assess the Project against the biodiversity performance indicators and subsidence impact performance measure for threatened species, populations and ecological communities. The monitoring program includes monitoring of:

- upland swamps (Sections 8.1 and 8.2);
- riparian vegetation (Section 8.3);
- slopes and ridgetops (Section 8.4);
- aquatic biota and their habitats (Section 8.5); and
- terrestrial fauna and their habitats (Section 8.6).

7 BASELINE DATA

In accordance with Condition 2, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval, this section outlines the biodiversity baseline information and data available for Longwalls 301-303.

The Longwalls 301-303 biodiversity monitoring program is described in Section 8.

7.1 UPLAND SWAMPS

7.1.1 Swamp Types

As described in Section 4.3.1, several types of upland swamps have been defined within the Metropolitan Coal Project underground mining area and surrounds according to the geomorphological settings in which they occur, namely, headwater swamps, valley side swamps and in-valley swamps.

Similar to the Longwalls 20-27 mining area, the terrain over Longwalls 301-303 is highly dissected with narrow ridges. All the swamps mapped in the Longwalls 301-303 mining area are valley side swamps (Figure 15).

7.1.2 Swamp Vegetation Mapping

Field inspections of upland swamp vegetation mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) proximal to²⁶ Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction were conducted by Eco Logical in 2015 to confirm the upland swamp vegetation communities present and to check the swamp boundaries. For each upland swamp a description of the vegetation was recorded including the different strata present, the dominant species and an estimation of percent foliage cover for each stratum to assign vegetation communities described by NPWS (2003) and Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008). Final delineation of vegetation community boundaries was undertaken by interpretation of recent aerial photographs. Patterns identified on aerial photographs were related to the field observations and used to delineate the boundaries of vegetation communities. The revision of the upland swamp vegetation mapping is detailed in Eco Logical (2016), which is provided in Appendix 2.

²⁶ Note, subsequent to the completion of the Eco Logical (2016) report, Metropolitan Coal revised the layout of Longwalls 301-303 (by shortening Longwalls 302 and 303).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 67
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

The revised upland swamp and associated vegetation community mapping by Eco Logical is shown on Figures 9 and 15. A total of 18 upland swamps are situated within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303, namely; 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53, 54, 58, 69, 70 and 71a. Of these swamps, 14 are located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (all except Swamps 37, 69, 70 and 71a). All upland swamps within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction were classified as Banksia Thicket, except for Swamp 58, which was mapped as a combination of Sedgeland-heath Complex and Banksia Thicket (Figure 15 and Appendix 2).

7.1.3 Swamp Vegetation Data

To date, baseline upland swamp vegetation surveys for Longwalls 301-303 have been conducted in spring 2015 and autumn 2016. The survey methods used for the Longwalls 301-303 baseline surveys (visual, transect/quadrat and indicator species monitoring) are consistent with those used for the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 upland swamp vegetation monitoring programs.

Baseline transect and quadrat data has been obtained for Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51/52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303 and for control Swamps 101, 135, 136, 137a and 137b (Figures 9 and 15).

Baseline data for the indicator species *Epacris obtusifolia* has been obtained in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303 and in control Swamps 101, 136 and 137a. Baseline data for the indicator species *Sprengelia incarnata* has been obtained in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303 and in control Swamps 101, 136 and 137b.

The detailed baseline data are provided in Appendix 3. Additional baseline data will be collected at the Longwalls 301-303 upland swamp transect/quadrat and indicator species sites in spring 2016 and autumn 2017²⁷.

7.1.4 Swamp Groundwater Data

The NSW Government's *Draft Policy Framework for Biodiversity Offsets for Upland Swamps and Associated Threatened Species* (May 2015) (Draft Upland Swamp Offsets Policy) and the Independent Expert Scientific Committee's (IESC's) *Advice to decision maker on coal mining – Further advice on impacts to swamps* (24 July 2015) (IESC advice) were reviewed and considered in detail in developing the Longwalls 301-303 groundwater monitoring program for the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Biodiversity Management Plan.

The Draft Upland Swamp Offsets Policy proposes shallow groundwater monitoring in every swamp within 400 m of longwall mining. The IESC's advice recommends that each swamp potentially impacted by mining have two transects of piezometers, installed perpendicular to each other, with a minimum of five piezometers along the two transects. Within the transects, the IESC advice also recommends a piezometer be installed at the deepest point in the swamp's sediments and not be placed such that they are all overlying pillars between longwalls. The IESC's advice also recommends at least three control swamps be matched with each potentially impacted swamp (individual sites may serve as controls for multiple potentially impacted swamps). To reduce baseline variance between control and impact locations, control sites need to be as similar as practicable to the impact sites in terms of vegetation, geomorphology and hydrology, and size.

²⁷ Based on the scheduled timing of Longwall 301 commencement (April 2017), it is anticipated that baseline data for upland swamps can be obtained in March 2017 prior to the commencement of mining.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 68
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Field inspections were conducted by Metropolitan Coal²⁸ to determine suitable locations for the installation of groundwater piezometers in upland swamps overlying and in the vicinity of Longwalls 301-303. The objective of the field inspections was to select piezometer locations consistent with the Draft Upland Swamp Offsets Policy and the IESC's advice.

Consistent with the Draft Upland Swamp Offsets Policy Metropolitan Coal proposed monitoring of each swamp within 400 m of Longwalls 301-303 with the exception of Swamps 42 and 54 on the basis of predicted subsidence (Figures 9 and 15, Tables 5 and 6).

Given the majority of the swamps are small in size and the vegetation disturbance that would be required for piezometer installation, the IESC recommendation of two transects was not considered necessary or appropriate to assess the impacts on swamp groundwater. That is, the majority of swamps were not considered large enough to support or warrant a transect of three plus bores within each individual swamp.

Based on the results of the field inspections, a monitoring design was proposed which retained the same general principle of the Longwalls 20-27 monitoring design: that is, a piezometer in the swamp substrate be installed (to refusal) and one in the shallow groundwater (at a depth of 10 m). In consideration of the IESC's advice regarding the use of transects, monitoring locations that create larger transects which span multiple swamps, across multiple longwalls (from upgradient to downgradient) were proposed. The piezometers, where practicable, were also proposed to be positioned to avoid chain pillars. Where a terminal step occurs within a swamp (and where cracking of the terminal step has the potential to result in impacts to swamp substrate water levels), the paired piezometers are located close to the terminal step. Other factors which guided the proposed piezometer locations included the depth to sediment and proximity to existing access (where possible) in order to minimise disturbance.

In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Construction Management Plan, Metropolitan Coal completed Surface Works Assessment Forms for the proposed installation of upland swamp piezometers in Swamps 38, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 58, 69, 70 and 71a, which were submitted to WaterNSW and the DP&E. WaterNSW subsequently raised concerns regarding the amount of disturbance associated with the installation of the upland swamp piezometers. Following further consultation with WaterNSW and the DP&E, paired piezometers were proposed and approved to be installed in Swamps 40, 41, 46, 50, 51, 52, 53 and 71a on the basis of vegetation characteristics, landform features, swamp sediment profile and predicted subsidence. Piezometer sites 50, 51, 52 and 53 provide an extended transect which allows for monitoring of the Swamp 50 to 53 complex along the gradient and over consecutive longwalls. The locations of the groundwater piezometers are shown on Figure 9.

7.2 RIPARIAN VEGETATION

7.2.1 Riparian Vegetation Mapping

Field inspections of Sandstone Riparian Scrub vegetation mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) on a tributary of the Woronora Reservoir within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction were conducted by Eco Logical in 2015. The area mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) as Sandstone Riparian Scrub was found to support Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest in the eastern upper portion and Sandstone Riparian Scrub in the western lower portion. The revised vegetation community mapping of this riparian vegetation by Eco Logical is shown on Figure 16.

²⁸ The field inspection team included a hydrogeologist (HydroSimulations) and a botanist (Eco Logical Australia) to inform the positioning of the swamp piezometers.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 69		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

The area of Sandstone Riparian Scrub occurs along a steep and deeply incised drainage line with extensive stream boulders²⁹. The vegetation of this area was consistent with the description of Sandstone Riparian Scrub by NPWS (2003) including the following features: a variable canopy commonly including overhanging *Angophora costata* and *Eucalyptus piperita;* a dense shrub layer commonly including *Ceratopetalum apetalum, Callicoma serratifolia, Lomatia myricoides* and *Tristania neriifolia;* and a ground layer dominated by mesic ferns such as *Sticherus flabellatus* var. *flabellatus* and *Gleichenia microphylla.* While the vegetation was closely aligned with the description of Sandstone Riparian Scrub by NPWS (2003), a number of abiotic features typical of the community (and observed along the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary) were absent including rock pools, rock platforms, sandy banks and sandy alluvial deposits.

7.2.2 Riparian Vegetation Data

Visual, transect/quadrat and indicator species monitoring has been conducted of Eastern Tributary riparian vegetation for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 as described in Section 4.3.2. Sites MRIP07 and MRIP08, are situated downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 12).

No additional monitoring sites have been established in relation to Longwalls 301-303.

7.3 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS

No cliffs have been identified within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, the nearest being more than 800 m to the west (Figure 10).

No surface tension cracks as a result of previous mining had been observed within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 to date (i.e. at the time of BMP development).

7.4 AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS

The Eastern Tributary flows in a northerly direction into the Woronora Reservoir. Within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour, the Eastern Tributary flows into the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir (Figure 2). Longwalls 301-303 do not directly mine beneath the Eastern Tributary (Figure 2).

Prior to the commencement of Longwall 20 MSEC compiled a comprehensive survey and photographic record of the Eastern Tributary from the east-west headings to the Woronora Reservoir full supply level. The detailed mapping and photographic record of the Eastern Tributary is provided in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.

Baseline surface water data (e.g. surface water flow, pool water levels and water quality) are also available for the Eastern Tributary at the sites shown on Figures 6 and 7 and as described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.

²⁹ At the time of inspection by Eco Logical, standing water was largely absent from the drainage line. Due to the steep slope it is expected that standing water would generally be absent and only be present for a short period after rainfall events.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 70
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

As described in Section 5.4.1, small first and second order streams are located within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (Figures 2 and 4). Many of the streams consist of shallow drainage lines from the topographical high point above Longwalls 301-303. Gilbert & Associates (now Hydro Engineering & Consulting) conducted a visual inspection and photographic survey of streams in the vicinity of³⁰ Longwalls 301-303 in July 2015. Hydro Engineering & Consulting's (2016b) report is provided in Appendix 4.

Monitoring of macroinvertebrates and macrophytes has been conducted at sites on the Eastern Tributary for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 as described in Section 4.3.3. Aquatic ecology monitoring Location ET2 is situated within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (Figure 13).

No additional monitoring sites have been established in relation to Longwalls 301-303.

7.5 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS

Baseline data are available for terrestrial fauna habitats, i.e. upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops, and aquatic habitats, as described in Sections 7.1 to 7.4, respectively.

Amphibians were selected as the appropriate representative of terrestrial vertebrate fauna because they are widespread across the study area, include two threatened species that are sensitive to changes in surface hydrology, and because this group is represented by 14 species that appear to have viable populations. A baseline amphibian survey for Longwalls 301-303 was conducted in spring/summer 2015 at sites 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28 shown on Figure 14. The baseline data are provided in Appendix 5. Additional baseline data will be collected at the Longwalls 301-303 sampling sites in spring/summer 2016.

8 MONITORING PROGRAM

Subsidence parameters will be measured in accordance with the Longwalls 301-303 Subsidence Monitoring Program (Figure 3). In summary, surveys will be conducted to measure subsidence movements in three dimensions using a total station survey instrument. Subsidence movements will be measured along subsidence lines that have been positioned across the general landscape.

A monitoring program will be implemented to monitor the impacts and environmental performance of the Project on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna during the mining of Longwalls 301-303. The monitoring program is described in Sections 8.1 to 8.6 and will be implemented at the commencement of Longwall 301 extraction.³¹

The monitoring program includes monitoring for Longwalls 301-303, as well as the post-mining monitoring to be implemented for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27. As described in Section 1.1, the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans will be superseded by this document following the completion of Longwall 27 consistent with the recommended approach in the DP&E and DRE (2015) *Guidelines for the Preparation of Extraction Plans*.

Section 8.7 describes the assessment of the monitoring results against performance indicators and measures.

³¹ The Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Water Management Plans will be implemented until the commencement of Longwall 301 extraction.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 71
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

³⁰ Note, subsequent to the completion of the Hydro Engineering & Consulting (2016b) report, Metropolitan Coal revised the layout of Longwalls 301-303 (by shortening Longwalls 302 and 303).

As described in Section 2, this BMP will be reviewed within three months of the submission of an Annual Review, and revised where appropriate, to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the DP&E.

8.1 UPLAND SWAMPS VEGETATION MONITORING

8.1.1 Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring

Upland swamp vegetation monitoring for Longwalls 301-303 will include visual, quadrat/transect and indicator species monitoring consistent with the methods used for the monitoring of Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 upland swamp vegetation. The rationale for the upland swamp vegetation monitoring methods is described in Section 4.3.1.4 and remains applicable to Longwalls 301-303.

Details of the Longwalls 301-303 vegetation monitoring are provided below.

Visual Inspections

Visual inspections will be conducted of Swamps 38, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53 and 58³² and in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b and 138 (Figure 9 and Figure 15) to record evidence of potential subsidence impacts.

Traverses³³ over the swamp will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring, to record:

- cracking of exposed bedrock areas and/or swamp substrate;
- areas of increased erosion, particularly along any existing drainage line;
- any changes in water colour, particularly evidence of iron precipitation;
- changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed vegetation (i.e. plants that demonstrate symptoms of stress) and dead/dying plants that appear unusual; and
- whether the amount of seepage (at the terminal step/over exposed surfaces of the swamp) at the time of inspection appears unusual (relative to recent rainfall).

Photographs of any cracking, erosion, water colour changes and stressed vegetation will be taken, concurrently with a description of the nature and extent of the observations, and appropriate global positioning system (GPS) readings. If changes in vegetation condition are observed in a swamp that are not similar to that in control swamp(s), the extent of change will be noted, and where practicable, mapped. Seepage will be documented by photographs of flow over exposed surfaces, e.g. terminal step.

The visual inspections will assess the changes in the observed physical condition of the swamps over time (Table 12 in Section 8.7).

Transect/Quadrat Monitoring

Upland swamp sites overlying Longwalls 301-303 were selected for transect/quadrat monitoring in consideration of the baseline characteristics described in Section 7.1 and Appendix 2. Transect and quadrat monitoring will be conducted in Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51/52 and 53 over Longwalls 301-303.

³³ Many of the Longwalls 301-303 upland swamps comprise dense Banksia Thicket. It is anticipated that such traverses will be difficult to impractical at some locations.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 72
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

³² This includes all swamps within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour (Figure 15), with the exception of Swamp 42 and Swamp 54 which are predicted to experience minimal subsidence movements (MSEC, 2016).

Similar to the valley side swamps over Longwalls 20-27, the swamps above Longwalls 301-303 tend to have their longest axis running horizontally along the contour perpendicular to the gradient and are often rather narrow. Each swamp will be monitored with three transects running downslope perpendicular to the horizontal long axis and approximately evenly distributed along the long axis.

The line of each transect has been marked at regular intervals (flagging tape every 5 m, metal stakes approximately every 20 m) to ensure that the same line is used each time. Assessments will be made on 1 m² quadrats placed immediately adjacent to the transect line with one quadrat edge located on the line (southern side of transect line for Swamps 40, 46, 51/52 and 53 and eastern side for Swamp 41) every 5 m starting from 0 m. The transect length varies for each swamp, however the statistical analyses performed on the quadrat data do not require the transect lengths to be the same between swamps.

The data collected for each quadrat will include:

- vegetation structure;
- dominant species;
- estimated cover and height for each stratum;
- full floristics;
- estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale; and <u>Modified Braun-Blanquet Scale</u>
 - 1 = cover less than 5% of site and rare
 - 2 = cover less than 5% of site and uncommon
 - 3 = cover of less than 5% and common
 - 4 = cover of 5-20% of site
 - 5 = cover of 21-50% of site
 - 6 = cover of 51-75% of site
 - 7 = cover of greater than 75%
- condition/health rating for each species in the quadrat:

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy

Permanent photo points have been established along each transect. Surveys will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring.

Existing control Swamps 101, 135, 136, 137a and 137b have been selected for comparison with the swamps over Longwalls 301-303. It is noted that some of these control swamps have previously been identified as supporting Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys, 2008; Metropolitan Coal, 2014), however, the height and density of the shrub layer of these swamps (in particular *Banksia ericifolia* subsp. *ericifolia*) has increased with time since fire, and these control swamps now support vegetation comparable to Banksia Thicket as described in NPWS (2003) and Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) and similar to that observed in swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303 (Appendix 2).

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the quadrat/transect data that will be conducted to assess the vegetation monitoring results against the upland swamp vegetation performance indicator, *The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps*.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 73		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Indicator Species Monitoring

Consistent with the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 upland swamp vegetation monitoring programs the indicator species for monitoring were *Epacris obtusifolia*, *Sprengelia incarnata* and *Pultenaea aristata*. However, insufficient individuals of *Pultenaea aristata* were available in the swamps over Longwalls 301-303 for monitoring. The swamps selected for the monitoring of *Epacris obtusifolia* and *Sprengelia incarnata* is based on the availability of the indicator species in the swamps as described in detail in Appendix 2.

Twenty tagged individuals³⁴ of:

- *Epacris obtusifolia* will be monitored in each of Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 101, 136 and 137a; and
- *Sprengelia incarnata* will be monitored in each of Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 101, 136 and 137b.

Population monitoring data collected will include:

• condition/health rating for each plant; and

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy
- reproductive rating:

Reproductive Rating

- 1 nil
- 2 sparse (occasional flowers only)
- 3 low (under 25 percent of potential)
- 4 moderate (25 to 75 percent)
- 5 high (over 75 percent of potential flowering)

Surveys will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the indicator species data that will be conducted to assess the vegetation monitoring results against the upland swamp vegetation performance indicator, *The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps*.

8.1.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring

Visual Inspections

Visual inspections are currently conducted in Swamps 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97 and 98 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 20-27 when mining is within 400 m of the swamp and at the time of the vegetation surveys (i.e. biannually in autumn and spring) as described in Section 4.3.1.4. None of these swamps are located within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction.

³⁴ Individuals of indicator species being monitored within these control swamps for Longwalls 23-27 will not be used for Longwalls 301-303 as a proportion of these individuals within control swamps have already been recorded with severe dieback or are dead. Additional individuals have been tagged as a component of the monitoring program.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 74
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Visual inspections of Swamps 16, 17, 20, 24, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 95 will be conducted biannually. These swamps are also subject to biannual transect/quadrat monitoring as described below.

Transect/Quadrat Monitoring

Transect and quadrat monitoring is currently conducted in Swamps 16, 17, 18, 20, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, Swamps 28, 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27 and in control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138, Bee Creek Swamp, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp (Figure 9) for Longwalls 20-27. None of these swamps are located within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction. Transect and quadrat monitoring will continue to be conducted biannually in the abovementioned swamps following the commencement of Longwall 301 using the methods described in Section 4.3.1.4.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the transect/quadrat data that will be conducted to assess the vegetation monitoring results against the upland swamp vegetation performance indicator, *The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps,* consistent with the approved Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 vegetation monitoring programs.

Indicator Species Monitoring

Population monitoring will continue to be conducted for Longwalls 20-22, specifically, 20 tagged individuals of:

- *Epacris obtusifolia* in each of Swamps 18, 24 and 25 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 101, 111a and 125;
- Sprengelia incarnata in each of Swamp 24 (longwall swamp) and control swamps 101 and 125; and
- *Pultenaea aristata* in each of Swamps 18, 24 (from autumn 2010) and 25 (longwall swamps) and control swamps 101 and 111a.

Three indicator species characteristic of the Tea Tree Thicket vegetation namely, *Banksia robur, Callistemon citrinus* and *Leptospermum juniperinum* will also continue to be monitored in Swamp 20 and at associated control sites (Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp). The twenty tagged individuals will continue to be monitored in each swamp.

Population monitoring will also continue to be conducted for Longwalls 23-27, specifically, 20 tagged individuals of:

- *Epacris obtusifolia* in each of Swamps 19, 30, 33, 35 and 94 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 135, 136, 137a, 137b and 138;
- *Sprengelia incarnata* in each of Swamps 19, 33, 35 and 94 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 135, 136, 137a and 138;
- *Pultenaea aristata* in each of Swamps 19, 30, 33, 35 and 94 (longwall swamps) and control Swamps 135, 136, 137a and 138; and
- *Banksia robur* and *Callistemon citrinus* in Swamp 28 (longwall swamp) and control Swamps Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp.

Population monitoring for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 will continue to be conducted in the abovementioned swamps following the commencement of Longwall 301 using the methods described in Section 4.3.1.4.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 75		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the indicator species data that will be conducted to assess the vegetation monitoring results against the upland swamp vegetation performance indicator, *The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps*, consistent with the approved Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 vegetation monitoring programs.

8.2 UPLAND SWAMPS GROUNDWATER MONITORING

8.2.1 Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Groundwater Monitoring

The approach taken to the development of the upland swamp groundwater monitoring program is described in Section 7.1.4 in relation to the collection of baseline data. Groundwater monitoring of upland swamps will include the monitoring of paired piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer to a depth of approximately 1 m and one sandstone piezometer to a depth of approximately 10 m) in Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51, 52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 9). Each piezometer has been equipped with a data logger for continuous water level monitoring.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the data analysis that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the upland swamp groundwater performance indicator, *Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining*, during the mining of Longwalls 301-303.

8.2.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Upland Swamp Groundwater Monitoring

Swamp substrate groundwater monitoring is currently conducted in Swamps 20 and 25 for Longwalls 20-22, Swamps 28, 30, 33 and 35 for Longwalls 23-27 and in control Swamps 101, 137a, 137b, Bee Creek Swamp and Woronora River 1 (WRSWAMP 1) (Figure 9) for Longwalls 20-27. None of these swamp groundwater monitoring sites are located within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction. Swamp groundwater monitoring will continue to be conducted in the abovementioned swamps following the commencement of Longwall 301 as described in Section 4.3.1.5.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the data analysis that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the upland swamp groundwater performance indicator, *Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining, consistent with the approved Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 vegetation monitoring programs.*

8.3 **RIPARIAN VEGETATION**

8.3.1 Longwalls 301-303 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring

As indicated in Section 7.2.2, no additional riparian vegetation monitoring sites have been established for Longwalls 301-303. Existing sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 on the Eastern Tributary are situated downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 12). Monitoring of sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 will continue to be conducted during the mining of Longwalls 301-303 as described in Section 8.3.2 below.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 76		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

8.3.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Riparian Vegetation Monitoring

Riparian areas along Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary will continue to be monitored at sites MRIP01 to MRIP12³⁵ for Longwalls 20-22 and/or Longwalls 23-27 (Figure 12). Sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05, MRIP06 and MRIP09 are situated over Longwalls 20-22 and sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 are situated over Longwalls 23-27. Sites MRIP03, MRIP04 and MRIP10 are situated downstream of Longwall 23A on the Waratah Rivulet. Sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 are situated on the Eastern Tributary downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303.

Visual Inspections

Visual inspections of riparian areas will continue to be conducted in locations adjacent to riparian vegetation monitoring sites (sites MRIP01 to MRIP12), and areas traversed whilst accessing the monitoring sites following the commencement of Longwall 301 to record evidence of subsidence impacts including:

- areas of new water ponding;
- any cracking or rock displacement; and
- changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed vegetation that appear unusual.

Photographs of any new water ponding, cracking/rock displacement and stressed vegetation will be taken, concurrently with a description of the nature and extent of the observations, and appropriate GPS readings. Flora species that have been subject to vegetation dieback will be noted. The visual inspections will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring.

The visual inspections will assess the changes in the observed physical condition of the riparian zone over time (Table 12 in Section 8.7).

Quadrat Monitoring

The existing permanent quadrat (20 m x 2 m) will continue to be used to monitor riparian vegetation at (Figure 12):

- sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05 and MRIP06 overlying Longwalls 20-22;
- sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27;
- sites MRIP03 and MRIP04 downstream of Longwall 23A; and
- sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303.

The data collected for each quadrat will include:

- vegetation structure;
- dominant species;
- estimated cover and height for each stratum;
- full floristics;

³⁵ Sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP03, MRIP04 and MRIP10 are situated in the vicinity of pools J, N, Q, U and W, respectively on the Waratah Rivulet. Sites MRIP05, MRIP06, MRIP07, MRIP09, MRIP11 and MRIP12 are situated in the vicinity of pools ETJ, ETM, ETQ, ETS, ETF, ETV and ETAG, respectively, on the Eastern Tributary.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 77
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale; and <u>Modified Braun-Blanquet Scale</u>
 - 1 = cover less than 5% of site and rare
 - 2 = cover less than 5% of site and uncommon
 - 3 = cover of less than 5% and common
 - 4 = cover of 5-20% of site
 - 5 = cover of 21-50% of site
 - 6 = cover of 51-75% of site
 - 7 = cover of greater than 75%
- condition/health rating for each species in the quadrat:

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy

Permanent photo points have been established for each quadrat.

Surveys of the quadrats will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring.

The permanent transect (50 m x 2 m, i.e. a 30 m extension of each quadrat) which is currently used to monitor riparian vegetation at the following sites for Longwalls 20-27 will be discontinued following the commencement of Longwall 301:

- sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05 and MRIP06 overlying Longwalls 20-22;
- sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27;
- sites MRIP03 and MRIP04 downstream of Longwall 23A; and
- sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303.

Analysis of the transect data indicates the data is highly variable between seasons, which is attributed to the dynamic nature of riparian vegetation associated with variable flooding impacts. This variability has been found to reduce the ability of this monitoring technique to detect changes to riparian vegetation associated with potential mining impacts. The riparian vegetation visual, quadrat, and indicator species monitoring techniques are considered to be more effective in detecting differences in riparian vegetation between selected longwall sites and control sites.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the quadrat data that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the riparian vegetation performance indicator, *Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal.*

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 78
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Indicator Species Monitoring

The three indicator species will continue to be monitored within the riparian vegetation of Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary, namely, *Prostanthera linearis*, *Schoenus melanostachys* and *Lomatia myricoides*. The existing tagged individuals³⁶ will continue to be monitored at:

- sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05, MRIP06 and MRIP09 overlying Longwalls 20-22;
- sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27;
- sites MRIP03, MRIP04 and MRIP10 downstream of Longwall 23A; and
- sites MRIP07 and MRIP08³⁷ downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303.

Population monitoring data collected includes:

• condition/health rating for each plant; and

Condition Scale

- 1 severe damage/dieback
- 2 many dead stems
- 3 some dead branches
- 4 minor damage
- 5 healthy
- reproductive rating:
 - Reproductive Rating
 - 1 nil
 - 2 sparse (occasional flowers only)
 - 3 low (under 25 percent of potential)
 - 4 moderate (25 to 75 percent)
 - 5 high (over 75 percent of potential flowering)

Surveys will be conducted biannually in autumn and spring.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the analysis of the indicator species data that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the riparian vegetation performance indicator, *Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal.*

8.4 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS

8.4.1 Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General

Potential subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on cliffs and overhangs, steep slopes, and land in general will be monitored in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan, a summary of which is provided below. As described in Section 4.2 and Section 5, subsidence impacts on cliffs and overhangs, steep slopes, and land in general have the potential to result in environmental consequences to aquatic and terrestrial biota and their habitats.

³⁷ Note: Twenty individuals of *Prostanthera linearis* were not available for tagging at site MRIP08.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 79			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

³⁶ Twenty individuals were selected and tagged for monitoring at the commencement of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 programs.

8.4.1.1 Longwalls 301-303 Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General

As indicated in Section 7.3, no cliffs have been identified within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, the nearest being more than 800 m to the west (Figure 10).

In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan, opportunistic visual inspections for subsidence impacts on steep slopes and land in general within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 extraction will be conducted by Metropolitan Coal and its contractors during catchment visits, sampling and routine works conducted in the catchment.

In the event subsidence impacts are identified within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303, the following details will be noted and/or photographed:

- the location, approximate dimensions (length, width and depth), and orientation of surface tension cracks;
- the location of the surface tension crack in relation to fire trails or vehicular tracks;
- the location and approximate dimensions of rock falls (e.g. rock ledges);
- whether any actions are required (for example implementation of management measures, initiation of the Contingency Plan, incident notification, implementation of appropriate safety controls, review of public safety etc.); and
- any other relevant information.

The date of the observation, details of the observer and the location of longwall extraction will also be documented. The information obtained will be recorded in the Land Management Plan – Subsidence Impact Register and reported in accordance with the Project Approval conditions.

Metropolitan Coal will use the subsidence impact monitoring results for cliffs and overhangs, steep slopes, and land in general, to assess the potential environmental consequences of the subsidence impact on flora, fauna and/or their habitats. Specific details that will be noted and/or photographed include:

- any areas of erosion or sedimentation that have the potential to impact on surface water quality;
- nature and extent of impacts on potential flora and fauna habitats; and
- evidence of impacts on terrestrial fauna (e.g. observed fauna mortality).

Metropolitan Coal will document the assessment of potential environmental consequences in the Land Management Plan – Subsidence Impact Register Assessment Form.

8.4.1.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General

As described in Section 4.2, visual inspections of cliffs and overhangs is conducted monthly when mining of Longwalls 20-22 and/or Longwalls 23-27 is within 400 m of sites COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, COH14, COH15 and COH16 (Figure 10) and following the completion of each longwall to record evidence of subsidence impacts.

Within one month of the completion of Longwall 27 extraction, any of the cliff sites (COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, COH14, COH15 and COH16 [Figure 10]) that have been recorded with subsidence impacts during the mining of Longwalls 23-27 will be inspected for any change in the previously recorded subsidence impact (e.g. cliff instabilities and cracking).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 80			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

If additional subsidence impact(s) (i.e. cliff instabilities) are observed during the inspection, the following details will be noted and/or photographed:

- the date of the inspection;
- the location of longwall extraction (i.e. the longwall chainage);
- the location of the cliff instability (i.e. freshly exposed rock face and debris scattered around the base of the cliff or overhang) relative to the cliff face or overhang;
- the nature and extent of the cliff instability (including an estimate of volume);
- the length of the cliff instability;
- other relevant aspects such as water seepage (which can indicate weaknesses in the rock);
- whether any actions are required (for example implementation of management measures, initiation of the Contingency Plan, incident notification, implementation of appropriate safety controls, review of public safety etc); and
- any other relevant information.

Opportunistic visual inspections for subsidence impacts on steep slopes and land in general within 600 m of Longwalls 20-27 extraction will be conducted by Metropolitan Coal and its contractors during catchment visits, sampling and routine works conducted in the catchment.

In the event subsidence impacts are identified within 600 m of Longwalls 20-27 (that were not previously recorded during the mining of Longwalls 20-27), the following details will be noted and/or photographed:

- the location, approximate dimensions (length, width and depth), and orientation of surface tension cracks;
- the location of the surface tension crack in relation to fire trails or vehicular tracks;
- the location and approximate dimensions of rock falls (e.g. rock ledges);
- whether any actions are required (for example implementation of management measures, initiation of the Contingency Plan, incident notification, implementation of appropriate safety controls, review of public safety etc.); and
- any other relevant information.

The date of the observation, details of the observer and the location of longwall extraction will also be documented. The information obtained will be recorded in the Land Management Plan – Subsidence Impact Register and reported in accordance with the Project Approval conditions.

Metropolitan Coal will use the subsidence impact monitoring results for cliffs and overhangs, steep slopes, and land in general, to assess the potential environmental consequences of the subsidence impact on flora, fauna and/or their habitats. Specific details that will be noted and/or photographed include:

- any areas of erosion or sedimentation that have the potential to impact on surface water quality;
- nature and extent of impacts on potential flora and fauna habitats; and
- evidence of impacts on terrestrial fauna (e.g. observed fauna mortality).

Metropolitan Coal will document the assessment of potential environmental consequences in the Land Management Plan – Subsidence Impact Register Assessment Form.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 81			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

8.4.2 Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC

As described in Section 5.5, an occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC listed under the TSC Act is situated approximately 280 m to the north of Longwall 301 (Figure 16).

In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Subsidence Monitoring Program, an assessment of the subsidence effects at this EEC will be conducted to determine whether the subsidence parameters were as predicted or less than those predicted.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 indicates the subsidence parameters will be assessed against the slopes and ridgetops performance indicator, *Subsidence movements are not expected to adversely affect the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated to the north of Longwall 301.*

8.5 AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS

8.5.1 Longwalls 301-303 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring

Metropolitan Coal will assess the subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on surface water resources and watercourses (aquatic habitats) in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan (Figure 3 and Table 12 in Section 8.7).

As indicated in Section 7.4, no additional aquatic ecology monitoring sites have been established in relation to Longwalls 301-303. Existing monitoring Location ET2 on the Eastern Tributary is situated downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303 (Figure 13). Monitoring of Location ET2 will continue to be conducted during the mining of Longwalls 301-303 as described in Section 8.5.2 below.

8.5.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Aquatic Ecology Monitoring

Consistent with the Project EA, the aquatic ecology monitoring programs for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 were designed to:

- monitor subsidence-induced impacts on aquatic ecology (stream monitoring); and
- monitor the response of aquatic ecosystems to the implementation of future potential stream remediation works (pool monitoring).

The design of the monitoring programs uses a "Beyond BACI" experimental design and focuses on representative sampling within streams and pools in mining areas and in suitable control streams and pools (i.e. not subject to mine subsidence).

The aquatic ecology monitoring programs include the monitoring of aquatic habitat characteristics, water quality, macroinvertebrates and aquatic macrophytes. Observations of surface cracking, iron staining and gas releases will also be made during the conduct of the aquatic ecology surveys.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 82	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

Stream Monitoring

Monitoring of aquatic biota will continue to be conducted at two sampling sites (approximately 100 m long) at the following stream sampling locations:

- Locations WT3 and WT4 on Waratah Rivulet, Locations ET1, ET3 and ET4 on the Eastern Tributary and Locations B1 and B2 on Tributary B overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- Location WT5 on Waratah Rivulet, downstream of Longwalls 20-27.
- Location ET2 on the Eastern Tributary, downstream of Longwalls 20-27 and within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.
- Control Locations: WR1 on Woronora River; OC on O'Hares Creek; BC on Bee Creek; and WOT on Woronora Tributary.

The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 13.

Monitoring of the sampling sites will be conducted biannually in spring (15 September to 15 December) and autumn (15 March to 15 June), consistent with the timing required by the Australian River Assessment System (AUSRIVAS) protocol.

The monitoring parameters and methods are described in Table 3 (in Section 4.3.3).

Pool Monitoring

A number of pools will continue to be monitored to assess the response of aquatic ecosystems to the implementation of potential future stream remediation works, namely (Figure 13):

- Larger pools (i.e. >40 m in length) J, M1 and N on Waratah Rivulet and ETAH on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- Smaller pools (i.e. <40 m in length) K, L and M on Waratah Rivulet and ETAG, ETAI and ETAK on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27.
- One larger control pool on Woronora River (Pool WP) and one larger control pool on O'Hares Creek (Pool OC).
- Three smaller control pools on Woronora River (Pools WP-A, WP-B and WP-C) and three smaller control pools on O'Hares Creek (Pools OC-A, OC-B and OC-C).

Monitoring of the sampling sites will be conducted biannually in spring (15 September to 15 December) and autumn (15 March to 15 June).

Sampling of pools will continue to be conducted as described in Section 4.3.3.

Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the data analysis that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the aquatic ecology performance indicator, *The aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams and pools are not expected to experience long-term impacts as a result of mine subsidence.*

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 83	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

8.6 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS

Terrestrial fauna habitats (upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops, and aquatic habitats/streams) will be monitored as described in Sections 8.1 to 8.5, respectively. Observations of any surface cracking and loss of flow in streams will also be noted at amphibian monitoring sites during the conduct of the amphibian surveys.

Amphibians were selected as the appropriate representative of terrestrial vertebrate fauna because they are widespread across the study area, include two threatened species that are sensitive to changes in surface hydrology, and because this group is represented by 14 species that appear to have viable populations.

The objective of the monitoring programs is to determine if longwall mining adversely impacts amphibian species as expressed in the null hypothesis:

The amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites.

8.6.1 Longwalls 301-303 Amphibian Monitoring

As described in Section 7.5, additional amphibian monitoring sites have been established for Longwalls 301-303. The six test sites located over Longwalls 301-303 are described in Table 9. The eleven control sites for Longwalls 20-22 (namely, sites 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12) and Longwalls 23-27 (namely, sites 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22) (refer to Section 8.6.2 below) will also serve as control sites for Longwalls 301-303. The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 14.

Site	Northing	Easting	Approximate Elevation (m)	Description of Site Location	Location in Relation to Longwall Mining
23	6216060	312678	270	Potential for pool formation within Swamp 41, beside a firetrail.	Longwall 302
24	6216105	312594	270	Potential for large pool formation beside firetrail.	Longwall 302
25	6216409	312609	265	Potential for large pool formation beside roadside soak on Swamp 46, beside a firetrail.	Longwall 303
26	6216780	312650	265	Potential for pool formation within Swamp 51/52, beside a firetrail.	Longwall 303
27	6216492	313061	300	Potential for shallow pool formation beside a firetrail.	Longwall 301
28	6216915	313111	290	Potential for pool formation, draining to a first order stream.	Longwall 301

Table 9Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 301-303

The amphibian monitoring sites will be surveyed annually in spring/summer (i.e. October to February) during suitable weather conditions.

Each site will be surveyed once during a standard one hour general area day search (early morning and late afternoon) supplemented by an evening 60 minute search/playback session using hand held spotlights and head lamps.

The location of threatened amphibian species will be recorded using a GPS.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 84			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

Species will be assigned to the following relative abundance categories for tadpole and adult stages:

- 0 = no sightings;
- 1 = one sighting of adult or tadpole stage;
- U = uncommon (i.e. 2 to 10 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- MC = moderately common (i.e. 11 to 20 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- C = common (i.e. 21 to 40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage; and
- A = abundant (>40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage.

Poisson regression analysis will be used to analyse the amphibian survey results. Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the data analysis that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the amphibian performance indicator, *The amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites.*

8.6.2 Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Amphibian Monitoring

The Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 amphibian monitoring programs described in Section 4.3.4.1 will continue during the mining of Longwalls 301-303 to monitor amphibian species, with a focus on the habitats of the Giant Burrowing Frog (*Heleiporus australiacus*) and Red-crowned Toadlet (*Pseudophryne australis*) associated with tributaries.

The Longwalls 20-22 amphibian monitoring program includes six test sites and six control sites, which are described in Table 10. The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 14.

Site	Northing	Easting	Approximate Elevation (m)	Description of Site Location	Location in Relation to Longwall Mining
1	6214525	309825	200	Downstream from trail 9H, tributary off Waratah Rivulet.	Longwall 20
2	6213575	311388	220	Confluence of two first order streams with the Eastern Tributary, approximately 50 m upstream of eastern first order tributary.	Longwall 20
3	6214990	309538	240	1st order tributary with road depression, along an ephemeral stream, ponds water.	Longwall 21
4	6213888	311563	235	On the Eastern Tributary (near Pool ETL), valley bedrock platform south of track intersection.	Longwall 21
5	6215081	309562	220	1st order tributary north of fire trail 9C.	Longwall 22A
6	6214050	311601	220	1st order tributary of the Eastern Tributary, approximately 50 m upstream of confluence.	Longwall 22B
7	6215298	308325	300	Fire trail 9E, roadside culvert site draining to first order stream, ponds water.	Control site
8	6220353	310125	260	Fire trail 9, depression-soak along track fed from seepage off a series of rock ledges.	Control site
9	6215726	308124	300	Upland swamp, off fire trail 9, upper Honeysuckle Creek.	Control site
10	621495	306402	310	Upland swamp immediately upstream of intersection of Darkes Forest Road and Woronora River.	Control site
11	6218912	308800	260	Fire trail 9, roadside culvert that ponds water and drains to Bee Creek Swamp to the west.	Control site
12	6219000	308711	230	Bee Creek Swamp north, due west of site 11.	Control site

Table 10Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 20-22

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 85
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

The Longwalls 23-27 amphibian monitoring program includes five test sites and five control sites, which are described in Table 11. The approximate locations of the sampling sites are shown on Figure 14.

Site	Northing	Easting	Approximate Elevation (m)	Description of Site Location	Location in Relation to Longwall Mining
13	6215108	310084	265	Located on Tributary B, beyond extent of Fire Road 9C. First order soak.	Longwall 23
14	6214152	311635	272	Located on Tributary downstream of Fire Road 9J crossing. Riffle-pool.	Longwall 23
15	6214650	311267	283	Soak adjacent to Fire Road 9G draining to a first order stream.	Longwall 24
16	6214800	311400	275	Soak adjacent to Fire Road 9G draining to a first order stream.	Longwall 25
17	6215031	311593	263	Headwaters of an upland swamp off Fire Road 9G draining to a first order stream.	Longwall 26
18	6214721	306653	281	Darkes Forest Road, road side culvert which crosses road to form a series of small pools.	Control
19	6213105	306937	359	Soak feeding a first order stream located on a disused track approximately 150 m off Darkes Forest Road.	Control
20	6218565	308980	227	Extensive roadside soak on Fire Road 9 along a distance of 75 m parallel with Bee Creek.	Control
21	6219407	309023	239	Extensive roadside soak on Fire Road 9 along a distance of 100 m.	Control
22	6219927	309133	222	Source reach of a first order stream flowing to Bee Creek.	Control

Table 11Attributes of Amphibian Monitoring Sites for Longwalls 23-27

The monitoring sites will continue to be surveyed annually in spring/summer (i.e. October to February) during suitable weather conditions.

Each site will be surveyed once during a standard one hour general area day search (early morning and late afternoon) supplemented by an evening 60 minute search/playback session using hand held spotlights and head lamps.

Species will be assigned to the following relative abundance categories for tadpole and adult stages:

- 0 = no sightings;
- 1 = one sighting of adult or tadpole stage;
- UC = uncommon (i.e. 2 to 10 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- MC = moderately common (i.e. 11 to 20 individuals), adult or tadpole stage;
- C = common (i.e. 21 to 40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage; and
- A = abundant (>40 individuals), adult or tadpole stage.

Poisson regression analysis will be used to analyse the amphibian survey results. Table 12 in Section 8.7 details the data analysis that will be conducted to assess the monitoring results against the amphibian performance indicator, *The amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites.*

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C Page 86			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

8.7 ASSESSMENT AGAINST PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND MEASURES

The analysis of monitoring data will be used to determine Project outcomes against the performance indicators and performance measures detailed in Table 12. If data analysis indicates a performance indicator has been exceeded, an assessment will be made against the performance measure.

If the biodiversity performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable contingency measures (Section 10) and continue to monitor (Section 8).

8.8 MONITORING PROGRAM REVIEW

Each of the ongoing monitoring programs described in this BMP will be reviewed at the completion of Longwall 301, and thereafter at the completion of each future longwall. The review will include consideration of changes to the monitoring programs, including site locations, parameters measured and the frequency of measurement based on the data obtained to date and the planned future mining activities. Any proposed changes to the monitoring programs will be undertaken in consultation with the OEH and DPI - Fishing, and to the satisfaction of the DP&E.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 87		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				

 Table 12

 Monitoring of Environmental Consequences against Performance Indicators and Measures

Aspect	Monitoring	of Environmental Consequence	S	Data Analysis to Assess	Performance	Assessment of	Assessment of	Relevant Management
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Performance Measure	and Contingency Measures
Upland Swamps	 Vegetation Monitoring (Section 8.1, Figures 9 and 15) Visual Inspections² Swamps 16, 17, 20, 24 and 25 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 20-22. Swamps 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 95 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27. Swamps 38, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53 and 58 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 301-303. Control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138, Bee Creek Swamp, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp. 	 Visual Inspections² Traverses over the swamp to record: Cracking of exposed bedrock areas and/or swamp substrate. Areas of increased erosion, particularly along any existing drainage line. Changes in water colour, particularly evidence of iron precipitation. Changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed vegetation and dead/dying plants that appear unusual. Whether the amount of seepage at the terminal step or over exposed surfaces of the swamp at the time of inspection appears unusual (relative to recent rainfall). Photograph(s) of potential impacts, a description of the nature and extent of the observations, and appropriate GPS readings. If changes in vegetation condition are observed in a swamp that are not similar to control swamp(s), the extent of change will be noted, and where practicable, 	Visual Inspections ² • Biannually, in autumn and spring, during the conduct of the vegetation surveys.	Indicator(s) Visual Inspections ² • Visual assessment of changes in the condition of the swamps over time.	The vegetation in upland swamps is not expected to experience changes significantly different to vegetation in control swamps.	 This indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if: data indicates a declining trend in the condition of swamp vegetation; or data analysis indicates statistically significant changes in vegetation between the mined and control swamps. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will consider the implementation of management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 Negligible impact on threatened species <i>Key Assessment Considerations</i> What is the nature of the environmental consequence (e.g. the potential for adverse impacts on upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops or aquatic habitats)? What are the potential factors that may have contributed to the environmental consequence (e.g. the degree of subsidence effects, ineffective management measures or prevailing climatic conditions)? Which threatened species have the potential to be impacted? What are the potential impacts on the lifecycle of the potential threatened species (e.g. foraging, breeding/reproduction, nesting, shelter and movement/dispersal)? What are the potential impacts on the habitat of the potential threatened species (e.g. area affected)? Has the habitat connectivity of the threatened species been affected? What actions, if any, are most appropriate to mitigate the impacts? Negligible impact on threatened populations	 Measures Swamp remediation techniques. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling).
	 <i>Transect/Quadrats</i>³ Swamps 16, 17, 18, 20, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Swamps 28, 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27. Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51/52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303. Control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138, Bee Creek Swamp, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp. 	 Transect/Quadrats³ Data collected for each quadrat along a transect: vegetation structure; dominant species; estimated cover and height for each stratum; full floristics; estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale⁴; and condition/health rating⁵ for each species in the quadrat. Permanent photo points along each transect. 	Transect/Quadrats ³ Biannually, in autumn and spring. 	 Transect/Quadrats³ Analysis of number of species (e.g. analysis of variance [ANOVA]⁶ for the Banksia Thicket/Restioid Heath swamps) overtime and relative to control swamps. Analysis of species lists (e.g. cluster analysis⁷) overtime and relative to control swamps. 			 consequence (e.g. the degree of subsidence effects, ineffective management measures or prevailing climatic conditions)? 3. Are there any threatened populations that have the potential to be impacted? 4. What are the potential impacts on the lifecycle of the threatened population? 5. What are the potential impacts on the habitat of the threatened population (e.g. area affected)? 6. Has the habitat connectivity of the threatened population been affected? 7. What actions, if any, are most appropriate to mitigate the impacts? If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan						
Revision No. BMP-R01-C						
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan						

Page 88

Aspect	Monitoring	of Environmental Consequence	S	Data Analysis to Assess	Performance	Assessment of	A
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Perfo
Upland Swamps	 <u>Vegetation Monitoring</u> (Section 8.1, Figures 9 and 15) <i>Indicator Species</i>⁸ Swamps 18, 20, 24 and 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Swamps 19, 28, 30, 33, 35 and 94 overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 23-27. Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301- 303. Control Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, 137b, 138, Woronora River 1, Woronora River south arm and Dahlia Swamp. 	 Indicator Species⁸ Population monitoring of indicator species: condition/health rating for each plant⁵; and reproductive rating⁹. 	Indicator Species ⁸ Biannually, in autumn and spring. 	 Indicator Species⁸ Analysis of proportions of plants surviving over time and relative to control swamps. Analysis of differences in health ratings and reproduction ratings over time and relative to control swamps. 	As above.	As above.	As above.
	 <u>Groundwater Monitoring</u> (Section 8.2 and Figure 9) Paired piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer at approximately 1 m and one sandstone piezometer at 10 m) in Swamp 25 overlying Longwalls 20-22, Swamps 28, 30, 33 and 35 overlying Longwalls 23-27 and Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51, 52 and 53 overlying Longwalls 301-303. Paired piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer at approximately 1 m and one sandstone piezometer at 10 m) in control Swamps 101, 137a, 137b and Bee Creek Swamp. Piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer at approximately 1 m and two sandstone piezometer at approximately 3 m and 10 m) in Swamp 20 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer at approximately 3 m and 10 m) in Swamp 20 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Piezometers (i.e. one swamp substrate piezometer at approximately 1 m and two sandstone piezometers at approximately 3 m and 10 m) in control Swamp Woronora River 1. 	Groundwater levels.	Continuous water level monitoring with data logger.	Analysis of swamp substrate groundwater levels (e.g. median, mean and standard deviations).	Surface cracking within upland swamps resulting from mine subsidence is not expected to result in measurable changes to swamp groundwater levels when compared to control swamps or seasonal variations in water levels experienced by upland swamps prior to mining.	 The indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if data analysis indicates statistically significant changes in swamp substrate groundwater levels, i.e.: if the seven day moving average data lie outside the 5th and 95th percentiles established for the full length of record¹⁰ [Swamps 25, 30, 33, 40, 41, 46, 51, 52 and 53]; or if the seven day moving average data lie outside two standard deviations from the mean established for the full length of record¹⁰ [Swamps 20, 28 and 35]; and a qualitative comparison with control swamps and rainfall record indicates that dry swamp conditions are not entirely natural¹¹. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 Negligible im (key assessm above). Negligible im populations (k outlined above) If the performatikely to have Contingency R (Section 10).

Me	Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan					
Revision No. BMP-R01-C						
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan						

Assessment of ormance Measure ¹	Relevant Management and Contingency Measures
	As above.
npact on threatened species nent considerations outlined key assessment considerations re). nance measure is considered been exceeded, the Plan will be implemented	 Swamp remediation techniques. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling).

Aspect	Monitoring	of Environmental Consequence	S	Data Analysis to Assess	Performance	Assessment of	Assessment of	Relevant Management			
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Performance Measure ¹	and Contingency Measures			
Riparian Vegetation	Vegetation Monitoring (Section 8.3 and Figure 12) Visual Inspections ¹² • Locations adjacent to riparian vegetation monitoring sites (MRIP01 to MRIP12) and areas traversed whilst accessing the monitoring sites.	 Visual Inspections¹² Visual observations to record: Areas of new water ponding. Cracking or rock displacement. Changes in vegetation condition, including areas of stressed vegetation that appear unusual. Photograph(s) of potential subsidence impacts, a description of the nature and extent of the potential impacts, and their GPS readings. Flora species that have been subject to vegetation dieback will be noted. 	Visual Inspections ¹² Biannually, in autumn and spring, during the conduct of vegetation surveys. 	Visual Inspections ¹² Visual assessment of changes in the condition of riparian vegetation over time. Quadrats¹³ 	 Impacts to riparian vegetation are expected to be localised and limited in extent, similar to the impacts previously experienced at Metropolitan Coal. Metropolitan Coal 	 This indicator is considered to have been exceeded if: visual inspections identify an increase in vegetation dieback from the stream or an increase in mortality of indicator species compared to that observed at site MRIP02 on the Waratah Rivulet and between sites MRIP05 and MRIP09 on the Eastern Tributary, up to and including the autumn 2015 vegetation survey; or visual inspections identify vegetation dieback greater than 50 cm from the stream at new sites on the Waratah Rivulet or Eastern Tributary as a result of mine subsidence. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will consider the implementation of management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 Negligible impact on threatened species (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened populations (key assessment considerations outlined above). If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	 Stream remediation. Weed control measures. Planting of endemic species. Stream bank erosion control measures in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. Management measures for impacts associated with cliffs and overhang sites include: the implementation of erosion and sediment control measures; and 			
	 Sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05 and MRIP06 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27. Sites MRIP03 and MRIP04 downstream of Longwall 23A. Sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301- 303. 	 Data collected for each quadrat: vegetation structure; dominant species; estimated cover and height for each stratum; full floristics; estimated cover abundance for each species using seven point Braun-Blanquet scale⁴; and condition/health rating⁵ for each species in the quadrat. Permanent photo points for each quadrat. 	Biannually, in autumn and spring.	 Analysis of number of species (e.g. ANOVA⁶). Analysis of species lists (e.g. cluster analysis⁷). 							 stabilisation techniques; in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301- 303 Land Management Plan. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling).
	 Indicator Species⁸ Sites MRIP01, MRIP02, MRIP05, MRIP06 and MRIP09 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Sites MRIP11 and MRIP12 overlying Longwalls 23-27. Sites MRIP03, MRIP04 and MRIP10 downstream of Longwall 23A. Sites MRIP07 and MRIP08 downstream of Longwalls 23-27 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301- 303. 	 Indicator Species⁸ Population monitoring of indicator species: condition/health rating of each plant⁵; and reproductive rating⁹. 	 Indicator Species⁸ Biannually, in autumn and spring. 	 Indicator Species⁸ Analysis of proportions of plants surviving. Analysis of differences in health ratings and reproduction ratings. 							

Page 90

Me	Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C					
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan					

Aspect	Monitoring	of Environmental Consequence	s	Data Analysis to Assess	Performance	Assessment of	Assessment of	Relevant Management
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Performance Measure ¹	and Contingency Measures
Slopes and Ridgetops	Cliffs and Overhangs, Steep Slopes and Land in General (Section 8.4 and Figure 10)• As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan:• Cliff and overhang sites COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, CHO14, 	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. 	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. 	As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan.	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. Negligible impact on threatened s (key assessment considerations out above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened gopulations (key assessment consi	 As described for cliffs and overhangs in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. Negligible impact on threatened species (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened populations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened ecological communities <i>Key Assessment Considerations</i> Can any subsidence impacts (e.g. surface cracking, subsidence-induced erosion) be observed within the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated to the north of Longwall 301? If yes, over what area has been affected? 	 Management measures for impacts associated with cliffs and overhang sites include: the implementation of erosion and sediment control measures; and stabilisation techniques; in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301- 303 Land Management Plan. Filling of surface tension cracks in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 	
	Subsidence Monitoring As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Subsidence Monitoring Program. 	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Subsidence Monitoring Program. 	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Subsidence Monitoring Program. 	Comparison of subsidence parameters against subsidence predictions.	Subsidence movements are not expected to adversely affect the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated to the north of Longwall 301.	 This indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if the assessment of subsidence parameters indicates the subsidence effects at the occurrence of the Southern Sydney Sheltered Forest on Transitional Sandstone Soils in the Sydney Basin Bioregion EEC situated to the north of Longwall 301 are an order of magnitude above those predicted. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded or is likely to be exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 3. What are the potential environmental consequences of the change in subsidence effects? 4. What actions, if any, are most appropriate to mitigate the impacts and/or to minimise future impacts? If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	 Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency, monitoring of subsidence impacts in EEC).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan						
Revision No. BMP-R01-C						
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan						

Aspect	Monitoring of Environmental Consequences			Data Analysis to Assess Perf	Performance Assessment of		Assessment of	Relevant Management
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Performance Measure ¹	and Contingency Measures
Aquatic Biota and their Habitats	 Aquatic Habitats (Section 8.5) Surface water resources and watercourses monitored in accordance with the Metropolitan Col Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. 	As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.	As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301- 303 Water Management Plan.	As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.	 As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. 	As described in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.	 As described for water resources and watercourses in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. Negligible impact on threatened species (key assessment considerations outlined above). 	 Stream remediation. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling).
	 <u>Aquatic Biota (Section 8.5 and Figure 13)</u> Stream Monitoring Two sampling sites (approximately 100 m in length) at the following locations: Location WT3 on Waratah Rivulet, Locations ET1, ET3 and ET4 on the Eastern Tributary and Locations B1 and B2 on Tributary B overlying Longwalls 20-27. Location WT4 and WT5 on the Waratah Rivulet, downstream of Longwalls 20-27. Location ET2 on the Eastern Tributary, downstream of Longwalls 20-27. Location ET2 on the Eastern Tributary, downstream of Longwalls 20-27. Cocation ET2 on the Eastern Tributary, downstream of Longwalls 20-27. Control Locations: WR1 on Woronora River; OC on O'Hares Creek; BC on Bee Creek; and WOT on Woronora Tributary. 	 Stream Monitoring Habitat Characteristics¹⁴. Water Quality¹⁵. Aquatic Macroinvertebrates (AUSRIVAS Sampling¹⁶ and Quantitative Sampling¹⁷). Aquatic Macrophytes¹⁸. 	Stream Monitoring Biannually, in autumn and spring. 	Analysis of macroinvertebrate and macrophyte multivariate ¹⁹ and univariate ²⁰ data using PERMANOVA to test the null hypothesis of no significant change in relation to control places.	Aquatic Biota - the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams and pools are not expected to experience long-term impacts as a result of mine subsidence.	 This indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if data analysis indicates significant long-term changes²¹ in relation to reference places pre-mining²² compared to post-extraction²³: occur in the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams at Locations WT3, ET1, ET3, ET4, B1 and B2 located within the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 mining areas during the mining of Longwalls 301-303; or occur in the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams at Location ET2 within the Longwalls 301-303 mining area after the completion of Longwall 306. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 Negligible impact on threatened populations (key assessment considerations outlined above). If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	Adaptive management.
	 Pool Monitoring Larger pools J, M1 and N on Waratah Rivulet and ETAH on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27. Smaller pools K, L and M on Waratah Rivulet and ETAG, ETAK and ETAI on the Eastern Tributary, overlying Longwalls 20-27. One larger control pool on Woronora River (Pool WP) and one larger control pool on O'Hares Creek (Pool OC). Three smaller control pools on Woronora River (Pools WP-A, WP-B and WP-C) and three smaller control pools on O'Hares Creek (Pools OC-A, OC-B and OC-C). 	 Pool Monitoring Habitat Characteristics¹⁴. Water Quality¹⁵. Aquatic Macroinvertebrates (Quantitative Sampling¹⁷). Aquatic Macrophytes²⁴. 	 Pool Monitoring Biannually, in autumn and spring. 	 Analysis of macroinvertebrate and macrophyte multivariate¹⁹ and univariate²⁰ data using PERMANOVA to test the null hypothesis of no significant change in relation to control places. Analysis of changes in distribution and abundance of aquatic macrophytes in pools. 	Aquatic Biota - the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages in streams and pools are not expected to experience long-term impacts as a result of mine subsidence.	 This indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if data analysis indicates significant long-term changes²¹ in relation to reference places pre-mining²⁵ compared to post-extraction²⁶: occur in the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages at pools J, K, L, M1, M and N after one year of the completion of stream remediation on Waratah Rivulet; or occur in the aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages at pools ETAG, ETAH, ETAI and ETAK after one year of the completion of stream remediation on the Eastern Tributary. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 As described for water resources and watercourses in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. Negligible impact on threatened species (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened populations (key assessment considerations outlined above). If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	 Stream remediation. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling). Adaptive management.

Ме	Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan					
Revision No. BMP-R01-C						
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan						

Aspect	Monitoring of	Environmental Consequence	s	Data Analysis to Assess	Performance	Assessment of	Assessment of	Relevant Management
	Sites	Parameters	Frequency	against Performance Indicator(s)	Indicator(s)	Performance Indicator(s)	Performance Measure ¹	and Contingency Measures
Terrestrial Fauna and their Habitats	Terrestrial Habitats Monitoring (Sections 8.1 to 8.5). • As described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above.	As described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above.	As described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above.	As described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above.	Terrestrial Fauna Habitats - performance indicators described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above.	Performance indicators described for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops and aquatic habitats above. This is disconstruint to see a side and to have	 As described for cliffs and overhangs in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. As described for water resources and watercourses in the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. Negligible impact on threatened species (key assessment considerations outlined above). Mediciple impact on threatened 	 Swamp remediation techniques. Stream remediation. Weed control measures. Planting of endemic species. Stream bank erosion control measures in accordance with the
	 <u>Section 8.6 and Figure 14</u>) Test sites 1 to 6 overlying Longwalls 20-22. Test sites 13 to 17 overlying Longwalls 23-27. Test sites 23 to 28 overlying Longwalls 301-303. Control sites 7 to 12 and 18 to 22. 	 Amplitual species diversity and relative abundance. 	• Annually in spring/summer.	 Analysis using Poisson regression analysis to determine if the null hypothesis remains intact. 	 Terrestrial Faulta - the amphibian assemblage is not expected to experience changes significantly different to the amphibian assemblage at control sites. 	 This indicator will be considered to have been exceeded if the data identifies a significant change in the amphibian population. If the data analysis indicates the performance indicator has been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement suitable management measures (Section 9) and an assessment will be made against the performance measure. 	 Regigible impact on intratened populations (key assessment considerations outlined above). Negligible impact on threatened ecological communities (key assessment considerations outlined above). If the performance measure is considered likely to have been exceeded, the Contingency Plan will be implemented (Section 10). 	 Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan. Management measures for impacts associated with cliffs and overhang sites include: the implementation of erosion and sediment control measures; and stabilisation techniques; in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301- 303 Land Management Plan. Filling of surface tension cracks in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. Additional monitoring (e.g. increase in monitoring frequency or additional sampling). Adaptive management.

Me	Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

Metropolitan Coal - Biodiversity Management Plan

Legend

- Threatened species, populations and ecological communities include those listed under the TSC Act, EPBC Act or Fisheries Management Act at the time of Project Approval (i.e. the lists current as at 22 June 2009).
- Traverses aimed at covering the majority of the extent of each swamp will be conducted at the time of the vegetation surveys (i.e. biannually in autumn and spring), where practicable.
- Transects have been staked (or similar marking) at regular intervals (approximately every 20 m) to ensure that the same line is used each time. Assessments will be made on 1 m² guadrats positioned along the transect every 5 m starting from 0 m. The transect length varies for each swamp, however the statistical analyses performed on the quadrat data do not require the transect lengths to be the same between swamps.
- Modified Braun-Blanquet Scale: 1 = cover less than 5% of site and rare, 2 = cover less than 5% of site and uncommon, 3 = cover of 5 to 20% of site, 5 = cover of 21 to 50% of site, 6 = cover of 51 to 75% of site, 7 = cover of greater than 75%.
- 5 Condition/health rating: 1= severe damage/dieback, 2 = many dead stems, 3 = some dead branches, 4 = minor damage and 5 = healthy.
- 6 In general, the purpose of ANOVA is to test for significant differences between means.
- The term cluster analysis encompasses a number of different algorithms and methods for grouping objects of similar kind into respective categories.
- Indicator species: 20 individuals of the selected indicator species have been tagged within the nominated swamps (described in Section 8.1) and within or proximal to the nominated riparian vegetation transects (described in Section 8.3).
- 9 Reproductive Rating: 1 = nil, 2 = sparse (occasional flowers only), 3 = low (under 25 percent of potential), 4 = moderate (25 to 75%) and 5 = high (over 75% of potential flowering).
- 10 The 'full length of record' relates to the groundwater swamp substrate dataset for Longwalls 20-22 swamps to 31 May 2012, for Longwalls 23-27 swamps to 30 June 2014 and for Longwalls 301-303 swamps to the commencement of Longwall 301 (or prior to subsidence effects occurring).
- 11 As the 5th and 95th percentiles must be exceeded up to 5% of the time, an analysis of cause-and-effect is necessary to trigger further action. The qualitative analysis would include a comparison of behaviour at control swamps in terms of the rate of recession from high to low water levels, and the duration of dry swamp conditions compared to the rainfall record.
- 12 Areas traversed whilst accessing the monitoring sites and locations adjacent to riparian vegetation monitoring sites (MRIP01 to MRIP12) will be inspected.
- 13 A permanent quadrat (20 x 2 m) will be used.
- 14 Information on stream characteristics will be recorded at each site in accordance with the AUSRIVAS protocol (Turak et al., 2004). Characteristics recorded include a visual assessment of stream width and depth, riparian conditions, signs of disturbance, water quality and percentage cover of the substratum by algae.
- 15 A number of water quality variables will be measured at each of the sampling sites prior to undertaking the biological sampling. Measurements of physico-chemical water quality will be determined using a submersible data logger. Water quality measurements will include electrical conductivity (µS/cm), dissolved oxygen (% Saturation and mg/L), pH, temperature (°C), turbidity (NTU) and oxygen reduction potential (mV). Alkalinity will be determined in the field using a total alkalinity field kit. These water quality measurements provide information relevant to water quality at the time of sampling.
- 16 AUSRIVAS protocol (Turak et al., 2004).
- 17 Within each site, three replicate macroinvertebrate samples will be collected using timed one minute sweeps of all habitats (edge, riffle, pools, etc.), using a 250 µm dip net. For each replicate sample, the contents of the net will be placed into white plastic trays filled with fresh water and then placed into pre-labelled plastic sample containers filled with 70% alcohol. In the laboratory, animals will be identified to family level with the exception of some families of Anisoptera (dragonfly larvae), which will be identified to species, as they could potentially include threatened aquatic species.
- 18 The distribution of submerged and emergent (occurring in-stream and in the riparian zone) macrophytes will be estimated along each sampling location by assigning a cover class to each species. The cover classes are: (1) one plant or small patch (i.e. few), (2) not common, growing in a few places (i.e. scattered), and (3) widespread (i.e. common). Within each site, an assessment of the in-stream (i.e. submerged and emergent) aquatic vegetation will be made by estimating the relative abundance (i.e. percentage cover) of aquatic macrophytes within five haphazardly placed 0.25 m² quadrats, using a stratified sampling technique.
- 19 Multivariate Analysis: comparisons of two (or more) samples based on the degree to which these samples share particular species, at comparable levels of abundance.
- 20 Univariate Analysis: comparison of individual variables (e.g. total number of taxa, total abundance, abundances of individual taxa).
- 21 Long-term changes to the macroinvertebrate and macrophyte assemblages are considered to be significant changes resulting from mining that are persistent (i.e. occurring at the monitoring locations within a mining area up to 3 years after extraction of that mining area).
- 22 Pre-mining data is as follows: sites WT3, ET1 and B1 (spring 2008 to autumn 2010); site ET3 (spring 2009 to spring 2013); site ET2 (spring 2008 to prior to the commencement of Longwall 301 [i.e. prior to subsidence effects occurring]).
- 23 Post-extraction data is represented as follows: sites WT3, ET1 and B1 (from spring 2010 on); site ET3 (from spring 2010 on); sites ET4 and B2 (from autumn 2014 on); site ET2 (following the commencement of Longwall 301).
- 24 Within each site in each pool, aquatic macrophytes will be sampled using the same quantitative techniques described in note 16 above for stream monitoring. In addition, the spatial distribution of floating attached and/or submerged macrophytes (i.e. Myriophyllum penduculatum and Triglochin procerum) will also be mapped in each pool on each sampling occasion to provide a visual comparison of their distribution through time.
- 25 Pre-mining data is as follows: Pool N on Waratah Rivulet (spring 2008 to autumn 2010); Pools J, K, L, M and M1 on Waratah Rivulet (spring 2009 to autumn 2010); Pools ETAG, ETAH, ETAI and ETAK (spring 2009 to spring 2013).
- 26 Post-extraction data is represented as follows: Pool N on Waratah Rivulet (from spring 2010 on); Pools J, K, L, M and M1 on Waratah Rivulet (from spring 2010 on); Pools ETAG, ETAH, ETAI and ETAK (from autumn 2014).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

9 MANAGEMENT MEASURES

This section describes the management measures that will be implemented to remediate impacts, including subsidence impacts and impacts associated with surface activities in the underground mining area and surrounds. Management measures will be implemented, as appropriate, to comply with the relevant statutory requirements and the subsidence impact performance measure.

Systematic and/or valley related movements associated with the Project have the potential to result in fracturing and dilation of the underlying strata of streams above and immediately adjacent to the longwalls. Cracking and dilation of bedrock may result in the localised diversion of a portion of the surface flow into subterranean flows or leakage from pools. Stream remediation measures required to be implemented on the Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary are described in Section 9.1.

Other potential subsidence impacts and associated management measures such as stream bank erosion, ponding of stream bank vegetation, cliff falls and surface tension cracks, and swamp remediation measures are described in Section 9.2.

Vegetation clearance management measures are described in Section 9.3.1.

Metropolitan Coal personnel and contractors will be required to access the underground mining area and surrounds to conduct a range of surface activities including various monitoring, exploration, construction and remediation/rehabilitation activities. Management measures will be implemented to minimise the potential for impacts of such activities on flora and fauna, and their habitats. These measures are described in Section 9.4.

Follow-up inspections will be conducted to assess the effectiveness of implemented management measures and the requirement for any additional management measures.

Management measures will be reported in the Annual Review (Section 13).

9.1 STREAM REMEDIATION

In accordance with Condition 1, Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, Metropolitan Coal is required to achieve the rehabilitation objective: *Restore surface flow and pool holding capacity as soon as reasonably practicable* for (Figure 4):

- Waratah Rivulet, between the downstream edge of Flat Rock Swamp and the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir; and
- Eastern Tributary, between the maingate of Longwall 26 and the full supply level of the Woronora Reservoir.

Metropolitan Coal will conduct stream remediation works in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan and Metropolitan Coal Rehabilitation Management Plan. The Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan describes when the stream remediation works will be initiated and the Metropolitan Coal Rehabilitation Management Plan describes the implementation and management of stream remediation works.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 95
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

As described in Section 4.1.1, since the commencement of Longwall 20 stream remediation activities on the Waratah Rivulet have been conducted at Pools A and F and are currently being conducted at Pool G (Figure 5). In 2015, all pools on the Waratah Rivulet remained above their cease to flow levels or exhibited natural behaviour (i.e. those pools that do not have a 'solid' rock bar control), with the exception of Pool G (where stream remediation is being undertaken). To date, mining has not resulted in the diversion of flows or change to the natural drainage behaviour of any of the pools downstream of the maingate of Longwall 26 (i.e. Pools ETAG to ETAU) (Figure 5).

Section 8.5 describes the monitoring that will be conducted to monitor the response of aquatic biota to the implementation of potential future stream remediation works.

9.2 OTHER SUBSIDENCE IMPACT MANAGEMENT MEASURES

9.2.1 Stream Bank Erosion

Visual inspections (particularly along Waratah Rivulet and the Eastern Tributary) will be conducted to identify any areas subject to excessive erosion and sedimentation. Where visual observations indicate the potential for excessive erosion or sediment migration, specific mitigation measures will be employed. Potential management measures include:

- filling of cracks and minor erosion holes in the bed or banks of watercourses;
- installation of sediment fences downslope of subsidence-induced erosion areas;
- stabilisation of erosion areas using rock or other appropriate materials;
- stabilisation of banks subject to soil slumping; and
- implementation of vegetation management measures.

These management measures will be implemented in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.

To date, limited erosion and sedimentation has been identified. Sediment controls (coir logs and sandbags) have been used at previous stream remediation sites Pools A and F for erosion control.

There is potential for the riparian areas that have been subject to increased ponding as a result of subsidence to result in stream bank erosion. The potential for excessive erosion and sedimentation will be monitored at these locations. However, it is anticipated that a new stream bank would be established that would be colonised in due course by native vegetation adapted to the new conditions.

9.2.2 Vegetation

Potential management measures for impacts on vegetation include the implementation of weed control measures (e.g. mechanical removal or the application of approved herbicides), the planting of endemic plant species and brush matting, should monitoring indicate the need.

Weed management measures in the Woronora Special Area will be conducted in consultation with WaterNSW.

Any active planting program will utilise flora species characteristic of the particular vegetation community in that area and will utilise seed collected from the Woronora Special Area. Consultation will be undertaken with the DP&E and OEH for any proposed revegetation works associated with subsidence impacts (e.g. impacts to riparian vegetation).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 96
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

To date, brush matting has been used at stream remediation sites Pools A and F in conjunction with locally collected vegetative material to encourage the regeneration of native vegetation.

9.2.3 Cliff Falls

Cliff and overhang sites COH1, COH2, COH3, COH4, COH5, COH6, COH6A, COH7, COH8, COH9, COH10, COH14, COH15 and COH16 will be monitored to record evidence of potential subsidence impacts in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan. The monitoring results will be used to assess the potential environmental consequences of the recorded subsidence impact and identify management measures, where appropriate.

In relation to impacts on aquatic or terrestrial flora, fauna, or their habitats, potential management measures include:

- the implementation of erosion and sediment control measures (e.g. the installation of sediment fences downslope of erosion areas, the stabilisation of erosion areas using rock or other appropriate materials); and
- stabilisation techniques (e.g. installation of artificial rock support, installation of standing supports, or scaling/dislodgement/removal of remaining loose rock).

The implementation of management measures will be considered with regard to the specific circumstances of the subsidence impact (e.g. the location, nature and extent of the impact) and the assessment of the environmental consequences in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan.

9.2.4 Surface Tension Cracks

As described in Section 8.4, opportunistic visual inspections for surface tension cracks will be conducted by Metropolitan Coal and its contractors as part of routine works conducted in the catchment in accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan.

Metropolitan Coal will use the subsidence impact monitoring results to assess the potential environmental consequences of the recorded subsidence impact, including the nature and extent of impacts on flora and fauna habitats and evidence of impacts on terrestrial fauna (e.g. observed fauna mortality). The implementation of management measures will be considered with regard to the specific circumstances of the subsidence impact (e.g. the location, nature and extent of the impact) and the assessment of the environmental consequence.

Potential management measures include the permanent filling of the surface tension crack. Consistent with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan, WaterNSW will be consulted in the event Metropolitan Coal propose to in-fill any surface tension cracks in the Woronora Special Area.

9.2.5 Swamp Remediation Measures

In the event remediation measures are proposed to be implemented in an upland swamp, Metropolitan Coal will prepare a swamp remediation plan for the swamp in consultation with the DP&E, OEH, WaterNSW, DPI - Fishing and DRE.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 97
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

Potential remediation measures for impacts on upland swamps that could be used or are being investigated, include:

- installation of coir log dams (i.e. erosion control structures) at any knick points in a swamp;
- use of surface water spreading techniques, involving long lengths of coir logs and hessian 'sausages' linked together across a swamp contour such that water flow builds up behind them and slowly seeps through the water spreaders to maintain swamp moisture; and
- injection grouting of rock substrate where fracturing has occurred.

A summary of these techniques is provided below. Installation of the erosion control works can be undertaken promptly as the need arises and installed within a few weeks.

Knick Point Control

Coir log dams can be installed at knick points (e.g. areas of erosion or scour) if detected during monitoring. Coir logs trap sediment by slowing water and allowing particulate matter to settle and for slow repair to occur. A shallow, narrow trench is cut into the swamp soils such that the first layer of coir logs sits on the underlying substrate or the top of the first coir log is at ground level. The coir logs are held in place by wooden stakes and bound together with wire (Good *et al.*, unpublished in BHPIC, 2009). The small coir log dams are constructed at intervals down the erosion channel.

Where increased filtering of flows is required, the coir logs can be wrapped in jute fibre matting. Coir log dams have been successfully used during a number of swamp rehabilitation programs in recent years in the Blue Mountains and Snowy Mountains. The soft-engineering materials used eventually degrade (totally biodegradable) and become integrated into the soil/organic matter complex of the swamps (Good *et al.*, unpublished in BHPIC, 2009).

Water Spreading

The maintenance of the swamp moisture regime can also be enhanced by additional water spreading techniques, involving long lengths of coir logs and hessian 'sausages' linked together across the contour such that water flow builds up behind them then slowly seeps through the water spreaders (Good *et al.*, unpublished in BHPIC, 2009). The logs can be positioned as required within shallow trenches within a swamp. The soft-engineering materials eventually degrades (totally biodegradable) and becomes integrated into the soil/organic matter complex of the swamps (*ibid.*).

Injection Grouting

Where piezometer data indicate that a fracture has developed under a swamp leading to the potential/actual drying of a swamp substrate, then injection grouting to repair the fracture may be a possibility. If the rock fractures are very narrow, then self-healing may occur via transport of sediments. In cases where self-healing cannot occur because of fracture characteristics, then the use of grouting may be a possibility. The major issues are: (1) identifying the location and scale of the rock fracture, (2) injecting grout to seal the fracture network, and (3) implementing (1) and (2) with minimal impacts on the swamp in question. A variety of inert grouts and filler materials can be injected to fill the voids in the fractured strata intercepted by the drill holes, thereby preventing water loss from an impacted swamp.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 98
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

9.2.6 Additional Monitoring

Where a performance indicator and/or measure has been exceeded, it may be appropriate to conduct additional monitoring (e.g. increase the frequency of monitoring or the parameters monitored) or conduct additional test work.

9.3 SURFACE DISTURBANCE

The Metropolitan Coal Construction Management Plan describes the management measures that will be implemented for surface construction works (excluding remediation or rehabilitation works) in the Woronora Special Area. The Metropolitan Coal Rehabilitation Management Plan describes the management measures that will be implemented for remediation and rehabilitation works. Management measures include those described in Sections 9.3.1 and 9.3.2 below.

9.3.1 Vegetation Clearance/Habitat Disturbance

Vegetation clearance activities may be required for ongoing surface exploration activities, the upgrade and extension of surface infrastructure, access tracks, environmental monitoring and management activities, stream restoration activities and other mine-related surface activities.

The environmental management of vegetation clearance sites will include:

- Detailed site inspections to identify the specific flora characteristics of the areas proposed to be disturbed.
- Identification of areas in which specific surface works involving vegetation clearance will be avoided or limited (e.g. within swamps, EECs and areas where threatened flora species are present).
- Final site selection and works design so as to minimise the amount of vegetation clearance required.
- Identification of management measures to minimise impacts on flora, prior to, during and/or following the completion of the surface works including natural regeneration and/or rehabilitation measures.

9.3.2 Weed Management

Weed management will be implemented to limit the spread and colonisation of noxious and environmental weeds, where weeds are found to occur in areas subject to mine-related surface activities.

Weed management will include:

- Limiting activities that cause soil disturbance.
- The inspection of vehicles and mechanical equipment brought to the site to avoid importation of foreign material and organic matter.
- Inspections of mine-related surface disturbance areas to identify areas requiring weed management measures to be implemented.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 99
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Implementation of weed management measures (e.g. mechanical removal and application of approved herbicides in authorised areas). Prior to the use of any chemical controls, the chemicals will be approved by the relevant landholder and the Material Safety Data Sheet for the chemical obtained prior to spraying. The implementation of measures that favour the restoration of native vegetation (where appropriate) is also considered an effective method of weed management.
- Follow-up inspections to assess the effectiveness of the weed management measures implemented and the requirement for any additional management measures.
- Consultation with WaterNSW and other relevant land holders in relation to weed management activities.

The weed management activities will be reported in the Annual Review (Section 13).

9.4 OTHER MANAGEMENT MEASURES

9.4.1 Bushfire Hazard

Fire awareness and fire safety training will be included in the induction of all Metropolitan Coal personnel and contractors required to access the Woronora Special Area to reduce the risk of bushfire.

9.4.2 Introduced Pests

Vegetation clearance associated with the Project (e.g. for access tracks) has the potential to increase the occurrence of vertebrate pest species. In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Construction Management Plan, surface construction works will occupy only small areas of the surface, will involve minimal clearance and disturbed areas will be allowed to naturally regenerate from the soil seed bank when no longer needed. Active planting may be undertaken in areas where natural regeneration is not considered to be progressing.

Management measures for introduced pests will include:

- Maintenance of a clean, rubbish-free environment in order to discourage scavenging and reduce the potential for colonisation of these areas by non-endemic fauna. Employees and contractors will not be permitted to take domestic pets into the Woronora Special Area.
- Reporting sightings of vertebrate pest species to WaterNSW, and the OEH for inclusion in the Atlas of NSW Wildlife in order for the distribution and abundance of the vertebrate pests to be better understood. This is particularly relevant to Feral Deer.
- Subject to consultation with WaterNSW, implementation of pest control measures where
 observations indicate the need (e.g. the control of Feral Cats and Foxes, or the destruction of
 rabbit burrows).
- The inclusion of general vertebrate pest awareness in Metropolitan Coal inductions, particularly for staff and contractors accessing the Woronora Special Area.
- Ongoing consultation with WaterNSW and the OEH in relation to the management of vertebrate pest species.

Pest management activities will be reported in the Annual Review (Section 13).

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 100
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

9.4.3 Infection of Native Plants by *Phytophthora cinnamomi*

Measures for the management of *P. cinnamomi* have been developed in consideration of *Management of <u>Phytophthora cinnamomi</u> for Biodiversity Conservation in Australia* (Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Heritage, 2006). Management measures that will be implemented to minimise the potential for the introduction or spread of *P. cinnamomi* include:

- restricting the movement of vehicles to formed tracks and pre-existing roads, where practicable;
- limiting activities that cause soil disturbance; and
- encouraging natural regeneration in areas requiring revegetation.

Measures that will be implemented in the event infestation areas are identified include:

- limiting access to infestation areas;
- limiting access to un-infested areas following entry to infested sites;
- development of hygiene protocols (e.g. clean footwear, equipment, vehicles and/or hygiene stations) to access known infestation areas; and
- the inclusion of *P. cinnamomi* general awareness and procedure information in Metropolitan Coal personnel and contractor inductions, particularly for those requiring access to identified infestation areas.

9.4.4 Amphibian Chytrid Fungus

Personnel conducting amphibian surveys in the Waratah Rivulet and Woronora River catchments, including movement between these two catchments, will be required to observe the following hygiene protocols in accordance with the *Hygiene Protocols for the Control of Disease in Frogs* (NPWS, 2001):

- The thorough cleaning and disinfecting of footwear.
- The thorough cleaning and disinfecting of equipment (such as nets, callipers, headlamps and waders).
- Restricting the movement of vehicles to formed tracks and pre-existing roads, where practicable.
- In the event the amphibian *Chytrid* fungus is known to be present at a site, that site would be the last site surveyed/sampled, where practicable.

10 CONTINGENCY PLAN

In the event the subsidence impact biodiversity performance measure for threatened species, populations or ecological communities detailed in Section 6 is considered to have been exceeded, Metropolitan Coal will implement the following Contingency Plan:

- The exceedance will be reported to the Manager Technical Services and/or the Manager Safety & Environmental Services within 24 hours.
- The Manager Technical Services and/or the Manager Safety & Environmental Services will
 report the likely exceedance to the General Manager as soon as practicable after becoming
 aware of the exceedance.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 101
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Metropolitan Coal will report the likely exceedance of the biodiversity performance measure to the DP&E, OEH and DPI – Fishing as soon as practicable after Metropolitan Coal becomes aware of the exceedance.
- Metropolitan Coal will identify an appropriate course of action with respect to the identified impact(s), in consultation with specialists and relevant agencies, as necessary. For example:
 - proposed contingency measures;
 - a program to review the effectiveness of the contingency measures; and
 - consideration of adaptive management under circumstances where a water resource or watercourse performance measure detailed in Table 1 of the Project Approval has been exceeded.

Contingency measures will be developed in consideration of the specific circumstances of the exceedance and the assessment of environmental consequences. Potential contingency measures include management measures described in this BMP, the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan and Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Water Management Plan.

- Metropolitan Coal will submit the proposed course of action to the DP&E for approval.
- Metropolitan Coal will implement the approved course of action to the satisfaction of the DP&E.

In accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 6 of the Project Approval, Metropolitan Coal will provide a suitable offset to compensate for the impact to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the DP&E if either the contingency measures implemented by Metropolitan Coal have failed to remediate the impact or the Secretary of the DP&E determines that it is not reasonable or feasible to remediate the impact.

11 TARP – MANAGEMENT TOOL

The framework for the various components of the BMP are summarised in the BMP-Trigger Action Response Plan (TARP) shown in Table 13. The BMP-TARP illustrates how the various predicted subsidence impacts, monitoring components, performance measures, and responsibilities are structured to achieve compliance with the relevant statutory requirements, and the framework for management and contingency actions.

The TARP system provides a simple and transparent snapshot of the monitoring of environmental performance and where required the implementation of management and/or contingency measures.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 102
Document ID: Biodiversity Management	Plan	
Table 13BMP Trigger Action Response Plan

Condition	Baseline Conditions	Predicted Impacts	Management Measures	Restoration/Contingency Phase
Trigger	 Baseline conditions as described in Sections 4 and 7. 	 Predicted impacts on upland swamps, riparian vegetation and aquatic biota and their habitats, slopes and ridgetops, and terrestrial fauna and their habitats described in Sections 5.3 to 5.6. 	• Review whether observed impacts are consistent with predicted impacts in consideration of the specific circumstances of the predicted subsidence impact (e.g. the location, nature and extent of the impact) and the assessment of environmental consequences, in accordance with Sections 8 and 9.	If the biodiversity performance measure has been exceeded.
Action	 Obtain baseline data, consistent with Sections 4 and 7. 	 Conduct monitoring, consistent with Table 12 and Section 8. Assess the environmental consequences of the subsidence impact in accordance with Section 8.7. Assess the need for management measures in accordance with Section 9. 	 Implement management measures, as required, in accordance with Section 9. 	 Implement Contingency Plan as per Section 10.
Frequency	 Prior to Longwall 20 extraction*, or as described in Section 4. Prior to Longwall 23 extraction*, or as described in Section 4. Prior to Longwall 301 extraction*, or as described in Section 7. 	 Frequency consistent with Table 12 and Section 8. 	As required, in accordance with Sections 8 and 9.	As required, in accordance with Section 10.
Position of Decision Making	 Manager – Technical Services Manager – Safety & Environmental Services. 	 Manager – Technical Services Manager – Safety & Environmental Services. 	 Manager – Technical Services Manager – Safety & Environmental Services. 	General Manager.

* Conditional on monitoring equipment having been installed prior to the commencement of the longwall. Pre-mining is considered to be prior to subsidence effects occurring on the relevant environmental feature.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C	Page 103	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

12 FUTURE EXTRACTION PLANS

In accordance with Condition 7, Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, Metropolitan Coal will collect baseline data for the next Extraction Plan (i.e. Longwall 304 on). The collection of baseline data for upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops, aquatic biota and their habitats, and terrestrial fauna and their habitats is described below.

12.1 UPLAND SWAMPS

Metropolitan Coal is in the process of confirming the vegetation communities present and the extent of the upland swamps situated to the north-west of Longwalls 301-303 to inform the next Extraction Plan. To date, baseline transect and quadrat vegetation surveys for the next Extraction Plan have been conducted in spring 2015 and autumn 2016 in Swamps 48, 50 and 71a overlying Longwalls 304 and 305 (Figure 15) consistent with the methods used for Longwalls 20-22, Longwalls 23-27 and Longwalls 301-303 upland swamp vegetation monitoring programs. Baseline data will continue to be collected in Swamps 48, 50 and 71a upland swamp transect/quadrat monitoring sites prior to the next Extraction Plan.

Paired swamp piezometers were installed in Swamps 50 and 71a in June 2016. Additional swamps will be inspected to determine appropriate locations for proposed additional swamp groundwater piezometers in consideration of the Draft Upland Swamps Policy and IESC advice.

The majority of upland swamps to the immediate west of Longwall 303 are also small valley-side swamps. However, further in the 300 series mine plan, larger swamps occur. Prior to the completion of Longwall 302, Metropolitan Coal will, in consultation with relevant specialists:

- Investigate swamp soil moisture meters/probes (to trial soil moisture monitoring in a swamp).
- Obtain information on swamp types (e.g. headwater, valley side or in-valley).
- Conduct a review of the current monitoring methods and investigate other potential swamp monitoring methods (including vegetation monitoring to measure physiological impacts).
- Determine the swamp characterisation studies to be conducted.

12.2 RIPARIAN VEGETATION

No significant streams are located to the immediate west of Longwalls 301-303 with the exception of the Eastern Tributary. As such no riparian vegetation monitoring will be required in this area.

The baseline riparian vegetation monitoring data that has already been obtained for the Eastern Tributary (as described in Section 7) will be applicable to the next Extraction Plan.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 104
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

12.3 SLOPES AND RIDGETOPS

Cliffs COH11, COH12 and COH13 adjacent to the Woronora Reservoir (over Longwalls 307 and 308) were identified during the Project EA (MSEC, 2008). In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan, if Cliffs COH11, COH12 and COH13 are located within 600 m of the next Extraction Plan longwall layout, the following baseline data will be obtained for these cliffs and included in the Extraction Plan:

- photographic records of each cliff and overhang;
- sketches of overhangs; and
- mapping of the approximate location of the cliff/overhang face and the rear extent of the overhang/undercut.

In accordance with the Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Land Management Plan, baseline data collection for the next Extraction Plan will also include a description of steep slopes and land in general and a description of the recorded subsidence impacts within 600 m of the next Extraction Plan longwall layout (i.e. where mining of Longwalls 301-303 has resulted in subsidence impacts overlying the next Extraction Plan longwall layout [if any] at the time of Extraction Plan preparation).

12.4 AQUATIC BIOTA AND THEIR HABITATS

As described in Section 12.2, no significant streams are located to the immediate west of Longwalls 301-303 with the exception of the Eastern Tributary and as such no baseline data for aquatic habitats (e.g. pool water levels and water quality) will be required to be collected in this area.

The baseline aquatic macroinvertebrate and macrophyte monitoring data that has already been obtained for the Eastern Tributary will be applicable to the next Extraction Plan mining domain.

12.5 TERRESTRIAL FAUNA AND THEIR HABITATS

Baseline data will be collected for terrestrial fauna habitats (i.e. upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops, and aquatic habitats), as described in Sections 12.1 to 12.4.

A total of 28 amphibian survey sites have been established for Longwalls 20-22, Longwalls 23-27 and Longwalls 301-303, including 17 test sites overlying or adjacent to longwalls to monitor amphibian species, with a focus on the habitats of the Giant Burrowing Frog and Red-crowned Toadlet. No additional control sites are required to ensure a continuing robust experimental design with the addition of test sites for Longwalls 301-303.

The number of additional test sites and control sites required to maintain a robust experimental design enabling assessment of whether or not a significant impact has occurred for the next Extraction Plan mining domain will be determined prior to the commencement of Longwall 301.

Baseline data will be collected for terrestrial fauna habitats (i.e. upland swamps, riparian vegetation, slopes and ridgetops, and aquatic habitats), as described in Sections 12.1 to 12.4.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 105	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

12.6 ASSESSMENT OF TRIAL LONGWALL LAYOUT FOR LONGWALLS 301-303

As described in Section 5.1, the layout for Longwalls 301-303 (i.e. 163 m panel widths [void] and 45 m pillars [solid]) will be trialled to build on the experience and datasets obtained from Longwalls 20 to 27. The outcomes of the trial will be used to inform the potential for a similar mine layout to be applied to the next Extraction Plan (i.e. Longwall 304 onwards).

Following the completion of Longwall 301, and during the mining of Longwall 302, Metropolitan Coal will review the available monitoring results applicable to this BMP and assess the subsidence impacts and environmental consequences on terrestrial and aquatic flora and fauna.

13 ANNUAL REVIEW AND IMPROVEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

In accordance with Condition 3, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval, Metropolitan Coal will conduct an Annual Review of the environmental performance of the Project by the end of March each year.

The Annual Review will specifically address the environmental performance of the BMP and will:

- describe the works carried out in the past year, and the works proposed to be carried out over the next year;
- include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the Project over the past year, including a comparison of these results against the:
 - relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria;
 - monitoring results of previous years; and
 - relevant predictions in the Project EA, Preferred Project Report and Extraction Plan;
- identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance;
- identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the Project;
- identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the Project, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and
- describe what measures will be implemented over the next year to improve the environmental performance of the Project.

The Annual Review will also review the current monitoring programs, including if and when cessation of some monitoring activities is appropriate.

As described in Section 2, this BMP will be reviewed within three months of the submission of an Annual Review, and revised where appropriate.

14 INCIDENTS

An incident is defined as a set of circumstances that causes or threatens to cause material harm to the environment, and/or breaches or exceeds the limits or performance measures/criteria in the Project Approval.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 106
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

The reporting of incidents will be conducted in accordance with Condition 6, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval. Metropolitan Coal will notify the Secretary of the DP&E and any other relevant agencies of any incident associated with the Project as soon as practicable after Metropolitan Coal becomes aware of the incident. Within seven days of the date of the incident, Metropolitan Coal will provide the Secretary and any relevant agencies with a detailed report on the incident.

15 COMPLAINTS

A protocol for the managing and reporting of complaints has been developed as a component of Metropolitan Coal's Environmental Management Strategy and is described below.

The Manager – Safety & Environmental Services is responsible for maintaining a system for recording complaints.

Metropolitan Coal will maintain public signage advertising the telephone number on which environmental complaints can be made. The Manager – Safety & Environmental Services is responsible for ensuring that the currency and effectiveness of the service is maintained. Notifications of complaints received are to be provided as quickly as practicable to the Manager – Safety & Environmental Services.

Complaints and enquiries do not have to be received via the telephone line and may be received in any other form. Any complaint or enquiry relating to environmental management or performance is to be relayed to the Manager – Safety & Environmental Services as soon as practicable. All employees are responsible for ensuring the prompt relaying of complaints. All complaints will be recorded in a complaints register.

For each complaint, the following information will be recorded in the complaints register:

- date and time of complaint;
- method by which the complaint was made;
- personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect;
- nature of the complaint;
- the action(s) taken by Metropolitan Coal in relation to the complaint, including any follow-up contact with the complainant; and
- if no action was taken by Metropolitan Coal, the reason why no action was taken.

The Manager – Safety & Environmental Services is responsible for ensuring that all complaints are appropriately investigated, actioned and that information is fed back to the complainant, unless requested to the contrary.

In accordance with Condition 10, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval, the complaints register will be made publicly available on the Peabody website and updated on a monthly basis. A summary of complaints received and actions taken will be presented to the Community Consultative Committee as part of the operational performance review.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 107	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

16 NON-COMPLIANCES WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

A protocol for the managing and reporting of non-compliances with statutory requirements has been developed as a component of Metropolitan Coal's Environmental Management Strategy and is described below.

Compliance with all approvals, plans and procedures will be the responsibility of all personnel (staff and contractors) employed on or in association with Metropolitan Coal, and will be developed through promotion of Metropolitan Coal ownership under the direction of the General Manager.

The Manager – Technical Services and/or Manager – Safety & Environmental Services will undertake regular inspections, internal audits and initiate directions identifying any remediation/rectification work required, and areas of actual or potential non-compliance.

As described in Section 14, Metropolitan Coal will notify the Secretary of the DP&E and any other relevant agencies of any incident associated with Metropolitan Coal as soon as practicable after Metropolitan Coal becomes aware of the incident. Within seven days of the date of the incident, Metropolitan Coal will provide the Secretary of the DP&E and any relevant agencies with a detailed report on the incident.

A review of Metropolitan Coal's compliance with all conditions of the Project Approval, mining leases and all other approvals and licences will be undertaken prior to (and included within) each Annual Review. The Annual Review will be made publicly available on the Peabody website.

Additionally, in accordance with Condition 8, Schedule 7 of the Project Approval, an independent environmental audit was undertaken by the end of December 2011, and is undertaken a minimum of once every three years thereafter. A copy of the audit report will be submitted to the Secretary of the DP&E and made publicly available on the Peabody website. The independent audit will be undertaken by an appropriately qualified, experienced and independent team of experts whose appointment has been endorsed by the Secretary of the DP&E.

17 REFERENCES

- Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) *Metropolitan Coal Project Baseline Flora Survey Proposed Longwall Mining Area.* Appendix E in Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd (2008) *Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment.*
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2008 2014) Threatened amphibian species recorded during Metropolitan Coal surveys. Records provided by Cenwest Environmental Services.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2010) Baseline Characterisation of the Substrate and System Processes in Upland Swamps Overlying Longwalls 20-22 and in Selected Control Swamps. Metropolitan Mine, Helensburgh. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2011) Baseline Characterisation of the Substrate and System Processes in Upland Swamps Overlying Longwalls 23-27 and in Selected Control Swamps. Metropolitan Coal, Helensburgh. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2012) An Assessment of Recent Changes in the Hydrology of Swamp 20 above Longwall 21 at Metropolitan Coal on the Threatened Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 108
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Cenwest Environmental Services (2012 2013) Metropolitan Coal Subsidence Impacts on Waratah Rivulet Riparian Vegetation Assessment of Effects on Threatened Fauna. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2013a) Baseline Characterisation of the Substrate and System Processes in Upland Control Swamps. Metropolitan Coal, Helensburgh. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2013b) An Assessment of Recent Changes in the Hydrology of Swamp 20 at Metropolitan Coal on the Threatened Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2014a) An Assessment of Recent Changes in the Hydrology of Swamp 20 above Longwall 21 at the Metropolitan Coal Mine on the Threatened Giant Burrowing Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2014b) Metropolitan Coal Subsidence Impacts on Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary Riparian Vegetation, Assessment of Effects on Threatened Fauna. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Cenwest Environmental Services (2015) Metropolitan Coal: An Assessment of Recent Subsidence Impacts on Swamp 20, Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary Riparian Vegetation, on the Threatened Giant Burrowing Tree Frog and the Red-crowned Toadlet. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Clarke, K. R. (1993) Non-parametric multivariate analysis of changes in community structure. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18: 117-143.
- Clarke, K. R., and Warwick, R. M. (1994) *Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation.* Natural Environment Council, United Kingdom.

Department of Planning (2008) Southern Coalfield Panel Report.

- Department of Planning and Environment and NSW Trade & Investment Division of Resources and Energy (2015) *Guidelines for the Preparation of Extraction Plans Required under Conditions of Development Consents, Project Approvals and Mining Lease Conditions for Underground Coal Mining.* Version 5. Draft.
- Department of Environment and Heritage (2006) *Management of <u>Phytophthora cinnamomi</u> for* Biodiversity Conservation in Australia.
- Eco Logical Australia (2010 2015) Threatened flora species recorded during Metropolitan Coal surveys. Records provided by Eco Logical Australia.
- Eco Logical Australia (2016) Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping and Proposed Monitoring Program. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal. August 2016.
- FloraSearch (2008; 2009) Threatened flora species recorded during Metropolitan Coal surveys. Records provided by FloraSearch.
- FloraSearch (2012) Metropolitan Coal Assessment Against Subsidence Impact Performance Measure Effects on Threatened Flora – Swamp 20. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- FloraSearch (2012 2013) Metropolitan Coal Subsidence Impacts on the Waratah Rivulet Riparian Vegetation Assessment of Effects on Threatened Flora. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 109
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- FloraSearch (2013) Metropolitan Coal Subsidence Impacts on Swamp 20 Assessment of Effects on Threatened Flora. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- FloraSearch (2014) Subsidence Impacts on Swamp 20 and Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary *Riparian Vegetation, Assessment of Impacts on Threatened Flora.* Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- FloraSearch (2015) Subsidence Impacts on Swamp 20, Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary Riparian Vegetation, Assessment of Impacts on Threatened Flora. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.

Gilbert & Associates (2014) Assessment of Performance Measure of Minimal Gas Releases - Pool P.

 Good, R., Hope, G. and Blunden, B. (unpublished draft) Dendrobium Area 3A Swamp Impact, Monitoring, Management and Contingency Plan. Report prepared for Illawarra Coal Holdings Pty Ltd in BHP Billiton Illawarra Coal Pty Ltd (2009) Bulli Seam Operations Upland Swamp Risk Assessment. Appendix O in BHP Billiton Illawarra Coal (2009) Bulli Seam Operations Environmental Assessment.

Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd (2008) Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment.

Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd (2009) Metropolitan Coal Project Preferred Project Report.

- Heritage Computing (2008) *Metropolitan Coal Project Groundwater Assessment*, Appendix B in Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd (2008) *Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment*.
- Hydro Engineering & Consulting Pty Ltd (2016a) *Metropolitan Coal Annual Surface Water Review* 2015. Report prepared for Metropolitan Coal.
- Hydro Engineering & Consulting Pty Ltd (2016b) Visual Inspection and Photographic Survey of Streams in the Vicinity of Longwalls 301 to 303. August 2016.
- HydroSimulations (2016) Metropolitan Coal 2015 Annual Review Groundwater Monitoring and Environmental Performance Assessment.
- Keith, D. and Myerscough, P.J. (1993) Floristics and soil relations of upland swamp vegetation near Sydney. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18, 325-344.

Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2012) Metropolitan Coal 2011 Annual Review.

- Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2014) Metropolitan Coal 2013 Annual Review and Annual Environmental Management Report.
- Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2015) Metropolitan Coal 2014 Annual Review and Annual Environmental Management Report/Rehabilitation Report.

Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2016a) Metropolitan Coal 2015 Annual Review.

- Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2016b) Letter to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Re: Condition 5, Schedule 3 of the Metropolitan Coal Project Approval. 5 May 2016.
- Metropolitan Coal Pty Ltd (2016c) *Metropolitan Coal Longwalls 301-303 Environmental Risk* Assessment Report. June 2016.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 110
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		

- Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants (2008) Metropolitan Colliery Longwalls 20-44 Subsidence Assessment Report (MSEC Report MSEC285 Revision C, August 2008), Appendix A in HCPL (2008) Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment.
- Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants (2016) Metropolitan Mine Longwalls 301 to 303 Subsidence Predictions and Impact Assessments for the Natural and Built Features in Support of the Extraction Plan (MSEC Report MSEC846).
- National Parks and Wildlife Service (2001) Threatened Species Management Information Circular No. 6 – Hygiene Protocol for the Control of Diseases in Frogs.
- National Parks and Wildlife Service (2003) *The native vegetation of the Woronora, O'Hares and Metropolitan catchments.* NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, Hurstville.
- NSW Planning Assessment Commission (2009) The Metropolitan Coal Project Review Report. May 2009.
- SP Solutions (2008) Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Risk Analysis. Appendix O in HCPL (2008) Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment.
- The University of Queensland (2014) Pool P Gas Release Assessment against Subsidence Impact Performance Measure.
- The University of Queensland (2016) *Pool W Gas Releases Assessment against Subsidence Impact Performance Measure.*
- Turak, E., Waddell, N., and Johnstone, G. (2004) New South Wales Australian River Assessment System (AUSRIVAS) Sampling and Processing Manual. Department of Environment and Conservation, Sydney, Australia.
- Western Research Institute and Biosphere Environmental Consultants (2008) *Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Survey*. Appendix F in Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd (2008) *Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment*.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		Page 111	
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

APPENDIX 1

THREATENED FLORA AND FAUNA SPECIES RECORDS

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan		
Revision No. BMP-R01-C		
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan		



LEGEND	
	Mining Lease Boundary
	Railway
	Project Underground Mining Area
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted
	20 mm Subsidence Contour
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of
	Longwalls 301-303
	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Confirmed Threatened Species

- Astrotricha crassifolia
- Acacia bynoeana
- Acacia baueri subsp. aspera
- Melaleuca deanei
- Pultenaea aristata
- Cryptostylis hunteriana
- Potential (Unconfirmed) Threatened Species
 - Epacris purpurascens var. purpurascens •
 - Leucopogon exolasius
- Note 1: Includes threatened species records up to and including the Autumn 2015 surveys. Note 2: Each symbol is indicative of a specific location rather than the number of individuals of each species.

Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); Threatened species recorded by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008); FloraSearch (2008, 2009); Eco Logical (2010-2015)

Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL

> **Threatened Flora Recorded During** Metropolitan Coal Surveys



LEGEND		
	Mining Lease Boundary	
	Railway	
	Project Underground Mining Area	
	Longwalls 20-27 and 301-317	
	Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction	
	35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted	
	20 mm Subsidence Contour	
	600 m from Secondary Extraction of	
	Longwalls 301-303	
- ·	Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

Threatened Fauna ▲ Giant Burrowing Frog

- Red-crowned Toadlet
- Grey Falcon
- , Square-tailed Kite Black-necked Stork
- Eastern Ground Parrot
- Turquoise Parrot
- Grey-headed Flying Fox
- Large-footed Myotis
- Squirrel Glider
- Eastern Pygmy-possum
- Eastern Bentwing Bat
- Broad-headed Snake
 - Diggings that could potentially belong to the threatened Southern Brown Bandicoot or Long-nosed Potoroo, or the Protected Long-nosed Bandicoot
- Source: Land and Property Information (2015); Date of Aerial Photography 1998; Department of Industry (2015); Metropolitan Coal (2016); Threatened Species recorded by Western Research Institute and Biosphere Environmental Consultants (2008); Cenwest Environmental Services (2008-2015)



METROPOLITAN COAL

> Threatened Fauna Recorded During Metropolitan Coal Surveys

- Note: 1. Includes threatened species records up to March 2016.
 - 2. Each symbol is indicative of a specific location rather than the number of individuals of each species.

0

•

•

☆

0

APPENDIX 2

LONGWALLS 301-303 UPLAND SWAMP VEGETATION MAPPING AND PROPOSED MONITORING PROGRAM

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan					
Revision No. BMP-R01-C					
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan					



Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping and Proposed Monitoring Program

Prepared for Metropolitan Coal



DOCUMENT TRACKING

Item	Detail
Project Name	Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping and Proposed Monitoring Program
Project Number	2544
Project Manager	Elizabeth Norris (02) 8536 8600 Level 3, Suite 2 668 – 672 Old Princes Highway Sutherland NSW 2232
Prepared by	Brian Towle & Elizabeth Norris
Reviewed by	Bruce Mullins
Approved by	Bruce Mullins
Status	Final
Version Number	3
Last saved on	6 August 2016
Cover photo	Upland swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303, Elizabeth Norris, August 2015

This report should be cited as 'Eco Logical Australia 2016. Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping and Proposed Monitoring Program. Prepared for Metropolitan Coal.'

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document has been prepared by Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd with support from Resource Strategies.

Disclaimer

This document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the contract between Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd and Metropolitan Coal. The scope of services was defined in consultation with Metropolitan Coal, by time and budgetary constraints imposed by the client, and the availability of reports and other data on the subject area. Changes to available information, legislation and schedules are made on an ongoing basis and readers should obtain up to date information.

Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report and its supporting material by any third party. Information provided is not intended to be a substitute for site specific assessment or legal advice in relation to any matter. Unauthorised use of this report in any form is prohibited.

Template 08/05/2014

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) Vegetation Mapping	2
3	Methods	5
3.1	Eco Logical Australia (2015) Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping	5
3.2	Indicator Species	5
4	Results	5
4.1	Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping	5
4.2	Indicator Species	9
5	Proposed Vegetation Monitoring Program1	1
5.1	Visual Inspections1	1
5.2	Transect/Quadrat Monitoring10	6
5.3	Indicator Species Monitoring10	6
5.4	Control Sites	6
6	References	8

List of appendices

Appendix A. Opiand Swamp vegetation Mapping24	Appendix A: Upland Swamp	Vegetation Mapping		24
---	--------------------------	--------------------	--	----

List of figures

Figure 1: Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008)	3
Figure 2: Longwalls 301-303 Upland Swamps	7
Figure 3: Longwalls 301-303 Vegetation Mapping	8

List of tables

Table 1: Upland Swamps within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 Secondary Extraction	4
Table 2: Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring Program	13
Table 3: Upland Swamp Vegetation Monitoring Methods for Relevant Longwall and Control Swamp Sites	16
•	

1 Introduction

Metropolitan Coal was granted approval (08_0149) for the Metropolitan Coal Project in accordance with Section 75J of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979* on 22 June 2009. In accordance with Project Approval Condition 6, Schedule 3, an Extraction Plan must be prepared for all second workings which must include a Biodiversity Management Plan to manage the potential environmental consequences of the Extraction Plan on aquatic and terrestrial flora and fauna, with a specific focus on swamps. The term 'swamps' in this report is used to refer to all vegetation communities identified as forming the Upland Swamps Complex, as described by NSW National Parks and Wildlife Services (NPWS 2003).

This report has been prepared to update previous vegetation mapping of upland swamps within 600 metres (m) of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, and to inform the Longwalls 301-303 Biodiversity Management Plan. Specifically, the aims of this report are to:

- Validate existing mapping of upland swamp vegetation within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, and where appropriate update vegetation mapping.
- Document any revisions to the existing vegetation mapping.
- Document the vegetation characteristics of each swamp.
- Conduct searches for indicator species within the swamps to inform the vegetation monitoring program design for Longwalls 301-303.
- Provide a detailed design of the proposed vegetation monitoring program for Longwalls 301-303 consistent with the Longwalls 20-22 and 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans (Metropolitan Coal 2014; Metropolitan Coal 2015).

2 Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) Vegetation Mapping

A baseline flora survey of the Metropolitan Coal longwall mining area was undertaken by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) for the Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment (Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd, 2008). This baseline flora survey identified and mapped vegetation communities for a large area of the Metropolitan Coal lease boundary including the area overlying Longwalls 301-303 and surrounds. The identification of vegetation communities in the baseline flora survey (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) largely followed the vegetation mapping of the Woronora, O'Hares and Metropolitan Catchments by NSW National Parks and Wildlife Services (NPWS 2003).

A number of distinct vegetation communities have been identified as comprising the Upland Swamps Complex within the Woronora, O'Hares and Metropolitan Catchments (NPWS 2003), with four distinct upland swamp vegetation communities identified by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) namely:

- Tea Tree Thicket;
- Banksia Thicket;
- Sedgeland-heath Complex (an amalgamation of the Sedgeland, Restioid Heath and Cyperoid Heath vegetation associations identified by Keith & Myerscough [1993] consistent with NPWS [2003]); and
- Fringing Eucalypt Woodland.

A total of 25 Upland Swamps were identified by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction. The Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) mapping of upland swamps is shown on **Figure 1**. A summary of the vegetation mapping and location relative to Longwalls 301-303 for each of these swamps is provided in **Table 1**.



© ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

Swamp Number	Over Longwalls 301-303 or Pillars	Within 600 m from Secondary Extraction	Vegetation Community (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008)
S37		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S38		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S39		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S40	х		Sedgeland-heath Complex/Fringing Eucalypt Woodland
S41	х		Sedgeland-heath Complex/Banksia Thicket
S42		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S43/S44/S45	х		Sedgeland-heath Complex
S46	х		Sedgeland-heath Complex
S47	х		Sedgeland-heath Complex
S48		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S49		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S50		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex/Banksia Thicket/Fringing Eucalypt Woodland
S51/S52	x		Sedgeland-heath Complex/Banksia Thicket
S53	x		Sedgeland-heath Complex/Banksia Thicket
S54/S55/S56		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S57/S58	Х		Sedgeland-heath Complex
S59		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S69		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S70		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex
S71		Х	Sedgeland-heath Complex/Fringing Eucalypt Woodland

Table 1: Upland	Swamps	within 6	600 m	of	Longwalls	301-303	Secondary	Extraction	(Bangalay	Botanical
Surveys 2008)										

3 Methods

3.1 Eco Logical Australia (2015) Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping

Field inspections of Upland Swamp vegetation were undertaken by two ecologists, Elizabeth Norris and Brian Towle, on three days, the 8th and 9th of July and the 19th of August 2015. At each Upland Swamp mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008), the extent of the mapped polygon was traversed to confirm the presence of Upland Swamp vegetation communities, confirm the boundaries and extent of these vegetation communities and identify the specific vegetation community present (i.e. Banksia Thicket, Sedgeland-heath Complex, Tea Tree Thicket or Fringing Eucalypt Woodland).

For each area confirmed as an Upland Swamp a description of the vegetation was recorded including the different stratum present, the dominant species and an estimation of percent foliage cover for each stratum. These descriptions formed the basis for assigning vegetation communities described by NPWS (2003) and Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008). Final delineation of vegetation community boundaries was undertaken by interpretation of aerial photographs. Patterns identified on aerial photographs were related to the field observations and used to delineate the boundaries of vegetation communities.

3.2 Indicator Species

The presence of indicator species for each vegetation type (as identified and monitored within the vegetation communities as part of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 vegetation monitoring programs) was noted, including a rapid assessment of the number of individuals for each indicator species. The indicator species targeted by the field survey and inspections were *Epacris obtusifolia*, *Sprengelia incarnata* and *Pultenaea aristata*.

4 Results

4.1 Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping

All swamps within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction were identified as 'valley side swamps'. The highly dissected landscape, with narrow ridges overlying Longwalls 301-303 does not contain broad plateaux capable of supporting the larger 'headwater swamps'. While 'in-valley swamps' which occur on incised second and third order watercourses, have previously been identified within highly dissected landscapes, none were identified within this area.

The field inspections indicated that several of the upland swamps identified by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) (which was based on NPWS [2003] mapping) did not comprise Upland Swamp vegetation. Specifically, the following swamps mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) (as shown on **Figure 1**) were identified as supporting non-swamp vegetation communities:

- S39 The previously mapped extent of this swamp (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) was found to support <u>Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest</u> occurring along a drainage line.
- S43/44/45 The previously mapped extent of these swamps (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) consisted of a single stand of <u>Disturbed and/or Regenerating Sandstone or Lateritic</u> <u>Communities</u> as described by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008).

- S55/S56 Consists of <u>Disturbed and/or Regenerating Sandstone or Lateritic Communities</u> (S55) following previous disturbance following transmission line maintenance and <u>Sandstone</u> <u>Heath-Woodland</u> (S56).
- S57 The mapped extent of this swamp supports an area of <u>Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum</u> <u>Woodland</u>.

In addition to the areas of remapped vegetation above, a large portion of three swamps identified by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) were identified as supporting a woodland/forest vegetation community (i.e. not a swamp). Namely, Swamps 38, 49 and 58 were identified as having a much reduced extent with large portions mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) identified by Eco Logical Australia as supporting Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest (Swamps 38 and 49) and Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland (Swamp 58).

The upland swamps within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction were all identified as supporting the Banksia Thicket vegetation community, with the exception of Swamps 58 and 59 (**Figure 2**), which were identified as supporting both Banksia Thicket and Sedgeland-heath Complex. The occurrence of Sedgeland-heath Complex was limited to these two swamps (Swamps 58 and 59) and only in the portions of these swamps with evidence of recent fire disturbance (following hazard reductions burns undertaken in this area during the last two years). Much of the Banksia Thicket identified within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction was mapped by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) as Sedgeland-heath Complex. The mapping of Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) was based upon field surveys undertaken between late 2006 and early 2008, approximately five years post the fire of December 2001 and January 2002, which extensively burnt the catchments of Woronora, O'Hares, Nepean and Avon. The identification of the Banksia Thicket vegetation community in areas previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (**Figures 1 and 2**) may relate to the finer scale of vegetation mapping undertaken as part of the Longwalls 301-303 surveys, or may be related to the timing of surveys since recent fire events.

The revised swamp boundaries are shown on Figure 2 and in **Appendix A**. Profiles for each of the swamps including the specific vegetation community confirmed as occurring, updated boundaries, photos and key vegetation characteristics of each swamp are also provided in **Appendix A**. The revised vegetation community mapping (as a result of the revised upland swamp boundaries and vegetation community classification within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction) by Eco Logical Australia is shown on **Figure 3**.





Peabody

METROPOLITAN COAL Longwalls 301 - 303 **Vegetation Mapping**

Figure 3

Longwalls 301 - 303 Secondary Extraction

Existing Underground Access Drive (Main Drift)

35° Angle of Draw and/or Predicted 20 mm Subsidence Contour

600 m from Secondary Extraction of

Longwalls 301-303 Woronora Notification Area

<u>i_.__</u>i

The field surveys undertaken for this report were undertaken some 12 years post fire compared to five years for the Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008). Much of the Upland Swamp vegetation mapped as Banksia Thicket in this report is likely to have had more affinity to the Sedgeland-heath Complex in the years immediately following the fires in 2001/2002. Keith & Myerscough (1993) observed that the boundaries delineating Banksia Thicket may shift after fire and speculated that fires influence the relative occurrence of Upland Swamp communities that occur in drier habitats, including Banksia Thicket, Restioid Heath & Sedgeland.

Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) identified relatively large stands of Fringing Eucalypt Woodland as occurring within portions of Swamps 40, 50 and 71 (**Figure 1**). Fringing Eucalypt Woodland was described by NPWS (2003) as occurring at the ecotone between the Upland Swamps communities and the surrounding Sandstone Woodland and as consisting of widely spaced eucalypts marking the transition between sandstone woodland and treeless heath and sedgelands (NPWS 2003). Field investigations undertaken as part of this report identified sharp boundaries between stands of Banksia Thicket and adjacent woodland vegetation communities with respect to the presence or absence of a eucalypt canopy. As such, these areas have been classified by Eco Logical Australia as forming components of adjacent sandstone woodland or forest communities and not as part of Upland Swamp vegetation mapping (**Figure 2** and **Appendix A**). Swamp 71 has been revised in this report to Swamps 71a and 71b (**Figure 2** and **Appendix A**).

4.2 Indicator Species

Indicator species were identified in the swamps as follows:

- In Swamp 37, no swamp indicator species were observed.
- In Swamp 38, no swamp indicator species were observed.
- In Swamp 40, indicator species were present including *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (>20 individuals). *Pultenaea aristata* was not recorded.
- In Swamp 41, only one swamp indicator species was identified in sufficient numbers to allow for monitoring, *Epacris obtusifolia*.
- In Swamp 42, only five individuals of *Epacris obtusifolia* were recorded.
- In Swamp 46, indicator species were sparse within the swamp and limited to a few individuals of *Epacris obtusifolia* (4 recorded) and *Pultenaea aristata* (2 recorded). No *Sprengelia incarnata* was recorded within this swamp.
- In Swamp 47, no swamp indicator species were observed.
- In Swamp 48, indicator species were observed, although they were limited to *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and a single individual of *Pultenaea aristata* (more individuals may subsequently be located). No individuals of *Sprengelia incarnata* were recorded within this swamp.
- In Swamp 49, indicator species within the swamp were limited to a small number of *Epacris* obtusifolia individuals (only 12 recorded). No individuals of *Sprengelia incarnata or Pultenaea* aristata were recorded.
- In Swamp 50, indicator species were present within this swamp, although they were limited to *Epacris obtusifolia* (> 20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (> 20 individuals) with only scattered individuals of *Pultenaea aristata* present (only 3 recorded).

- In Swamp 51/52, indicator species were present within this swamp, although they were limited to *Epacris obtusifolia* (> 20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (> 20 individuals). No *Pultenaea aristata* individuals were recorded.
- In Swamp 53, indicator species were observed along the lower western section of this swamp including *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (>20 individuals) with only scattered individuals of *Pultenaea aristata* present along the southern edge of the swamp (approx. 5 recorded).
- In Swamp 54, no swamp indicator species were observed.
- In Swamp 58, indicator species were observed within the area of Sedgeland-heath Complex within Swamp 58, although they were limited to a few young *Pultenaea aristata* individuals (3 individuals approximately 5 cm in height were recorded).
- In Swamp 59, indicator species present included *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (12 individuals). *Pultenaea aristata* was not recorded.
- In Swamp 69, indicator species were limited to *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals). No individuals of *Sprengelia incarnata* or *Pultenaea aristata* were recorded.
- In Swamp 70, indicator species recorded included *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and *Sprengelia incarnata* (16 individuals recorded). No *Pultenaea aristata* were recorded.
- In Swamp 71a, *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) were present. No individuals of *Sprengelia incarnata* or *Pultenaea aristata* were recorded.
- In Swamp 71b, *Epacris obtusifolia* (>20 individuals) and *Pultenaea aristata* (>20 individuals) were observed. No individuals of *Sprengelia incarnata* were recorded.

5 Proposed Vegetation Monitoring Program

This section presents the proposed vegetation monitoring locations based upon the results of field inspections and vegetation mapping described in **Section 3**, shown on **Figures 2** and **3**, and detailed in **Appendix A**. It is proposed that the upland swamp vegetation survey methodology be consistent with that undertaken as part of the Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans including survey timing (i.e. bi-annually in autumn and spring), data collection and data analysis.

The upland swamp vegetation monitoring programs for Longwalls 20-22 and Longwalls 23-27 involve the following three monitoring techniques, as described in the Longwalls 20-22 Biodiversity Management Plan (Metropolitan Coal 2014) and Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan (Metropolitan Coal 2015):

- Visual inspections.
- Transect/quadrat monitoring.
- Indicator species monitoring.

The upland swamps where these monitoring techniques are proposed are outlined in **Table 2**. A description of the proposed vegetation monitoring program is provided below. The proposed Longwalls 301-303 vegetation monitoring program is summarised in Table 3.

5.1 Visual Inspections

Visual observations are proposed to be conducted in all swamps which have been confirmed to support Upland Swamp vegetation, namely; Swamps 38, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53, 58, 69, 70 and 71a overlying or adjacent to Longwalls 301-303¹ and in selected control swamps (detailed in **Section 5.4**).

Where swamps are small in size or considered marginal (i.e. swamps contain species from a combination of vegetation types including species characteristic of swamps and species not characteristic of swamps) visual inspections alone are proposed. This includes Swamps 38, 47, 49, 58, 69 and 70 (**Table 2**). In other swamps, transect/quadrat monitoring or indicator species monitoring may be proposed.

¹ This includes all swamps within the Longwalls 301-303 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour, with the exception of Swamp 42 and Swamp 54 which are predicted to experience minimal subsidence movements (MSEC, 2016).

Swamp Number	Revised Vegetation Community	Comments	Proposed Survey Techniques	Indicator Species Monitoring
S37	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Outside 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.	None	No
S38	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Marginal swamp. Drainage impacted by adjacent Princes Highway. Extent of swamp reduced.	Visual inspections	No
S39	Sandstone Gully Apple Peppermint Forest	Not a swamp.	None	No
S40	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Typical Banksia Thicket. Large swamp with well-defined boundaries.	Visual inspections Transect/quadrat monitoring Indicator species monitoring	Epacris obtusifolia Sprengelia incarnata
S41	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Typical Banksia Thicket. Swamp with well-defined boundaries.	Visual inspections Transect/quadrat monitoring	No
S42	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Small swamp with woodland influence including emergent eucalypt species.	None	No
S43/S44/S45	Disturbed and/or regenerating sandstone or lateritic communities	Not a swamp. A single stand of vegetation separated by tracks (some overgrown).	None	No
S46	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Typical Banksia Thicket. Swamp with well-defined boundaries.	Visual inspections Transect/quadrat monitoring	No
S47	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Boundary of this swamp is not clearly defined and swamp forms a mosaic with the adjacent woodland/forest (Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest).	Visual inspections	No
S48	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Typical Banksia Thicket. Well defined boundaries.	Visual inspections (Transect/quadrat monitoring for next Extraction Plan)	No

Table 2: Proposed Vegetation Monitoring Program –Swamps

Swamp Number	Revised Vegetation Community	Comments	Proposed Survey Techniques	Indicator Species Monitoring
S49	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Revised extent of swamp. Marginal swamp with Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest influence.	Visual inspections	No
S50	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Typical Banksia Thicket. Well defined boundaries.	Visual inspections (Transect/quadrat for next Extraction Plan)	No
S51/S52	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Mapped extent of S51 and S52 separated by narrow track with S51 occurring to the west and S52 occurring to the east. Propose monitoring as a single swamp. Typical Banksia Thicket. Well defined boundaries. Central drainage line present throughout these swamps.	Visual inspections Transect/quadrat monitoring Indicator species monitoring	Epacris obtusifolia Sprengelia incarnata
S53	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Occurs immediately upslope of S51/52 and separated by a narrow band of Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland with Woronora Tall Mallee-heath influence.	Visual inspections Transect/quadrat monitoring Indicator species monitoring	Epacris obtusifolia Sprengelia incarnata
S54	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Small marginal swamp with influence from dry heath vegetation types (i.e. Woronora Tall Mallee Heath).	None	No
S55/S56	Disturbed and/or Regenerating Sandstone or Lateritic Communities (S55)/ Sandstone Heath- Woodland (S56)	Not swamps.	None	No
S57	Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland	Not a swamp.	None	No

Swamp Number	Revised Vegetation Community	Comments	Proposed Survey Techniques	Indicator Species Monitoring
S58	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket and Upland Swamp: Sedgeland-heath Complex	Small swamp with poorly defined boundary at present (due to recent hazard reduction burn). Mapped extent of S58 includes mixture of burnt and unburnt vegetation. Where vegetation was not recently burnt, Banksia Thicket was identified with burnt areas supporting Sedgeland-heath Complex .	Visual inspections	No
S59	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket and Upland Swamp: Sedgeland-heath Complex	Swamp with poorly defined boundary at present (due to recent hazard reduction burn). Mapped extent of S59 includes mixture of burnt and unburnt vegetation. Where vegetation was not recently burnt, Banksia Thicket was identified with burnt areas supporting Sedgeland-heath Complex . Swamp impacted by mountain bike tracks traversing the swamp. Weed species present. Outside 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.	None	No
S69	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Small narrow swamp. Separated from larger S71a by narrow track.	Visual inspections (to commence following the commencement of Longwall 303 secondary extraction ^{1, 2})	No
S70	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Very small swamp. Swamp influenced by proximity to highway with weed species recorded.	Visual inspections (to commence following the commencement of Longwall 303 secondary extraction ^{1, 2})	No

Swamp Number	Revised Vegetation Community	Comments	Proposed Survey Techniques	Indicator Species Monitoring
S71a	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Large swamp with well-defined boundaries. Typical Banksia Thicket vegetation. Separated from smaller S69 by narrow track.	rge swamp with well-defined boundaries. pical Banksia Thicket vegetation. parated from smaller S69 by narrow track. Visual inspections (to commence following the commencement of Longwall 303 secondary extraction ^{1, 2}) (Transect/quadrat for next Extraction Plan)	
S71b	Upland Swamp: Banksia Thicket	Large swamp with well-defined boundaries. Typical Banksia Thicket vegetation. Outside 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.	None	No

¹ Timing is based on Swamps 69, 70 and 71a not being within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour until after Longwall 302.

² Given the distance of Swamps 69, 70 and 71a from Longwalls 301-303 it is proposed that visual inspections commence following the commencement of secondary extraction of Longwall 303.

5.2 Transect/Quadrat Monitoring

Transect/quadrat monitoring is proposed to be conducted in swamps which are of sufficient size and for which species composition does not show a strong influence from non-swamp vegetation communities. Transect/quadrat monitoring is proposed to be conducted in Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51/52 and 53² overlying Longwalls 301-303 and in a selection of control swamps (detailed in **Section 5.4**).

5.3 Indicator Species Monitoring

Population monitoring of indicator species is proposed to be conducted in a selection of upland swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303 and a selection of control sites (detailed in **Section 5.4**). Based on the availability of sufficient numbers of individuals of each indicator species, 20 tagged individuals are proposed to be monitored of:

- Epacris obtusifolia in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53; and
- Sprengelia incarnata in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53.

Insufficient numbers of *Pultenaea aristata* were identified in upland swamps overlying Longwalls 301-303.

5.4 Control Sites

Control sites monitored as part of the Longwalls 20-22 and/or Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plans are considered appropriate control sites for swamps overlying and adjacent to Longwalls 301-303. It is noted that some of the control swamps have previously been identified as supporting Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008; Metropolitan Coal 2014), however, the height and density of the shrub layer of these swamps (in particular *Banksia ericifolia* subsp. *ericifolia*) has increased with time since fire, and these control swamps now support vegetation comparable to Banksia Thicket as described in NPWS (2003) and Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) and similar to that observed in swamps and adjacent to Longwalls 301-303. Table 3 outlines the control swamps that are proposed to be used in comparison to the longwall swamps.

² Given the proximity of Swamps 48, 50 and 71a to Longwalls 301-303 it is proposed that baseline transect/quadrat monitoring also commence at these swamps (at the same time as the Longwalls 301-303 upland swamp monitoring program) to obtain appropriate baseline data collection for the next Extraction Plan (i.e. Longwall 304 onwards).

For control swamps, it is proposed that an equal number of individuals of indicator species from an equal number of the control swamps are selected for indicator species monitoring (Table 3). It is not proposed to use individuals of indicator species currently monitored within these control swamps (as part of Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan) as a proportion of these individuals within control swamps have already been recorded with severe dieback or are dead. It is proposed that *Epacris obtusifolia* in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 be monitored in comparison with *Epacris obtusifolia* in control Swamps 101, 136 and 137a (Table 3). *Sprengelia incarnata* in Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53 are proposed to be monitored in comparison with *Sprengelia incarnata* in control Swamps 101, 136 and 137a (Table 3).

Vegetation Monitoring Method	Relevant Longwall Swamp	Relevant Control Swamp		
Visual Inspections	Swamps 38, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51/52, 53, 58, 69, 70 and 71a.	Swamps 101, 111a, 125, 135, 136, 137a, and 137b and 138.		
Transect/Quadrat	Swamps 40, 41, 46, 51/52 and 53.	Swamps 101, 135, 136, 137a and 137b.		
Indicator Species				
Epacris obtusifolia	Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53.	Swamps 101, 136 and 137a.		
Sprengelia incarnata	Swamps 40, 51/52 and 53.	Swamps 101, 136 and 137b.		

Table 3: Ur	pland Swamp	Vegetation	Monitoring	Methods for	Relevant I on	awall and	Control Swamps
	Siana Owanip	vegetation	monitoring	method i of	Itelevant Loi	igwan ana	oond of omanips

6 References

Bangalay Botanical Surveys. 2008. Metropolitan Coal Project Baseline Flora Survey – Proposed Longwall Mining Area. Report prepared for Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd.

Helensburgh Coal Pty Ltd. 2008. Metropolitan Coal Project Environmental Assessment.

Keith, D.A. and Myerscough, P.J. 1993. Floristics and soils relations of Upland Swamp vegetation near Sydney. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18 (3), 325-344.

Metropolitan Coal. 2014. Longwalls 20-22 Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP-R01-G).

Metropolitan Coal. 2015. Longwalls 23-27 Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP-R01-D).

National Parks and Wildlife Service. 2003. *The native vegetation of the Woronora, O'Hares and Metropolitan catchments*. NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, Hurstville.

Appendix A Upland Swamp Vegetation Mapping

Swamp 37



- Swamp 37 is a valley side swamp located within 600 m of Longwall 301-303 secondary extraction.
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex by Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008).
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report confirmed Banksia Thicket as occurring across the extent of this swamp, with no revisions made to existing swamp boundaries.
- Swamp 37 is approximately 0.1 hectares in area.
- This swamp may represent an artefact of previous clearing/slashing as part of transmission line maintenance.
- A tell dense shrub layer is present within this swamp generally up to 4 m in height and with estimated percent foliage cover of 65-70%. This layer is dominated by *Banksia ericifolia* var. *ericifolia* and *Allocasuarina distyla* with scattered *Hakea teretifolia*.
- The ground layer within this swamp is sparse with estimated percent foliage cover of up to 20% and generally up to 1.1 m in height. This layer is dominated by the sedge Lepidosperma filiforme.
- Outcropping sandstone is present upslope (to the north) and downslope (to the south) of the mapped swamp.
- No seepage was observed across areas of exposed sandstone at the time of survey.












- Swamp 38 is a valley side swamp located to the south of Longwall 301 secondary extraction. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified a reduced area of Banksia Thicket with Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest identified across southern portions of the previously mapped swamp extent. •
- The revised area identified as Banksia Thicket (approximately 0.1 hectares) was considered a marginal swamp with emergent eucalypt species. ٠
- A drainage line is present in the southern area of the revised swamp which receives run-off from adjacent Princes Highway, enhancing the growth of wet heath vegetation. •
- A dense mid layer is present within the revised swamp extent with an estimated percent foliage cover of 65-70% and generally 4-6 m in height. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia, Allocasuarina distyla and • Leptospermum squarrosum.
- The understorey is sparse and shaded. •
- No terminal step or areas of exposed sandstone outcropping were observed within the revised swamp area. ٠



- Swamp 39 is a narrow south-facing gully located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour.
- This area was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008).
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest occurring across the previously mapped swamp extent, with no swamp vegetation community present at this location.
- Some characteristic swamp species were observed occurring with non-swamp species such as Doryanthes excelsa, Callicoma serratifolia, Lomatia silaifolia, Acacia longifolia subsp. longifolia and Melaleuca hypericifolia.
- No terminal step was observed and the area converges into a drainage line flowing adjacent to the M1 Princes Motorway.
- No swamp indicator species were observed.
- Not a swamp.



n community present at this location. ngifolia and Melaleuca hypericifolia.







- Swamp 40 is a valley side swamp located over Longwalls 302 and 303 and the associated chain pillar. ٠
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex and Fringing Eucalypt Woodland (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- A revised area of Banksia Thicket was identified as part of this study with the area previously mapped as Fringing Eucalypt Woodland (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) identified as Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest. • Additionally some minor revisions to the swamp boundary were made from field inspections and aerial photography interpretation. The revised Banksia Thicket is approximately 1.5 hectares in area.
- A small drainage line flows towards the swamp at its northern boundary with a small area of vegetation resembling Tea Tree Thicket present within the swamp adjacent to this drainage line. Species present in this area included • Banksia robur, Melaleuca squarrosa, Callistemon citrinus, and Gleichenia microphylla. The small patch of vegetation similar to Tea Tree Ticket is too small to map (or to be quantitatively monitored).
- Across the swamp more generally, the shrub layer grades from 6 m in height upslope to 3.5 m downslope with a percent foliage cover of approximately 75%. The shrub layer vegetation is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. • ericifolia, Hakea teretifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and Dillwynia floribunda.
- The ground layer is up to 1.3 m in height with estimated percent foliage cover of approximately 30%, although foliage cover of the ground layer is highly variable depending on shading from the shrub layer. Ground layer vegetation is ٠ dominated by Empodisma minus, Chordifex fastigiatus, Lepidosperma filiforme, Schoenus brevifolius and Lepyrodia scariosa.
- A terminal sandstone step is present at the lower, western edge of the swamp with areas of Woronora Tall Mallee-Heath present across some areas of the terminal step. ٠
- Seepage was observed across areas of exposed sandstone at the time of survey. •











- Swamp 41 is a valley side swamp located over Longwalls 301 and 302 and the associated chain pillar. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex surrounding a small central and area of Banksia Thicket (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket as occurring across the entire extent of the swamp. Additionally some minor revisions to the swamp boundary were also identified from field inspections • and aerial photography interpretation. The revised area of Banksia Thicket is approximately 1.5 hectares in area.
- A tall dense shrub layer is present within this swamp generally up to 4-6 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 60-80%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia and Hakea teretifolia.
- The ground layer is dominated by Empodisma minus with Lepidosperma limicola occurring in wetter areas. ٠
- A terminal step was recorded along the south-western edge with seepage present across this area at the time of inspection.



- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008).
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket as occurring across the swamp. Additionally some revisions to the swamp boundary were made based upon field inspections and aerial photography • interpretation (Swamp 42 is approximately 0.2 hectares in area).
- The boundaries of Swamp 42 are not well defined and influence from surrounding Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland is present across the swamp including emergent eucalypt species, Corymbia gummifera and Eucalyptus • racemosa.
- Within this swamp the shrub layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia and the ground layer is dominated by Entolasia stricta, with Empodisma minus, Gahnia sieberiana and woody debris also common. ٠
- No terminal step or areas of exposed sandstone were observed within the swamp. ٠
- No seepage was recorded at the time of survey. ٠

Swamps 43, 44 and 45



- Swamps 43, 44 and 45 are located on the top of a broad ridge overlying Longwall 301 with ironstone outcropping common. ٠
- These swamps were all previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008).
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified dense regrowth of previously cleared Silvertop Ash Ironstone Woodland (consistent with the 'Disturbed and/or Regenerating Sandstone or Lateritic Communities' vegetation • community of Bangalay Botanical Surveys [2008]) across the mapped extent of these swamps.
- The areas previously mapped as Swamps 43 and 45 (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) were characterised as regrowth dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia, Allocasuarina distyla, Kunzea ambigua and Acacia longifolia • subsp. longifolia with scattered Eucalyptus sieberi also present. The understorey within this area is sparse and without the many sedge species which occur within swamp vegetation communities. Amongst the regrowth, spoil mounds containing rubbish including timber, bricks and concrete were observed.
- The mapped area of Swamp 44 consists of a narrow strip (<5 m wide) located on the northern side of an access trail. This area is a small roadside depression which appears to have been created during trail construction. Vegetation • within this area commonly included Eucalyptus sieberi, Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia and Leptospermum squarrosum with the sedge Schoenus brevifolius occurring in standing water.
- A number of weeds and non-indigenous species are present within the area mapped as Swamps 43, 44 and 45 including Acacia baileyana (Cootamundra Wattle), Ageratina adenophora (Crofton Weed), Agapanthus praecox (African • Lily), Senna pendula var. glabrata and several grass species.
- Neither 43, 44 or 45 are swamps. •





- Swamp 46 is a linear valley side swamp located over the chain pillar separating Longwalls 302 and 303. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket across the extent of the swamp. Additionally some small revisions were made to the mapping of the boundary of the swamp based upon field inspections • and aerial photography interpretation (Swamp 46 is approximately 0.7 hectares in area).
- The shrub layer within this swamp is very dense with an estimated percent foliage cover of 60-80%. This stratum is generally 2-3 m in height and is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia, Allocasuarina distyla, Petrophile pulchella, Leptospermum squarrosum and Hakea teretifolia.
- The ground layer is dominated by Leptocarpus tenax, Chordifex fastigiatus and Lepidosperma filiforme.
- A terminal rocky step is present along the lower, western edge of the swamp with seepage observed across this area during inspections. •

10m contours



- Swamp 47 is a valley side swamp located over the chain pillar between Longwalls 303 and 304 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket across the swamp. Additionally some minor revisions to the mapping of the swamp boundary were made based upon field inspections and aerial • photography interpretation (Swamp 47 is approximately 0.3 hectares in area).
- Swamp 47 is identified as a marginal swamp in that it forms a mosaic with the adjacent Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Woodland and includes emergent Angophora costata. The swamp boundary is not clearly defined. •
- The mid layer within this swamp is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia with taller emergent Allocasuarina littoralis also present. ٠
- The ground layer was dry at the time of inspection and dominated by Lepyrodia scariosa and Cyathochaeta diandra. ٠
- A sandstone scarp is present at the northern end of the swamp. •
- No seepage was recorded at the time of survey. ٠

10m contours



- Swamp 48 is a valley side swamp with well-defined boundaries. It is located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 primarily over Longwall 305. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket as occurring across the swamp. Additionally some minor revisions to the mapping of the swamp boundary were made based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation (Swamp 48 is approximately 0.1 hectares in area.
- The shrub layer is very dense with an estimated percent foliage cover of 65-70%. This stratum is generally 3-5 m in height and is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and Hakea teretifolia. ٠
- The ground layer is generally 0-1 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of up to 25% and is dominated by Empodisma minus, Lepidosperma filiforme, Lepyrodia scariosa, and Schoenus brevifolius. ٠
- A terminal rocky step is present along the north-western boundary of the swamp. Seepage was observed across this area at the time of survey.



- Swamp 49 is a valley side swamp located within the 35° degree angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 and over the chain pillar between Longwalls 304 and 305. •
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket occurring across a small portion of the previously mapped extent of this swamp. The northern portion of the previously mapped extent of this swamp was • identified as Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest (Swamp 49 is approximately 0.5 hectares in area).
- The revised area of Banksia Thicket was considered to be a marginal form of this community which was a strongly influenced by the adjacent Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint forest including a sparse/emergent canopy of Angophora costata, Eucalyptus piperita and Corymbia gummifera.
- The shrub layer is generally 4-6 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 55%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia, Allocasuarina littoralis and Hakea dactyloides. Smaller shrubs including • Epacris pulchella, Banksia oblongifolia, Platysace linearifolia and Leptospermum polygalifolium were also common.
- The ground layer is generally up to 0.9 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 25%. This layer is dominated by *Empodisma minus, Lepyrodia scariosa, Entolasia stricta* and *Lomandra longifolia*. •
- Areas of exposed sandstone outcropping were observed near the northern boundary, though no terminal step was observed. •
- No seepage was recorded during the survey. ٠







- Swamp 50 is a valley side swamp located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303, over Longwall 304, and the chain pillar between Longwalls 304 and 305. ٠
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex with a small area of Banksia Thicket in the central portions of the swamp and Fringing Eucalypt Woodland along the western edge of the swamp (Bangalay Botanical ٠ Surveys 2008).
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket occurring across the entire revised extent of the swamp. The area previously mapped as Fringing Eucalypt Woodland was identified as Sandstone Gully Apple-Peppermint Forest. Additionally some minor revisions to the swamp boundary were made based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation (Swamp 50 is approximately 1.3 hectares in area).
- This swamp has well defined boundaries and forms the western limit of a larger swamp complex formed by a series of smaller swamps located down slope of one another (includes Swamps 51, 52 and 53). Swamp 50 is separated • from these other swamps by the Princes Highway.
- Within Swamp 50 a tall shrub layer is present, generally 1-4 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 65-75%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and Hakea teretifolia. ٠
- The ground layer is generally up to 1 m in height with a variable percent foliage cover estimated as ranging from 10-20%. This layer is dominated by *Empodisma minus, Schoenus brevifolius, Leptocarpus tenax* and *Chorizandra* • cymbaria.

Areas of sandstone outcropping are present within the swamp with a terminal step also present. Abundant seepage was observed across these rocky areas at the time of survey.

© ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD



Swamps 51/52



- Swamps 51 and 52 are two valley side swamps located over Longwall 303, the chain pillar between Longwalls 303 and 304 and over Longwall 304 (within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for • Longwalls 301-303).
- Swamps 51 and 52 were previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex, each with a small central area of Banksia Thicket (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). Previous mapping of Swamps 51 and 52 showed these two swamps as being separated by a large track.
- ٠ Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket as occurring across the entire extent of both of these swamps. Additionally some minor revisions were made to the mapping of the swamp boundaries for these two swamps based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation. Inspections undertaken also identified that the previously identified gap between these two swamps was no longer present and that the separation of these two swamps was reduced to a very narrow track. Swamp 51 is approximately 1.3 hectares in area and Swamp 52 is approximately 1.5 hectares in area (approximately 2.8 hectares in total). Swamps 51 and 52 form a larger swamp complex comprising a series of individual swamps (also including Swamps 50 and 53) occurring in a linear band with each swamp located a short distance down slope of the next.
- A tall and dense shrub layer is present within these swamps with an estimated percent foliage cover of 75% and generally 4-5 m in height. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and • scattered Hakea teretifolia.
- The ground layer is generally up to 1.5 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 10-20%. This layer is dominated by Empodisma minus, Lepyrodia scariosa, Lepidosperma filiforme and Schoenus brevifolius. ٠
- Areas of outcropping sandstone were observed within these swamps with seepage recorded across these areas at the time of survey. ٠
- Swamps 51 and 52 are essentially the one swamp divided by a 4WD access track underlain by a water main. Several areas of slow water leakage from the water pipe (Swamp 51) are present. Swamp 52 piezometer is more ٠ representative of the swamp (upstream from the water pipe).









- Swamp 53 is a valley side swamp located over Longwalls 302 and 303, and over the chain pillar between Longwalls 302-303.
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex with a small area of Banksia Thicket in the centre of the swamp (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket as occurring across the entire extent of the swamp. Additionally some minor revisions were made to the mapping of the swamp boundary based upon field ٠ inspections and aerial photography interpretation (Swamp 53 is approximately 0.7 hectares in area).
- A tall shrub layer is present within this swamp and is generally 3-4 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 60-80%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and occasional Hakea teretifolia.
- The ground layer is generally 0-1.3 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 10-15%. This layer is dominated by Empodisma minus, Leptocarpus tenax, Lepyrodia scariosa and Schoenus brevifolia. ٠
- A terminal sandstone step was observed at the lower end of the swamp with seepage observed across this area at the time of inspections. ٠

Swamps 54, 55 and 56





- Swamps 54, 55 and 56 are located on the upper slopes of a broad ridge in proximity to the M1 Princes Motorway and an existing transmission line. They are located to the east of Longwall 301 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or • predicted 20 mm subsidence contour for Longwalls 301-303.
- These three swamps were previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). ٠
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket (S54), previously slashed vegetation below the transmission line comparable to 'Disturbed and/or Regenerating Sandstone or Lateritic Communities' (S55) • of Bangalay Botanical Surveys (2008) and Sandstone Heath-Woodland (S56) occurring across these swamps. That is Swamps 55 and 56 are not swamps. Additionally some minor revisions to the mapping of the boundary of Swamp 54 were made based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation. Swamp 54 is approximately 0.2 hectares in area.
- The small area of Banksia Thicket identified within Swamp 54 is considered marginal as it includes both species which typically occur within swamp vegetation communities and species which are more typical of adjacent • woodland/heath communities.
- Within Swamp 54 a tall shrub layer is present, generally 2-3 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 55%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia, Persoonia pinifolia, Angophora hispida, Allocasuarina distyla and Kunzea ambigua.
- A sparse ground layer was present within Swamp 54 with an estimated percent foliage cover of 10-15% and ranging from 0–1.1 m in height. This layer is dominated by *Entolasia stricta, Cyathochaeta diandra*, and *Lepyrodia scariosa*. ٠
- No terminal or rocky step was found within any of these areas.

© ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

Swamps 57 and 58



- Swamps 57 and 58 were mapped as two swamps overlying the chain pillar between Longwalls 303 and 304 (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- These areas were previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008).
- Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland (as described by Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008) occurring across the mapped extent of Swamp 57 and portions of Swamp 58. • The extent of Swamp 58 was revised and it supports a combination of Sedgeland-heath Complex and Banksia Thicket. Some minor revisions were made to the mapped boundary of Swamp 58 based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation. Swamp 57 is not a swamp.
- Within the revised extent of Swamp 58 (approximately 0.2 hectares), Sedgeland-heath Complex occur in areas which have been subjected to a hazard reduction burn within the last two years with Banksia Thicket occurring within areas of unburnt vegetation.
- The area of Banksia Thicket within Swamp 58 contained a tall dense shrub layer generally 6-8 m in height with an estimated percent foliage of 40%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia and Allocasuarina • littoralis.
- The area of Sedgeland-heath Complex within Swamp 58 supports a sparse shrub layer, commonly including regenerating Leptospermum polygalifolium Entolasia stricta, Ptilothrix deusta, Cyathochaeta diandra, Baeckea imbricata • and Petrophile pulchella. Dead standing woody debris was common across this area.



- Swamp 59 is just located within 600 m from Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, with portions of the swamp overlying the chain pillar of Longwall 304.
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Field inspections undertaken as part of this report identified a combination of Banksia Thicket and Sedgeland-heath Complex within this swamp corresponding to areas subjected to a hazard reduction burn within the last two years • (Sedgeland-heath Complex) and unburnt areas (Banksia Thicket).
- A poorly defined swamp boundary was noted at time of field surveys (due to recent hazard reduction burn).
- Areas of Banksia Thicket (approximately 2 hectares) include a dense tall shrub layer dominated by dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia. •
- Areas of Sedgeland-heath Complex within Swamp 59 support a sparse shrub layer (where present) commonly including Banksia oblongifolia over a ground layer dominated by sedge species including Leptocarpus tenax, Lepyrodia • scariosa, Ptilothrix deusta, Schoenus brevifolius and the grass Entolasia stricta.
- Within Swamp 59 disturbances associated with mountain bike and feral animal access were observed including bike tracks and ramps, rubbish, weeds (Andropogon virginicus), grazed vegetation and ground disturbance by feral deer.
- Areas of exposed sandstone outcropping were present at the lower eastern end of this swamp. •
- Abundant seepage was observed across areas of sandstone outcropping at the time of survey. •





S59





- Swamp 69 is a long narrow side valley swamp located over Longwall 305 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction. ٠
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified Banksia Thicket across the extent of the swamp. Additionally some minor revisions to the mapping of the swamp boundary were made based upon field inspections and aerial • photography interpretation (Swamp 69 is approximately 0.4 hectares in area).
- A tall dense shrub layer is present within this swamp generally 1-3.5 m in height and with an estimated percent foliage cover of 65%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia var. ericifolia, Leptospermum squarrosum and Hakea teretifolia.
- The ground layer is generally up to 0.9 m in height and is dominated by Chordifex fastigiatus and Lepyrodia scariosa. •
- A terminal step of exposed sandstone was observed along the lower western end of this swamp with some Rock Plate Heath-Mallee vegetation occurring across portions of the exposed sandstone outcropping. •
- Abundant seepage was observed across areas of exposed sandstone at the time of survey. •

© ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD



- Swamp 70 is a valley side swamp located over the chain pillar between Longwalls 304 and 305 and within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction. ٠
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Inspections undertaken as part of this report identified a reduced extent of swamp vegetation and identified the Banksia Thicket vegetation community as occurring across the revised extent of this swamp (Swamp 70 is approximately ٠ 0.1 hectares in area).
- A tall shrub layer is present within the revised extent of this swamp generally 1–4 m in height with variable foliage cover. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia and Hakea teretifolia. Other common shrub species included Leptospermum squarrosum, Grevillea oleoides and Banksia oblongifolia.
- The ground layer is generally 0-0.6 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 40-50%. This layer is dominated by *Entolasia stricta, Lepyrodia scariosa, Cyathochaeta diandra* and *Leptocarpus tenax*.
- No terminal step was recorded within this swamp and no seepage was observed during surveys. •
- One weed species was recorded within this swamp, possibly Watsonia sp., occurring within the upper eastern margin of the swamp.

Swamps 71a and 71b





- Swamp 71 is just located within the 35° angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour of Longwalls 301-303 secondary extraction, with the majority of Swamp 71 being located within 600 m of Longwalls 301-303 or • beyond the 600 m.
- This swamp was previously mapped as Sedgeland-heath Complex with areas of Fringing Eucalypt Woodland through the centre of the swamp (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008). •
- Inspections undertaken as part of this swamp identified Exposed Sandstone Scribbly Gum Woodland across the area previously mapped as Fringing Eucalypt Woodland and Banksia Thicket across areas previously mapped as ٠ Sedgeland-heath Complex (Bangalay Botanical Surveys 2008), resulting in revised mapping of Swamps 71a and 71b. Additionally the boundary of Swamps 71a and 71b have been revised based upon field inspections and aerial photography interpretation (2.1 hectares and 1.9 hectares, respectively).
- Within areas mapped as Banksia Thicket a tall dense shrub layer is present generally 2-4 m in height with an estimated percent foliage cover of 45-65%. This layer is dominated by Banksia ericifolia subsp. ericifolia, Hakea teretifolia and Leptospermum squarrosum, with Dillwynia floribunda and Kunzea capitata also common.
- The ground layer is up to 0.8 m in height with variable foliage cover. This layer is dominated by Lepidosperma neesii, Lepyrodia scariosa and Ptilothrix deusta. ٠
- Exposed sandstone outcropping is present within the lower portions of both swamps and seepage was observed across these areas at the time of survey. •
- Swamp 71a is separated from the smaller Swamp 69 to the east by a narrow track.









HEAD OFFICE

Suite 2, Level 3 668-672 Old Princes Highway Sutherland NSW 2232 T 02 8536 8600 F 02 9542 5622

CANBERRA

Level 2 11 London Circuit Canberra ACT 2601 T 02 6103 0145 F 02 6103 0148

COFFS HARBOUR

35 Orlando Street Coffs Harbour Jetty NSW 2450 T 02 6651 5484 F 02 6651 6890

PERTH

Suite 1 & 2 49 Ord Street West Perth WA 6005 T 08 9227 1070 F 08 9322 1358

DARWIN

16/56 Marina Boulevard Cullen Bay NT 0820 T 08 8989 5601 F 08 8941 1220

SYDNEY

Level 6 299 Sussex Street Sydney NSW 2000 T 02 8536 8650 F 02 9264 0717

NEWCASTLE

Suites 28 & 29, Level 7 19 Bolton Street Newcastle NSW 2300 T 02 4910 0125 F 02 4910 0126

ARMIDALE

92 Taylor Street Armidale NSW 2350 T 02 8081 2681 F 02 6772 1279

WOLLONGONG

Suite 204, Level 2 62 Moore Street Austinmer NSW 2515 T 02 4201 2200 F 02 4268 4361

BRISBANE

Suite 1 Level 3 471 Adelaide Street Brisbane QLD 4000 T 07 3503 7191 F 07 3854 0310

HUSKISSON

Unit 1 51 Owen Street Huskisson NSW 2540 T 02 4201 2264 F 02 4443 6655

NAROOMA

5/20 Canty Street Narooma NSW 2546 T 02 4476 1151 F 02 4476 1161

MUDGEE

Unit 1, Level 1 79 Market Street Mudgee NSW 2850 T 02 4302 1230 F 02 6372 9230

GOSFORD

Suite 5, Baker One 1-5 Baker Street Gosford NSW 2250 T 02 4302 1220 F 02 4322 2897

1300 646 131 www.ecoaus.com.au

APPENDIX 3

TERRESTRIAL FLORA BASELINE DATA

UPLAND SWAMP VEGETATION SURVEYS - RAW DATA

Not included in this version.

Available on Request.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan			
Revision No. BMP-R01-C			
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan			

APPENDIX 4

VISUAL INSPECTION AND PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY OF STREAMS IN THE VICINITY OF LONGWALLS 301 TO 303

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan				
Revision No. BMP-R01-C				
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan				



Visual Inspection and Photographic Survey of Streams in the Vicinity of Longwalls 301 to 303

1. INTRODUCTION

A visual inspection and photographic survey of streams in the vicinity of Longwalls 301-303 was conducted by Gilbert & Associates (now Hydro Engineering & Consulting) in July 2015 to characterise the baseline characteristics/condition of the streams and to investigate whether any surface water quantity, pool water level, or water quality monitoring of the streams would be required.

2. DESKTOP ASSESSMENT

2.1 Catchments and Streams

An east-west divide runs approximately north to south through the Longwalls 301 to 303 study area, dividing drainages which flow into the Eastern Tributary and the Woronora Reservoir (on the western side) from areas which flow into Wilsons Creek and Cawleys Creek (on the eastern side) (Figure 1). Twelve small sub-catchments within 600 metres (m) of Longwalls 301-303 have been identified on the western side of the study area and two on the eastern side of the study area (Figure 1). A summary of the sub-catchments is provided in Table 1.

Sub-catchment Designation	Sub-catchment Area (km ²)	Stream Order ¹
А	0.24	2
В	0.15	2
С	0.28	2
D	0.04	1
E	0.19	2
F	0.14	2
G	0.04	1
Н	0.22	2
I	0.22	2
J	0.38	2
К	0.21	2
L	0.19	2
Μ	0.79	2
Ν	0.79	3

Table 1	Sub-catchments and Streams in the Vicinity of Longwalls 301	to 303
	Sub-catchinents and Streams in the vicinity of Longwalls Sur	10 303

Based on mapping of streams using 1 m contours.



Figure 1 Sub-catchments in the vicinity of Longwalls 301 to 303

One metre contours were used to refine the mapping available from the Department of Lands in the vicinity of Longwalls 301-303. The one metre contour mapping generated by

Geo-Spectrum (Australia) Pty Limited¹ was the most detailed mapping available and provided greater accuracy in terms of stream location, alignment and stream network for the field survey. Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the streams mapped by Geo-Spectrum using one metre contours in the vicinity of Longwalls 301-303.

2.2 Selection of Streams for Visual Inspection and Photographic Survey

Figure 2 was used to inform the selection of streams for visual inspection, mapping and photographic survey.

The streams in the study area (excluding the Eastern Tributary) comprise relatively shallow drainage lines, generally within valleys which are less than 10 m high². The streams are predicted to experience relatively small magnitudes of upsidence and closure² particularly in their headwater reaches. The valley heights increase at the lower reaches of these streams. Of the streams above Longwalls 301-303, the stream with the largest valley height is located near the (southern) end of Longwalls 302 and 303 (i.e. stream 3 within sub-catchment C). This stream has a maximum valley height of approximately 20 m. Of the minor tributaries, this stream is predicted to experience the greatest closure due to Longwalls 301-303 (predicted total closure of 190 millimetres [mm])². Streams 1 and 2 (within sub-catchments A and B) are predicted to experience maximum predicted total closures of 130 mm and 30 mm respectively after the extraction of Longwall 303². As a result, there is a reduced likelihood of valley related impacts to Stream 1 . Valley related impacts to Stream 2 due to the extraction of Longwalls 301 to 303 are considered to be unlikely based on the low value of predicted closure for this stream².

The streams selected for inspection (i.e. streams 3, 8, 9, 10 11, 12, 14 and 15 in subcatchments C, H, I, J, K, L and N respectively) were considered the most significant (based on sub-catchment area, and stream length) and to be representative of the other small tributary streams in the study area.

The streams within sub-catchments A and B were not selected as they are primarily located over the main headings and are considered to be less likely to experience subsidence impacts. Wilsons Creek (sub-catchment M) was not selected for visual inspection and photographic survey as it is located outside of the surface area likely to be affected by Longwalls 301-303 (i.e. outside the 35 degree angle of draw and/or predicted 20 mm subsidence contour).

Visual inspection, mapping and photographic survey of the Eastern Tributary was conducted by Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants (MSEC) prior to the commencement of Longwall 20 as a component of the Longwalls 20-22 Water Management Plan.

¹ Geo-Spectrum (Australia) Pty Limited (2007) *Orthophotomap (1:7,500) of Helensburgh Coal Metropolitan Colliery*. October 2007 from 1:20,000 Scale. Aerial photography from 27 August 2007. Ground survey by Monaghan Surveyors Pty Ltd.

² Mine Subsidence Engineering Consultants (2016) *Metropolitan Coal – Report on Subsidence Predictions and Impact Assessments in support of a Request for a Revised Longwall 301 to 303 Layout*, April.



Figure 2 Streams in the vicinity of Longwalls 301 to 303

3. VISUAL INSPECTION AND PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY

3.1 Inspection and Survey Timing

Visual inspection and photographic survey of the eight streams was undertaken on the 2nd and 3rd of July 2015. Streams 3, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 flow into the Eastern Tributary or Woronora Reservoir on the western side of the study area while streams 14 and 15 flow into Cawleys Creek on the eastern side of the study area (Figure 2).

The significance of the rainfall in the period preceding the survey on stream baseflow can be seen on the residual rainfall curve derived from the historical daily rainfall record at the Darkes Forest rainfall station (68024) from 1 January 1900 to 31 December 2015) Chart 1 shows the rainfall residual for the period 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2015. Periods where the residual rainfall curve increases (i.e. has a positive upward sloping gradient), reflect higher than average rainfall and periods where the residual rainfall line decreases (slopes downward), reflect below average rainfall - drying conditions in the catchment. Periods of decreasing rainfall residual tend to be caused by prolonged periods of low or no rainfall and are seen as slow downward sloping trends. In contrast, periods because they tend to be caused by isolated high rainfall events.



Chart 1 Darkes Forest Rainfall Residual Plot, January 2000 to 31 December 2015 (Showing Period of Significantly High Rainfall in April 2015)

Chart 1 shows the overall trend in rainfall from 2000 to 2007 was downward (below average). However this period was also characterised by a series of short significant rainfall events followed by prolonged drying periods. From 2007 to 2011 rainfall trends were near average. There was a significant wet period in early 2012 followed by a dry period into early 2013. Rainfall 2013 and 2014 tended to be near average. The rainfall trend in 2015 prior to the survey was also generally near average but with a significant steep increase in rainfall trend in late April 2015 caused by an intense event which produced over 285 mm of rain in three days in late April. This event would likely have resulted in significant recharge of shallow groundwater. The wetness of the surveyed stream catchments and persistent baseflow observed during the survey reflect these rainfall trends and can be seen to be abnormally wet with comparable conditions being limited to periods in early 2002, 2003, and 2012 since 2000.

3.2 Methods and Results

The inspection and survey involved walking along the accessible length of the streams, mapping the geomorphic characteristics and features of the stream, and compiling a photographic record. The mapping provided in Figure 3 to Figure 10 shows the locations where photographs were taken and the location of particular stream features observed along the stream.

Stream features were mapped using the following alphabetic symbols:

- (WF) Waterfall of at least 2 m near vertical drop.
- (BC) Boulder cascade comprising a very steep chute of boulders. Water would be highly aerated by rapid flow over and through spaces between the boulders.
- (RS) Rock shelf comprising a hard and relatively smooth rock outcrop often containing shallow depression(s).
- (P_s) Small pool between 1 m and 3 m long and less than 0.3 m deep. These features would likely be transient but persist for some time following cessation of flow.
- (P_m) Medium sized pool larger than a small pool and typically 3 m to 5 m long and around 0.5 m deep. The largest pool observed was estimated to be less than 5 m long and less than 1 m deep at its deepest. These pools would be expected to retain ponded water under most climatic conditions.

The streams on the western side (i.e. those flowing into the Eastern Tributary and Woronora Reservoir), were steep, single channel flow paths with sections of very steep boulder cascades and waterfalls. The steeper sections were separated by relatively flatter sections. The distribution and location of flatter and steep sections are apparent on the stream long-sections included in Figure 3 to Figure 8. The photographs taken at the points shown in Figure 3 to Figure 8 are provided in Attachment 1.

Small flows were observed in all western side streams as a result of persistent seepage from the adjacent valley sides and residual baseflow from the recent significant rainfalls experienced in the Woronora Reservoir catchment. Small pools (between 1 m and 3 m long and less than 0.3 m deep) were observed along a 45 m reach on stream 3 and two small pools were observed on stream 12 (Figure 3 and Figure 8). No small pools (between 1 m and 3 m long and 3 m long and less than 0.3 m deep) were observed along streams 8, 9, 10 and 11 (Figure 4 to Figure 7). One medium pool (between 3 m and 5 m long and approximately 0.5 m deep) was recorded on stream 3 and one medium pool (between 3 m and 5 m long and approximately 0.5 m deep) was recorded on stream 8, 10, 11 and 12 (Figure 4, Figure 6, Figure 7, and Figure 8). The stream beds comprised predominantly rock and boulders with some limited sections of shallow sediment accumulations. There was no iron colouration observed along the stream bed although there were some small iron rich seepages observed emanating from fractures in rock outcrops near the sides of streams and at the sides of waterfalls.

The streams on the eastern side of the study area (streams 14 and 15, Figure 9 and Figure 10) which flow into Cawleys Creek were significantly different in condition and character to those on the western side. They comprise shallow ill-defined channels in an open valley setting. Substantial clearing and weed invasion was observed in parts. The streams followed a moderate grade with predominantly alluvial bed material comprising silts and sand. There were no pools observed. Conditions observed in the streams are shown on the stream condition maps included as Figure 9 and Figure 10). The photographs taken at the points shown on Figure 9 and Figure 10 are provided in Attachment 1.

4. CONSIDERATION OF SURFACE WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The streams which flow to Eastern Tributary and the Woronora Reservoir comprise small (between 0.04 km² and 0.38 km²) first and second order streams.

Based on the nature and characteristics of the streams and their small and negligible contribution to the Woronora Reservoir (compared to the contributions of Waratah Rivulet and Eastern Tributary which will continue to be monitored), establishment of monitoring sites (for surface water flow, pool water level, or surface water quality) is not, in our opinion, warranted.



Figure 3 Stream 3 Photo Locations and Stream Features

HYDRO ENGINEERING & CONSULTING PTY LID J0604-55.r1b





HYDRO ENGINEERING & CONSULTING PLYLID J0604-55.r1b







Figure 6 Stream 10 Photo Locations and Stream Features









HYDRO ENGINEERING & CONSULTING PTYLED J0604-55.r1b



Figure 9 Stream 14 Photo Locations and Stream Features


Figure 10 Stream 15 Photo Locations and Stream Features

ACONSULTING PLYLID J0604-55.r1b

Attachment 1

Stream Reconnaissance Photographs



Plate 1467



Plate 1468



Plate 1469



Plate 1471



Plate 1470



Plate 1472





Plate 1475



Plate 1477





Plate 1476



Plate 1478







Plate 1484



Plate 1483



Plate 1485





Plate 1498



Plate 1499



Plate 1500



Plate 1487



Plate 1489



Plate 1488



Plate 1490



Plate 1491



Plate 1494



Plate 1495



Plate 1496





Plate 1501



Plate 1502



Plate 1503



Plate 1504

Stream 3 Plates 1501 to 1504





Plate 1506







Plate 1529



Plate 1530

Plate 1531





Plate 1533







Plate 1535



Plate 1537



Plate 1514



Plate 1515



Plate 1516



Plate 1518



Plate 1517



Plate 1519

Stream 5 Plates 1514 to 1519



Plate 1520





Plate 1526



Plate 1521



Plate 1523



Plate 1527





Plate 1510



Plate 1512

Plate 1513





Plate 1541





Plate 1543



Plate 1544

Stream 7

Plates 1540 to 1545



Plate 1547

Stream 7 Plate 1547

APPENDIX 5

TERRESTRIAL FAUNA (AMPHIBIAN) BASELINE DATA LONGWALLS 301-303 SPRING/SUMMER 2015

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan					
Revision No. BMP-R01-C					
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan					

Table A5-1
Longwalls 301-303 Spring/Summer 2015 Amphibian Species Diversity and Abundance

	Common Name	Site Above Longwalls 301 – 303 ¹							Relative
Scientific Name		23	24	25	26	27	28	Total	Abundance ²
Myobatrachidae			-				-	-	
Crinia signifera Cor Fro	Common Eastern	4	3	0	1	3	3	14	MC
	Froglet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heleiporus australiacus	Giant Burrowing Frog	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Limnodynastes	Brown-striped Frog*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
peronii		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Limnodynastes	Spotted Grass Frog ⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tasmaniensis		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pseudophryne	Red-crowned	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
australis	Toadlet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uperoleia laevigata	Smooth Toadlet ⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hylidae	•								•
Litoria citropa	Blue Mountains Tree Frog*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria dentata	Bleating Tree Frog ⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria freycineti	Southern Rocket Frog*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria latopalmata	Broad-palmed Frog	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria lesueurii	Lesueur's Frog	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria wilcoxii Stony	Stony Creek Frog ⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria peronii	Peron's Tree Frog*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Litoria phyllochroa	Green Stream Frog⁺	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Species Diversity at Each Site		1	1	1	2	1	1		
Species Divers	sity across the Survey Area							3	

¹ First line of data refers to the presence or absence of adults, while the second line of data refers to absence or presence of tadpoles.

² Relative Abundance of adult and tadpole stage assessed independently: 0 – No sightings, 1 – One sighting, UC – Uncommon, 2 to 10 individuals, MC – Moderately common, 11 to 20 individuals, C – Common, 21 to 40 individuals, A – Abundant, > 40 individuals.
V.V. Listed as Vulnerable under the TSC Act and EDBC Act.

^{V, V} Listed as Vulnerable under the TSC Act and EPBC Act. ^V Listed as vulnerable under the TSC Act.

* Species not recorded at the Longwalls 301-303 monitoring sites, however it was recorded at either the Longwalls 20-22 or Longwalls 23-27 monitoring sites (as at spring/summer 2015) and is included for ease of future comparison to the Longwalls 20-27 monitoring results.

* Species not recorded at the Longwalls 301-303 monitoring sites or Longwalls 20-22 or Longwalls 23-27 monitoring sites (as at spring/summer 2015), but included for ease of future comparison to previous Project EA survey results.

Metropolitan Coal – Biodiversity Management Plan					
Revision No. BMP-R01-C					
Document ID: Biodiversity Management Plan					